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1. Introduction

There is a need for standard mechanisms to allow NETCONF [RFC6241] application designers to manage NETCONF servers more efficiently when used in network environments with poor connectivity, low bandwidth, and/or high latency. In such conditions, it is desirable to minimize network usage wrt/ the size of protocol messages and the number of protocol operations required to perform a network management function.

1.1. Terminology

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14, [RFC2119].

1.1.1. NETCONF

The following terms are defined in [RFC6241]:

- candidate configuration datastore
- client
- configuration data
- datastore
- configuration datastore
- protocol operation
- running configuration datastore
- server
- startup configuration datastore

1.1.2. YANG

The following terms are defined in [RFC6020]:

- container
- data node
1.1.3. RESTCONF

The following terms are defined in [RESTCONF]:

- data resource
- datastore resource

1.1.4. YANG Patch

The following term is defined in [YANG-Patch]:

- YANG Patch

1.1.5. Terms

The following terms are defined:

- config ID: An opaque string identifier that represents the state of the running datastore contents on the server. A new config ID is chosen by the server each time the server running configuration datastore is altered in any way.

- depth filter: A mechanism implemented within the NETCONF server to allow a client to retrieve only a limited number of levels within the subtree, instead of retrieving the entire subtree.

- time filter: A mechanism implemented within the NETCONF server to allow a client to retrieve only data that has been modified since a specified data and time.

1.1.6. Tree Diagrams

A simplified graphical representation of the data model is used in this document. The meaning of the symbols in these diagrams is as follows:

- Brackets "[" and "]" enclose list keys.
1.2. Problem Statement

This document attempts to address the following problems with NETCONF protocol procedures.

1.2.1. Initial Configuration Retrieval

A client application often needs to retrieve the entire running configuration datastore contents, usually at the start of an editing session. The <rpc-reply> for this <get-config> request can be very large (e.g., greater than 250,000 bytes).

If a large number of server connections are lost and then restarted, the quantity of large <rpc-reply> messages from every server could severely impact network performance.

It would be useful if the <hello> message exchange could be enhanced so an entity-tag value for the current running datastore configuration is included in the server <hello> message. A client can cache the server configuration identifier and omit an initial <get-config> operation if the value from the server <hello> message matches the cached value.

1.2.2. Datastore Editing

There are several deficiencies with the NETCONF editing procedures that could be improved.

Multi-operation functions can be required. A single edit can take up to 9 operations. Several operations are required to complete a set of 1 or more edits on a NETCONF server. Each operation uses 1 request and 1 response message. If the candidate datastore is used, then 1 extra operation is required (for the <commit> operation) to activate the edit(s). If the startup datastore is used then 1 extra operation is required (for the <copy-config> operation) to save the running datastore contents in non-volatile storage. If global
locking is used, then 2 extra operations are required for each datastore involved (candidate, running, startup). Since the datastore is locked at the start and unlocked at the end of the entire edit operation, these extra roundtrip times are intervals in which the datastore is being locked, but no datastore access is being done.

Obtaining locks can be expensive. If the server has more than 1 datastore (e.g., candidate + running or running + startup), then multiple lock requests are required, since the <lock> and <unlock> operations on affect 1 datastore at a time. This can cause a long delay or even deadlock if multiple clients are attempting to obtain global locks at once. E.g., client 1 holds a lock on the candidate datastore and is trying to lock the running datastore. At the same time, client 2 holds a lock on the running datastore and is trying to lock the candidate datastore.

Using locks can be brittle. NETCONF clients are intended to be programmatic, so it is not likely that locks will be long-lived. Global locks are designed to be short-lived since they block write access to the entire datastore. If lock collisions do occur, they are likely to be cleared very quickly. It would be useful if the client could request how long to wait for locks to clear instead of immediately rejecting an edit request due to an 'in-use' error.

Edit operations are implied by <config> content. NETCONF uses a default operation and explicit operation attribute within an arbitrarily complete XML subtree to represent a configuration datastore. There are several corner-cases that are not standardized, and very implementation-dependent:

- interpretation of implied operations vs. explicit operations
- order the edits are processed
- handling of nested operation attributes
- handling of duplicate subtrees
- error handling (code points, number of errors, etc.)
- move operations are not explicit and can interpreted as a request to remove and re-add an entry, not just move user-ordered data

Edit operations are not protected against multi-client alterations. It is a simple and common practice to retrieve a configuration data resource, changing 1 or more fields, and then update the resource on the server. Since retrieval and edit operations are separate there is always a chance that another client has altered the resource after the <get-config> operation, but before the <edit-config> operation, by the first client. Each client could be protected if there was an entity tag associated with each data resource, and an edit request could be rejected if the client attempted to edit a different version.
of the data resource than expected.

