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NOTE WELL

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice.

Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.
AGENDA

• Agenda bashing and IETF Note Well
  – Presenter: Chairs

• Status
  – Presenter: Chairs

• Loop Prevention/Detection
  – Presenter: Hadriel Kaplan

• Media-loopback test calls
  – Suggestion to remove from Charter
  – Presenter: Hadriel Kaplan

• Next Steps
STATUS

Goals and Milestones:

- **Dec 2012** - A taxonomy document defining role-types of B2BUAs, as a reference for other deliverables submitted to the IESG as Informational
  - WGLC
- **Apr 2013** - A document defining the requirements for B2BUAs with respect to loop detection/prevention submitted to the IESG as PS
  - To adopt base document
- **Aug 2013** - A document defining the requirements for B2BUAs to support end-to-end and hop-by-hop media-loopback test calls submitted to the IESG as PS
  - Suggestion to remove from charter
- **Dec 2013** - A document defining the requirements for B2BUAs to support DTLS-SRTP ([RFC 5764](https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc5764)) end-to-end submitted to the IESG as PS
- **Dec 2013** - A document defining the requirements for B2BUAs to support STUN message transactions end-to-end submitted to the IESG as PS
- **Dec 2013** - A document defining the requirements for B2BUAs to support RTCP end-to-end submitted to the IESG as PS
Removal of “support end-to-end/hop-by-hop media-loopback test calls” from deliveries

- Hadriel suggested that Max-Forwards can be used, and does not need to be documented.
- Does anyone else plan to submit a draft?
- Can we convince Hadriel to continue working on his draft?
- Can the STRAW WG serve its purpose if the delivery is removed from the chat?
NEXT STEPS

• Finalize taxonomy WGLC.
• Adopt base document for loop detection/prevention charter