

CDNI Metadata Interface

draft-ietf-cdni-metadata-02

CDNI Working Group
IETF 87 Berlin, Germany
July 2013

Matt Caulfield (mcaulfie@cisco.com)

Update: XML vs JSON

How should metadata be encoded?

JSON offers speed and flexibility

Adopted for metadata based on previous discussions

Update: Versioning

Is it safe to ignore versioning in the first version?

Not safe to ignore versioning

Version will be encoded in Content-Type headers

Update: Metadata Rewriting

Metadata may be rewritten between CDNs

Appendix on “rewriting” moved to framework doc

Open item: Capabilities

Metadata should easily translate to capabilities

Given the following:

- content request
- metadata for that content
- a list of dCDNs
- capabilities for those dCDNs

How to determine which dCDN is
capable of serving the requested content?

Open item: Capabilities

Metadata should easily translate to capabilities

Current structure of metadata makes it difficult to extract a list of capabilities

For example: one metadata object defines a list of allowed protocols for the content

→ requires deep inspection to generate capabilities

Next Steps

Solicit comments on draft-ietf-cdni-metadata-02

Close on open discussion items

- 1) Metadata & Capabilities

WG Last Call?