RMCAT @ IETF-86

RTP Media Congestion Avoidance Techniques

Lars Eggert lars@netapp.com Mirja Kühlewind mkuehle@ikr.uni-stuttgart.de

Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any /me or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function
- All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice.

Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

Administrativa

- Today's slides
 - http://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/86/ materials.html#session.group-rmcat
- Remote participation
 - http://www.ietf.org/meeting/86/remoteparticipation.html
- Jabber chat
 - xmpp:rmcat@jabber.ietf.org?join
- Mailing list
 - http://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/rmcat

Agenda

9:00	Chairs' Intro	Chairs
9:15	Congestion Control Requirements	Randell Jesup
9:25	Evaluating Congestion Control for Interactive Real-time Media	Varun Singh
10:05	Coupled Congestion Control for RTP Media	Michael Welzl
10:35	RTCP Feedback for Unicast Multimedia Congestion Control	Colin Perkins
10:50	If Time Permits: NADA: A Unified Congestion Control Scheme for Real-Time Media	Rong Pan
	A Google Congestion Control Algorithm for Real- Time Communication on the World Wide Web	Harald Alvestrand

REMINDER ABOUT INITIAL MILESTONES

cc-requirements

Charter title Requirements for congestion control algorithms for

interactive real time media

Intended status Informational RFC

Goals Adopt Dec 2012, Submit Mar 2013

Develop a clear understanding of the congestion control requirements for RTP flows, and document efficiencies of existing mechanisms such as TFRC with regards to these requirements. This must be completed prior to finishing any Experimental algorithm specifications (#cc-cand). The set of requirements for such an algorithm includes, but is not limited to:

- Low delay and low jitter for the case where there is no competing traffic using other algorithms
- Reasonable share of bandwidth when competing with RMCAT traffic, other real-time media protocols, and ideally also TCP and other protocols. A 'reasonable share' means that no flow has a significantly negative impact [RFC5033] on other flows and at minimum that no flow starves.
- Effective use of signals like packet loss and ECN markings to adapt to congestion

The work will be guided by the advice laid out in RFC 5405 (UDP Usage Guidelines), RFC 2914 (congestion control principles), and RFC5033 (Specifying New Congestion Control Algorithms).

eval-criteria

Charter title Evaluation criteria for congestion control algorithms for

interactive real time media

Intended status Informational RFC

Goals Adopt Dec 2012, Submit Mar 2013

Define evaluation criteria for proposed congestion control mechanisms, and publish these as an Informational RFC. This must be completed prior to finishing any Proposed Standard algorithm specifications (#cc-rec).

group-cc

Charter title Identifying and controlling groups of flows

Intended status Proposed Standard

Goals Adopt Jan 2013, Submit Jul 2013

Develop a mechanism for identifying shared bottlenecks between groups of flows, and means to flexibly allocate their rates within the aggregate hitting the shared bottleneck. (*Probably needs to wait until #cc-cand are described in sufficient detail.*)

The work will be guided by the advice laid out in RFC 5405 (UDP Usage Guidelines), RFC 2914 (congestion control principles), and RFC5033 (Specifying New Congestion Control Algorithms).

rtcp-requirements

Charter title Requirements for RTCP extensions for use with congestion

control algorithms

Intended status ?

Goals If needed: Adopt Dec 2012, Submit Mar 2013

Determine if extensions to RTP/RTCP are needed for carrying congestion control feedback, using DCCP as a model. If so, provide the requirements for such extensions to the AVTCORE working group for standardization there.

Only a work item if the WG in consultation with AVTCORE decides on the need.

app-interactions

Charter title Interactions between applications and RTP flows

Intended status Informational RFC

Goals If needed: Adopt ?, Submit May 2013

Identify interactions between applications and RTP flows to enable conveying helpful cross-layer information such as per-packet priorities, flow elasticity, etc. This information might be used to populate an API, but the WG will not define a specific API itself.

ID Status

Milestone

Candidate IDs

cc-requirements

rmcat-reqs

eval-criteria

group-cc

-coupled-cc

-requirements

app-interactions

_

-failures -

-X

rmcat-congestion

draft-zhu-rmcat-nada

draft-ohanlon-rmcat-dflow

ID Status

Milestone	Candidate IDs		
cc-requirements	draft-jesup-rmcat-reqs	WG	
eval-criteria	draft-singh-rmcat-cc-eval	adoption?	
group-cc	draft-welzl-rmcat-coupled-cc		
rtcp-requirements	_		
app-interactions	_		
detect-sched-failures	_		
cc-cand-X	draft-alvestrand-rmcat-cordraft-zhu-rmcat-nada draft-ohanlon-rmcat-dflow		

Heads Up

- We missed some milestone deadlines
- We are probably going to miss others still
- Will start discussion with our ADs to bring the milestones in line with reality

Agenda

9:00	Chairs' Intro	Chairs
9:15	Congestion Control Requirements	Randell Jesup
9:25	Evaluating Congestion Control for Interactive Real-time Media	Varun Singh
10:05	Coupled Congestion Control for RTP Media	Michael Welzl
10:35	RTCP Feedback for Unicast Multimedia Congestion Control	Colin Perkins
10:50	If Time Permits: NADA: A Unified Congestion Control Scheme for Real-Time Media	Rong Pan
	A Google Congestion Control Algorithm for Real- Time Communication on the World Wide Web	Harald Alvestrand