There is no bulk-edit support. If the same edit is needed in multiple instances of a particular data resource, then the data must be repeated for each instance in the <edit-config> or <copy-config> request. The request message size could be minimized if there was a way to apply a set of edits to multiple target nodes at once.

There is no confirmed commit support for the running datastore. The ability to backup the running datastore, change it, and revert it unless the client confirms the changes has nothing to do with the candidate datastore. A NETCONF server with limited memory is not likely to support the candidate datastore. This feature is useful for any type of network-wide configuration change, regardless of device size.

1.2.3. Data Retrieval

NETCONF data retrieval via the <get> and <get-config> operations can be very inefficient. Some vendors do not even support <get> because it can be such a resource-intensive operation and return an enormous amount of data, especially if all server data is requested at once.

A client cannot retrieve just the non-configuration data. The NETCONF <get> operation allows a client to retrieve data from the server but it returns all data, including configuration datastore nodes. The <get-config> operation already returns all configuration datastore nodes.

It was originally thought that <get> should return all nodes so the client would not have to correlate configuration and non-configuration data nodes, since they would be mixed together in the reply. Operational experience has shown that the <get> operation without reasonable filters to reduce the returned data can significantly degrade device performance and return enormous XML instance documents in the <rpc-reply>.

There is no "last-modified" indication or time filtering. The NETCONF protocol has no standard mechanisms to indicate to a client when a datastore was last modified, or to allow a client to retrieve data only if it has been modified since a specified time. This makes polling applications very inefficient because they will regularly burden the server and the network and themselves with retrieval and processing requests for data that has not changed.

There is no simple list instance discovery mechanism. Sometimes the client application wants to discover what data exists on the server, particularly list entries. There is a need for a simple mechanism to
retrieve just the key leaf nodes within a subtree. The NETCONF subtree filtering mechanism does provide a very complex way for the client to request just key leafs for specific list entries. A simpler mechanism is needed which will allow the client to discover the list instances present.

There is no subtree depth control. NETCONF filters allow the client to select specific sub-trees within the conceptual datastore on the server. However, sometimes the client does not really need the entire subtree, which may contain many nested list entries, and be very large. There is sometimes a need to limit the depth of the sub-trees retrieved from the server. A consistent and simple algorithm for determining what data nodes start a new level is needed.

The content filter specification is not extensible. The NETCONF <get> and <get-config> operations use a hard-coded content filtering mechanism. They use a "type" XML attribute to indicate which of two filter specification types they support, and a "select" XML attribute if the :xpath capability is supported and an XPath [XPATH] expression filter specification is provided.

This design does not allow additional content filter specification types to be supported by an implementation. It does not allow the standard to be easily extended in a modular fashion. In addition, this design does not allow YANG statements to be used to properly describe the protocol operation. The special "get-filter-element-attributes" YANG extension in the ietf-netconf module is not extensible, and it does not really count as proper YANG, since this extension is outside the YANG language definition.

There is no standard metadata or standard way to retrieve metadata. The <with-defaults> parameter allows 1 specific type of metadata to be returned (i.e., ‘report-all-tagged’ mode). This ad-hoc approach does not scale well and is not extensible. It would be useful if standard and vendor-specific metadata could be identified and retrieved with standard operations.

1.3. Solution

This document defines some NETCONF protocol operations and new capabilities to reduce network usage and increase functionality at the same time.

All NETCONF efficiency extensions are completely backward-compatible with the current definitions in [RFC6241]. An old client will ignore any new <capability> URIs sent by the server, and will not use the new operations. No existing operations are affected by the new operations, so the extensions will be transparent to an existing
NETCONF client.

1.3.1. Configuration ID Advertisement

A new capability called "config-id" is defined to identify the current running datastore configuration contents with an opaque string. A client can cache this value for each server that supports this capability, along with a copy of its running configuration. When a new session is started, the client can examine the "config-id" <capability> URI sent by the server. If it is the same as the cached value then the client can use the cached running datastore copy instead of sending an initial <get-config> operation to the server. The :config-id capability is ignored in the calculation of the :capability-id capability. Refer to Section 2.1 for details on configuration ID advertisement.

1.3.2. <edit2> Operation

A new NETCONF protocol operation called <edit2> is defined to address the deficiencies described in Section 1.2.2. This operation allows the entire NETCONF edit procedure to be accomplished with 1 request message. The editing procedures are aligned with the resource model defined in [RESTCONF]. Refer to Section 2.2 for details on <edit2> operation.

