TCP Fast Open (TFO) recap

Server grants nonce

Clients replays nonce with SYN/data

Nonce
- AES_encrypt(cli_IP, secret)
- TCP option (32 - 64bits)

Defend simple SYN-data flood attacks
What changed bet. -02 and -03

Draft
- Extended discussions on duplicate SYN-data cases
- Impact of CC on SYNACK losses
- Simultaneous (Fast) Open
- Negative caching is SHOULD

Deployment
- Entire Google.com is TFO ready
- Chrome (27.0.1425.0) with --enable-tcp-fastopen on Linux 3.6+
Caveats of SYN-data duplication

If the server receives a (network) duplicated SYN-data after

1. server reboots after receiving original one
2. original connection is closed w/o a 2MSL wait (receiving FIN)

Possible but improbable the data is delivered twice.

Section 2: TFO MUST NOT enabled by default, and applications need to read Section 7 before using TFO
Data replay in Web/HTTP

Reloading a Web page implies replaying same requests twice.

Web app implements separate transaction mechanisms (e.g., uid in POST).

With TFO the replay may happen w/o user reload:
1. http: first HTTP request
2. https: SSL HELLO

Browser should only use TFO if the request is safe to replay.
Simultaneous (Fast) Open

No special handling needed b/c RFC793 supports simul. open and data in SYN already
Implications to Congestion Control

TFO does NOT change congestion control but has subtle different behavior if SYN-ACK is lost.

Standard: initial window = LW = 1
TFO: initial window = IW > 1
   but react to SYN-ACK loss later

Recommendation?