

Highly Automated Method for Maintaining Expiring Records

draft-wkumari-dnsop-hammer-00

Warren Kumari, Roy Arends, Suzanne Woolf

Overview

- What's the problem?
- Solution
- Questions

What's the problem?



Sturmovik at en.wikipedia (CC-BY-3.0) from Wikimedia Commons

The '90s called, they want their meme back.

What's the problem?

- Users see a sporadic spike in resolution time.
 - RR time out of caches
 - Next time the RR is needed, it takes “longer” to resolve.
- From hotel:
 - cache: Query time: 44 msec
 - no cache: Query time: 454 msec
- This is **just** an optimization

Solution

If (answer from cache)

and (remaining TTL < HAMMER_TIME)

then {

answer from cache

initiate a “cache fill” operation

}

Result

- Only triggered by incoming queries
- Most often queried names stay “fresh”
 - Less queried names still age out
- Doesn't require extra cache state
 - ... well, maybe 2 bits per cache entry
- Similar to feature in Unbound, some important differences in details:
 - timer, not percentage.
 - better name.

Worth publishing?

- Implementation advice.
- Still needs some details ironed out
- Would the WG like to adopt?

Questions?

Backup slides

Explain this STOP thing again....

- Original TTL = 6 seconds
- HAMMER_TIME = 5 seconds, STOP = 3
- Resolve at 10:23:34AM
 - No STOP -> resolve again at 10:23:35AM
 - With STOP -> ages out normally
 - Disables HAMMER for short TTLs.