The "confirmed-commit" procedure has been integrated into the <edit2> operation, and can be supported by any server without requiring support for the candidate datastore. It is optional to implement, based on the "confirmed-edit" capability defined in Section 2.6.

Refer to Section 2.3 for details on the <complete-commit> operation and Section 2.4 for details on the <revert-commit> operation.

1.3.3. <get2> Operation

A new NETCONF protocol operation called <get2> is defined to address the deficiencies described in Section 1.2.3. This operation allows several filter types to be combined to control the data that is returned in the <rpc-reply> message, and an extensible framework for retrieving metadata associated with datastore or data resources. Refer to Section 2.5 for details on <get2> operation.
2. Definitions

This section defines the NETCONF efficiency extensions:

- :config-id Capability
- <edit2> Operation
- <complete-commit> Operation
- <revert-commit> Operation
- <get2> Operation

2.1. "config-id" Capability

2.1.1. Overview

The :config-id capability indicates that the server maintains a config ID for the running configuration datastore. This identifier value is selected by the server and treated as an opaque string by the client.

1) Client keeps a cache of server configurations.
2) Server always sends its current config-id value in the "config-id" <capability> URI.

Client                  Server X <hello> message
+---------------+             +------------------+
|               |             | config-id=1234   |
| Server Config |  <-------   | <capability>     |
|    Cache      |             | ...              |
+---------------+             +------------------+

3) Client checks cache for server X, config-id=1234.  
   If found, then OK to use the cached configuration copy.  
   If not found, then send a <get-config> for the running configuration to create or update the cached copy.

The server SHOULD save the config ID for the running datastore in non-volatile storage.  When the server boots or restarts, the initial configuration ID SHOULD be the same as the last instantiation, if the server does not support the :startup capability (so the non-volatile stored version mirrors the running datastore).  If the server does support the :startup capability, then the initial configuration ID SHOULD be the same as the version last saved to non-volatile storage.

2.1.1.1. :config-id Capability Example

The :config-id capability is sent in every server <hello> message.  The "id" parameter for the :config-id capability is set to the
Server starts session 3 with an abbreviated <hello>
<capabilities>
  <capability>
    urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:config-id?id=4284
  </capability>
  // ... rest of <capability> elements
<capabilities>
  <session-id>3</session-id>
</hello>

2.1.2. Dependencies

The :config-id capability is not dependent on any other capabilities.

2.1.3. Capability Identifier

The :config-id capability is identified by the following capability string:

  urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:config-id:1.0

This capability MUST be advertised in every server <hello> message. The :config-id capability URI MUST contain an "id" argument assigned an opaque string value indicating the current config ID value for the running datastore. For example:

  urn:ietf:params:netconf:capability:config-id:1.0?id=6882391

The current config ID value MUST be updated any time a "netconf-config-change" event would be generated by the server.

If [RFC6470] is supported, then the "config-id" leaf defined in Section 2.6 MUST be included in <netconf-config-change> event notifications.

If the "with-metadata" parameter in the <get2> operation specifies the "config-id" identity, then the server MUST return the current config ID for the running datastore, if the "source" parameter identifies the running datastore. The server MAY maintain config IDs for other datastores as well.

2.1.4. New Operations

The :config-id capability does not introduce any new protocol operations.
2.1.5. Modifications to Existing Operations

The :config-id capability does not modify any existing protocol operations.

2.1.6. Interactions with Other Capabilities

The :config-id capability does not interact with any other capabilities.

2.2. <edit2> Protocol Operation

The <edit2> operation is specified with a YANG "rpc" statement, defined in Section 2.6. This operation allows the entire NETCONF transaction procedure to be performed in a single operation or multiple operations, depending on the input parameters used.

There are no XML attributes used (e.g., "operation" from RFC 6241, "insert", "value" from RFC 6020). Instead, configuration edits are specified with an edit list, using the YANG Patch mechanism defined in [RESTCONF]. This is used instead of a complete XML instance document, e.g. <config> element, to represent an unordered patch list inferred from the diffs. (Although YANG Patch can be used in this mode if client wants to merge or replace the entire configuration datastore).

