Diameter Congestion And Filter Attributes

IETF 86, Orlando, USA

Lyle Bertz (lyle Bertz (lyle.t.bertz@sprint.com)

Brent Hirschman (brent.hirschman@sprint.com)

Supplement to

draft-bertz-dime-congestion-flow-attributes-00

RFC 5777 Foundation

- RFC 5777
- Filter–Rule AVP
 - The basis our work.
 - Condition/Action design

```
Filter-Rule ::= < AVP Header: 509 >
         [Filter-Rule-Precedence]
         ; Condition part of a Rule
         [ Classifier ]
         * [ Time-Of-Day-Condition ]
         ; Action and Meta-Data
         [Treatment-Action]
         ; Info about QoS related Actions
         [ QoS-Semantics ]
         [ QoS-Profile-Template ]
         [ QoS-Parameters ]
         [ Excess-Treatment ]
         ; Extension Point
         * [ AVP ]
```

Congestion Management

- Filter-Rule does not support ECN in 2 ways
 - No AVP to add to condition part to Classify ECN marked traffic
 - No Congestion-Treatment Action Set (RFC has Excess-Treatment and Treatment-Action AVPs)
- We need to build many filters for Congestion Management
 - How do we know they are working? (Is any traffic captured)
 - What can we observe on existing filters in order to remove unused ones OR ones deprecated by new filters?

ECN Specific AVPs

- ECN-IP-Codepoint AVP (Enumerated)
 - Specifies the Explicit Congestion Notification codepoint values to match in the IP header.
 - Use: Place in Filter-Rule's Classifier
- Congestion-Treatment AVP (Grouped)
 - Similar in design/use to Excess-Treament AVP
 - NOTE: Criteria for Congestion or traffic under congestion is out of scope of the AVP specification
 - Flow-Count AVP (Unsigned64)
 - Indicates the number of protocol specific flows. The protocol is determined by the filter
 - Packet-Count AVP (Unsigned64)
 - Indicates the number of protocol specific packets.

Filter AVPs for maintenance

Two AVPs

- Flow-Count AVP (Unsigned64)
 - Indicates the number of protocol specific flows. The protocol is determined by the filter
- Packet-Count AVP (Unsigned64)
 - Indicates the number of protocol specific packets.

Uses

- Use in accounting/reporting to determine if Filter is working as planned
- Can be combined with other AVPs to provide rudimentary traffic profile (e.g. bytes per flow, bytes per packet, etc.)
- Can be sent in Filter-Rule as prescriptive

Questions for Consideration

- Should we add TCP ECE and CWR filters?
- Should we add Classifier support for ECN for RTP over UDP (RFC 6679)?
- What other extensions like RFC 6679 exist and should they be incorporated?