EAP Method Update (EMU) IETF-86

Chairs:

Alan DeKok

Joe Salowey

Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice.

Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

EMU Agenda

- 1. Note Well, agenda, note takers (5 Min)
- 2. Document Wrap-up

Mutual Crypto Binding – On IESG telechat (8/15)

Tunnel Method -- In IETF Last-Call (ends 7/30)

Last Call Comments (Stefan)

- Handling Multiple subject names in the certificate for Peer-ID and Server-ID
 - Proposal: export both CN and SAN names change 'or' to 'and'
- RFC4282 update
 - Add "Ongoing work clarifying the usage of UTF-8 in the NAI in [draft-radext-nai] will update or replace [RFC4282]."
- Don't attempt to fix names exported by inner methods

Last Call Comments (Josh)

- Error messages not fine grained enough:
 - incorrect password; account locked; backend database offline, etc
- For password auth can this be handled by Basic-Password-Auth-Req TLV or a new Basic-Password-Auth-Error TLV?
- Inner EAP methods handle their own messaging
- We can add new error codes for other errors if they are known, what should we add?

Final Meeting

- Working group will stay open until RFCs are published
- List will remain active after that

Channel Bindings for Existing Methods

- EAP-TLS, EAP-SIM, EAP-AKA, etc
- Work on updating EAP methods with channel binding support?
- Independent submissions