2.2.1. <edit2> Input

- target: name of the configuration datastore being edited
- target-resource: XPath node-set expression representing 1 or more target resources within the datastore to edit.
- yang-patch: container of ordered edits to apply to the target resource(s).
- test-only: flag to request that the edit request be validated but no edits should actually be applied
- if-match: if the entity tag for the target resource(s) does not exactly match the supplied value then the edit request is rejected.
- with-locking: if present then the server will provide exclusive write access to this <edit2> operation and possible confirmed-commit procedure.
max-lock-wait: amount of time the client is willing to wait for locks to clear, if "with-locking" parameter is present.

activate-now: if present and the target is the candidate datastore, then an implicit <commit> operation will be performed if the edit operation is successfully applied.

nvstore-now: if present and the server supports the startup datastore, and the edits have been activated in the running datastore, then an implicit <copy-config> operation (from the running to the startup datastore) will be attempted by the server.

certified: request that a certified commit be started or extended.

confirm-timeout: the amount of time for the server to wait for an <edit2> request that extends, a <complete-commit> request to finish, or a <revert-commit> request to cancel a confirmed commit procedure in progress.

persist: identifier string to use in the "persist-id" parameter to extend, complete, or cancel a confirmed commit procedure.

persist-id: identifier string to extend a confirmed commit procedure in progress.

2.2.2. <edit2> Output

Positive Response:

This operation returns data containing a "yang-patch-status" report (defined in [RESTCONF]) instead of an "ok" element. This report contains an "ok" element that is present if the entire operation succeeded.

Error Response:

The <rpc-error> element can be returned, e.g., if the message contains invalid parameter syntax. The server MUST report editing errors in the "edit" list within the "yang-patch-status" container.

2.2.3. <edit2> YANG Tree Diagram

Key: DRI = data-resource-identifier

```
+---x edit2
  +---ro input
    | +---ro target
```
```xml
++-ro (datastore-target)
  +-ro (candidate)
    |  +-ro candidate?  empty
    +-ro (running)
      |  +-ro running?  empty
++-ro target-resource?  yang:xpath1.0
++-ro yang-patch
  +-ro patch-id?  string
  +-ro comment?  string
  +-ro edit [edit-id]
    |  +-ro edit-id  string
    |  +-ro operation  enumeration
    |  +-ro target  data-resource-identifier
    |  +-ro point?  data-resource-identifier
    |  +-ro where?  enumeration
    +-ro value
  +-ro test-only?  empty
  +-ro if-match?  yang-entity-tag
  +-ro with-locking?  empty
  +-ro max-lock-wait?  uint32
  +-ro activate-now?  empty
  +-ro nvstore-now?  empty
  +-ro confirmed?  empty
  +-ro confirm-timeout?  uint32
  +-ro persist?  string
  +-ro persist-id?  string
  +--ro output
    +-ro yang-patch-status
      |  +-ro patch-id?  string
      +--ro (global-status)?
        |  +--ro errors
        |     |  +-ro error
        |     |     |  +-ro error-type  enumeration
        |     |     |  +-ro error-tag  string
        |     |     |  +-ro error-app-tag?  string
        |     |     |  +-ro error-path?  DRI
        |     |     |  +-ro error-message?  string
        |     |     |  +-ro error-info
        |  +--ro ok?  empty
  +--ro edit-status
    |  +--ro edit [edit-id]
    |     |  +-ro edit-id  string
    |     +--ro (edit-status-choice)?
    |        |  +--ro ok?  empty
```
2.2.4. <edit2> Example

In this example, an "all-in-one" YANG Patch edit is shown. the following conditions apply:

- The server supports the :candidate and :startup capabilities
- The "example-ex" YANG module is supported by the server

The starting state of the "/forests" data structure is described in Appendix B.2. The client is adding an "oak" tree and changing the location of the "birch" tree in the "north" forest.
<rpc message-id="101"
   xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
   <edit2 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex"
      xmlns:ex="http://example.com/ns/example-ex">
      <target><candidate/></target>
      <target-resource>
        /ex:forests/ex:forest[ex:name='north']
      </target-resource>
      <yang-patch>
        <patch-id>north-forest-patch</patch-id>
        <comment>
          Add an oak tree and change location of the birch tree
        </comment>
        <edit>
          <edit-id>oak</edit-id>
          <operation>create</operation>
          <target>/ex:trees</target>
          <value>
            <ex:tree>
              <ex:name>oak</ex:name>
              <ex:location>hillside</ex:location>
            </ex:tree>
          </value>
        </edit>
        <edit>
          <edit-id>birch</edit-id>
          <operation>merge</operation>
          <target>/ex:trees/ex:tree/birch</target>
          <value>
            <ex:location>west valley</ex:location>
          </value>
        </edit>
      </yang-patch>
      <activate-now/>
      <nvstore-now/>
   </edit2>
</rpc>

The edit succeeds, and the "yang-patch-status" container is returned to the client with the <ok/> status for both tree edits:
<rpc-reply message-id="101"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <yang-patch-status
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex"
    xmlns:ex="http://example.com/ns/example-ex">
    <patch-id>north-forest patch</patch-id>
    <ok/>
    <edit-status>
      <edit>
        <edit-id>oak</edit-id>
        <ok/>
      </edit>
      <edit>
        <edit-id>birch</edit-id>
        <ok/>
      </edit>
    </edit-status>
  </yang-patch-status>
</rpc-reply>

Refer to Appendix B.3 for additional <edit2> protocol operation examples.

2.3. <complete-commit> Operation

A new NETCONF protocol operation called <complete-commit> is defined to complete a confirmed commit procedure.

2.3.1. <complete-commit> Input

There is one optional parameter for this protocol operation:

- persist-id: an identifier string that MUST match the "persist" value, if it was used in the confirmed-commit procedure.

2.3.2. <complete-commit> Output

Positive Response:

The there is a confirmed-commit procedure in progress and it is successfully completed, then an <ok/> element is returned.

Negative Response: An <rpc-error> response is sent if the request cannot be completed for any reason.
2.3.3. `<complete-commit>` YANG Tree Diagram

```
+---x complete-commit
  +--ro input
    +--ro persist-id? string
```

2.3.4. `<complete-commit>` Example

In this example, the client has previously started a confirmed commit procedure using the "persist" parameter set to the value "abcdef".

```xml
<rpc message-id="102"
     xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <complete-commit
          xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex">
    <persist-id>abcdef</persist-id>
  </complete-commit>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="102"
            xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```

2.4. `<revert-commit>` Operation

A new NETCONF protocol operation called `<revert-commit>` is defined to cancel a confirmed commit procedure and revert the running datastore. The `<cancel-commit>` operation in [RFC6241] cannot be used because it requires the implementation of the candidate capability.

2.4.1. `<revert-commit>` Input

There is one optional parameter for this protocol operation:

- persist-id: an identifier string that MUST match the "persist" value, if it was used in the confirmed-commit procedure.

2.4.2. `<revert-commit>` Output

Positive Response:

If there is a confirmed-commit procedure in progress and it is successfully cancelled, and the running datastore successfully reverted, then an `<ok/>` element is returned.

Negative Response: An `<rpc-error>` response is sent if the request...
cannot be completed for any reason.

2.4.3. <revert-commit> YANG Tree Diagram

```
+---x revert-commit
    +---ro input
        +---ro persist-id? string
```

2.4.4. <revert-commit> Example

In this example, the client has previously started a confirmed commit procedure using the "persist" parameter set to the value "abcdef".

```xml
<rpc message-id="103"
     xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
    <revert-commit
        xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex">
        <persist-id>abcdef</persist-id>
    </revert-commit>
</rpc>
```

```xml
<rpc-reply message-id="103"
            xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
    <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```

2.5. <get2> Protocol Operation

The <get2> operation is specified with a YANG "rpc" statement, defined in Section 2.6. A specific datastore is selected for the source of the retrieval operation. Several different types of filters are provided. Filters are combined in a conceptual "logical-AND" operation, and are optional to use by the client. Not all filtering mechanisms are mandatory-to-implement for the server.

2.5.1. Depth Filters

A depth filter indicates how many subtree levels should be returned in the <rpc-reply>. This filter is specified with the "depth" input parameter for the <get2> protocol operation. The default "0" indicates that all levels from the requested subtrees should be returned.

A new level is started for each YANG data node within the requested subtree. All top level data nodes are considered to be child nodes (level 1) of a conceptual <config> root.
If no content filters are provided, then level 1 is considered to include all top-level data nodes within the source datastore. Otherwise only the levels in selected subtrees will be considered, and not any additional top-level data nodes.

If the depth requested is equal to "1", then only the requested data nodes (or top-level data nodes) will be returned. This mechanism can be used to detect the existence of containers and list entries within a particular subtree, without returning any of the descendant nodes.

Higher depth values indicates the number of descendant nodes to include in the response. For example, if the depth requested is equal to "2", then only the requested data nodes (or top-level data nodes) and their immediate child data nodes will be returned.

2.5.2. Time Filters

A time filter specifies that data should only be returned if the last-modified timestamp for the target datastore is more recent than the timestamp specified in the "if-modified-since" parameter.

If this feature is supported, then the server will maintain a "last-modified" timestamp for the running datastore. The server MAY support additional nested timestamps for data nodes within the datastore. The server MAY support timestamps for other datastores.

When a request containing the "if-modified-since" parameter is received, the server will compare that timestamp to the "last-modified" timestamp for the source datastore. If it is greater than the specified value then data may be returned (depending on other filters). If the datastore timestamp value is less than or equal to the specified value, then an empty <data> element will be returned in the <rpc-reply>.

If the "full-delta" parameter is present, and the server maintains "last-modified" timestamps for any data nodes within the source datastore, then the same type of comparison will be done for the data node to determine if it should be included in the response. If no "last-modified" timestamp is maintained for a data node, then the server will use the "last-modified" timestamp for its nearest ancestor, or for the datastore itself if there are none.

2.5.3. <get2> Input

  o source: A container indicating the conceptual datastore for the retrieval request.
filter-spec: A choice indicating the content filter specification for the retrieval request.

keys-only: A leaf indicating that only the key leafs, combined with other filtering criteria, should be returned.

if-modified-since: A leaf indicating the time filter specification for the retrieval request, according to the procedures in Section 2.5.2.

full-delta: If present and the "if-modified-since" parameter is also present, then the entire datastore will be filtered by last modification time, not just the entire datastore.

depth: A leaf indicating the subtree depth level for the retrieval request, according to the procedures in Section 2.5.1.

with-defaults: A leaf indicating the type of defaults handling requested, according to procedures in [RFC6243].

with-metadata: A leaf-list indicating the specific metadata that the server should add to the response, such as "last-modified" or "etag", encoded in XML according to the schema in Section 2.7.

with-locking: if present then the server will provide exclusive write access to this <get2> operation so the target datastore is not modified during the entire retrieval operation.

max-lock-wait: amount of time the client is willing to wait for locks to clear, if "with-locking" parameter is present.

2.5.4. <get2> Output

Positive Response: A <data> element is returned which contains the data corresponding to the input parameters specified in the request. The child nodes of the <data> container correspond to top-level YANG data nodes.

If the server supports the "timestamps" YANG feature, and the target is the running datastore, then a "last-modified" attribute SHOULD be included in the <rpc-reply> element.

Negative Response: An <rpc-error> response is sent if the request cannot be completed for any reason.
2.5.5. <get2> YANG Tree Diagram

```yang
+---x get2
   +---ro input
      |   +---ro source
      |      |   +---ro (datastore-source)?
      |      |      |     +--:(candidate)
      |      |      |        |   +--ro candidate? empty
      |      |      |     +--:(running)
      |      |      |        |   +--ro running? empty
      |      |      |     +--:(startup)
      |      |      |        |   +--ro startup? empty
      |      |      +--:(url)
      |      |        |   +--ro url? inet:uri
      |      +--:(filter-spec)?
      |          |     +--:(subtree-filter)
      |          |        |   +--ro subtree-filter
      |          |        +--:(xpath-filter)
      |          |            |   +--ro xpath-filter?  yang:xpath1.0
      |          |            +--ro keys-only?  empty
      |          +--ro if-modified-since? yang:date-and-time
      +--ro full-delta? empty
      +--ro depth? uint32
      +--ro with-defaults? with-defaults-mode
      +--ro with-metadata* identityref
      +--ro with-locking? empty
      +--ro max-lock-wait? uint32
   +---ro output
      +---ro data
```

2.5.6. <get2> Example

In this example, the retrieval the "forests" resource is shown. the following conditions apply:

- The server supports the :candidate and :startup capabilities
- The "example-ex" YANG module is supported by the server

The starting state of the "/forests" data structure is described in Appendix B.2. The client is retrieving just the "forests" node, along with the "last-modified" and "etag" metadata for that node. The "config-id" for the datastore is also requested. Locking is requested (with a maximum lock wait time of 5 seconds), just to make sure the metadata does not change during the request.
The server has a "forests" node so this node is returned along with the requested metadata for the node. Note that the XML namespace for the "ncex" metadata is the XSD target namespace defined in Section 2.7, not the YANG namespace URI defined in Section 2.6.

Refer to Appendix B.4 for additional <get2> protocol operation examples.

2.6. NETCONF-EX YANG Module

This module imports the "with-defaults-parameters" grouping from [RFC6243].

Several YANG features are imported from [RFC6241]. These correspond to the NETCONF capabilities (e.g., candidate, url, startup, xpath) but defined as YANG features instead of URIs.

Some data types are imported from [RFC6991]:

Bierman Expires April 24, 2015 [Page 24]
Two YANG groupings are imported from [YANG-Patch]:

- yang-patch
- yang-patch-status

One notification is augmented from [RFC6470].

- netconf-configuration-change

RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication and remove this note.

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-netconf-ex@2014-10-21.yang"

module ietf-netconf-ex {

  prefix ncex;

  import ietf-inet-types {
    prefix inet;
  }

  import ietf-netconf {
    prefix nc;
  }

  import ietf-netconf-notifications {
    prefix ncn;
  }

  import ietf-netconf-with-defaults {
    prefix ncwd;
  }

  import ietf-yang-patch {
    prefix yp;
  }

  import ietf-yang-types {
    prefix yang;
  }

  organization

This module contains a collection of YANG definitions for the efficient operation of a NETCONF server. Protocol operations are defined to reduce network usage and transaction complexity.

Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the RFC itself for full legal notices.

// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this note.
// RFC Ed.: remove this note
// Note: extracted from
// draft-bierman-netconf-efficiency-extensions-02.txt

// RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication
// and remove this note.
revision "2014-10-21" {

description
  "Initial revision. <get2> operation originally published in draft-bierman-netconf-get2-03.txt";

reference
  "RFC XXXX: NETCONF Efficiency Extensions";
feature timestamps {
    description
    "This feature indicates that the server implements the <get2> operations parameters which require last modification timestamps to be maintained by the server.

    If this feature is advertised then one global 'last-modified' timestamp for the entire running configuration datastore MUST be supported.

    The server MAY support additional timestamps for additional datastores and data nodes within a datastore. The 'with-metadata' parameter can be used to identify which data nodes support a 'last-modified' timestamp."
}

feature with-defaults {
    description
    "This feature indicates that the server supports the 'with-defaults' parameter for the <get2> operation. A NETCONF server SHOULD support this feature."
    reference
    "RFC 6243: With-defaults Capability for NETCONF"
}

feature confirmed-edit {
    description
    "This feature indicates that the server supports the confirmed commit procedure for the <edit2> protocol operation."
}

identity metadata {
    description
    "Base for all metadata identifiers used by the 'with-metadata' parameter in the <get2> operation."
}
identity timestamps {
    base metadata;
    description
        "Describes metadata identifying the last modification time of the associated datastore or data resource.";
}

identity etags {
    base metadata;
    description
        "Describes metadata identifying the entity tag value of the associated datastore or data resource.";
}

identity config-id {
    base metadata;
    description
        "Describes metadata identifying the config ID of the associated datastore or data resource.";
}

/* Typedefs */

typedef yang-entity-tag {
    type string;
    description
        "Contains an opaque string representing a specific instance of a datastore or data resource. A client can use this string for equality comparisons between yang-entity-tag values.

        If any configuration data node values changes, or the relative order of any user-ordered data changes, then the server MUST change the entity tag value for the running datastore to a different value. If the server maintains entity-tag values for configuration data nodes, then the server MUST change the yang-entity-tag value for any affected data node.

        Only yang-entity-tag values for the same target resource instance can be compared. Only the ‘strong entity tag’ form is required. A server MAY support the ‘weak entity tag’ form. If so, then 2 YANG data node resource instances are considered to be equivalent if they contain the same value subtrees and all user-ordered data nodes share the same relative order.";
    reference
        Bierman
    }

"RFC 2616, section 3.11.";
}

/* Groupings */

grouping lock-parms {
    description "Common parameters to control datastore locking."

    leaf with-locking {
        type empty;
        description "If this parameter is present then the request MUST be
        performed with exclusive write access to all datastores
        involved in the operation. An 'operation-not-supported'
        error-tag value is returned if the target datastore for
        the operation does not support locking (e.g., 'url' or
        'operational').

        If the server cannot provide exclusive write access
        for the entire requested operation then an 'in-use'
        error-tag value is returned.

        If the 'max-lock-wait' parameter is also present then
        the server MAY choose to wait up to that amount of
time attempting to obtain exclusive write access,
        before returning an error.";
    }

    leaf max-lock-wait {
        when "../with-locking" {
            description "Only relevant if locking is requested.";
        }
        type uint32 {
            range "1 .. 600";
        }
        units seconds;
        description "If this parameter is present and the 'with-locking'
        parameter is also present, then the server MAY wait
        up to the specified number of seconds attempting
        to obtain exclusive write access for the requested
        operation.";
    }
}
/ * Protocol Operations */

rpc get2 {

description
 "Retrieve NETCONF datastore information";

input {

container source {

description
 "The datastore (or non-configuration data)
to use for the source for the retrieval operation.";

choice datastore-source {

default running;

description
 "The configuration source for the retrieval operation.
The running configuration is the default choice if
this parameter is not present.";

leaf candidate {

if-feature nc:candidate;

type empty;

description
 "The candidate configuration datastore is the
retrieval source.";

}

leaf running {

type empty;

description
 "The running configuration datastore is the
retrieval source.";

}

leaf startup {

if-feature nc:startup;

type empty;

description
 "The startup configuration datastore is the
retrieval source.";

}

leaf url {

if-feature nc:url;

type inet:uri;

description
 "The URL-based configuration is the
retrieval source.";

}

leaf operational {

if-feature nc:config;

type empty;

description
 "The retrieval source is the collection of all

operational (non-configuration) data nodes supported by the server.

Any ancestor container and/or list and list key nodes are also returned. No other leafs or leaf-lists will be included in the reply.

The server MAY return ancestor container, and/or list and list key nodes that do not contain any non-configuration nodes. This can occur for several reasons, e.g., the implementation streams replies and cannot defer instrumentation or access control filtering of descendant data nodes.

choice filter-spec {
  description
  "The content filter specification for this request";

  anyxml subtree-filter {
    description
    "This parameter identifies the portions of the target datastore to retrieve.";
    reference "RFC 6241, Section 6.";
  }

  leaf xpath-filter {
    if-feature nc:xpath;
    type yang:xpath1.0;
    description
    "This parameter contains an XPath expression identifying the portions of the target datastore to retrieve.";
  }

  leaf keys-only {
    type empty;
    description
    "This parameter selects only data nodes which are key leaf nodes. Parent container and list nodes are also returned, but no other leafs, or any leaf-lists will be included in the reply.";
  }

  leaf if-modified-since {
    if-feature timestamps;
  }
}
type yang:date-and-time;
description
"This parameter selects the target datastore only if the last-modified timestamp for the datastore is more recent than the specified time. If not, then an empty <data> element is returned.

If the target datastore does not maintain a last-modified timestamp, then this parameter is ignored."
}

leaf full-delta {
  if-feature timestamps;
type empty;
description
"This parameter selects only data nodes which have been modified since the specified time. It is ignored unless the 'if-modified-since' parameter is also provided and the target datastore supports a last-modified timestamp."
}

leaf depth {
type uint32;
default 0;
description
"This parameter selects how many conceptual sub-tree levels should be returned in the <rpc-reply>.

If this parameter is equal to '0', then entire subtrees will be returned.

If this parameter is greater than '0', then only the specified number of subtree levels will be returned."
}

uses ncwd:with-defaults-parameters {
  if-feature with-defaults;
description
"This parameter controls the retrieval of default values."
reference
"RFC 6243: With-defaults Capability for NETCONF";
}
leaf-list with-metadata {
  type identityref {
    base metadata;
  }
  description
  "This parameter will cause the server to return
  metadata in the <rpc-reply> (e.g. as XML attributes
  in XML encoding) associated with the specified
  metadata identity. If the server does not support
  any specified metadata identifier, then the
  operation fails with an 'invalid-value' error."
}

uses lock-parms {
  description
  "Exclusive write access can be requested to
  ensure that no other sessions modify the
  configuration data during the retrieval operation"
}

output {
  anyxml data {
    description
    "Copy of the requested datastore subset which
    matched the filter criteria (if any).
    An empty data container indicates that the
    request did not produce any results."
  }
}

rpc edit2 {
  description
  "Edit NETCONF datastore contents.
  All operations requested in the yang-patch edit list
  are applied, or the target datastore is left unchanged."
  input {
    container target {
      description
      "The datastore to use as the target for this
      edit operation."
    }
    choice datastore-target {
      mandatory true;
    }
  }
}
description
"The configuration target for the edit operation."

leaf candidate {
  if-feature nc:candidate;
  type empty;
  description
  "The candidate configuration datastore is the edit target.";
}

leaf running {
  if-feature nc:writable-running;
  type empty;
  description
  "The running configuration datastore is the edit target.";
}

leaf target-resource {
  if-feature nc:xpath;
  type yang:xpath1.0;
  description
  "This parameter identifies 1 or more data node instances for which the yang-patch edits will be applied. The target-resource expression MUST evaluate to a node-set result.

  Each operation in the yang-patch edit list will be applied to each target-resource instance, as if it were the document root for the operation.

  If multiple instances are represented by the target-resource value, then the server will apply all edits to all instances. If any errors occur, then all edits from this request will be undone from the target datastore.

  The user MUST have appropriate write permissions for all data accessed by every operation within the edit list.

  If this parameter is not present or not supported then the target resource is the root node of the datastore identified by the ‘target’ parameter.";
}
uses yp:yang-patch {
  description
  "The yang-patch parameter contains the ordered list of edits to perform on the target resource(s).

  The conceptual document root for the 'target' parameter is defined to be the value of a data node represented by the 'target-resource' parameter or the target datastore conceptual root node if that parameter is not present.";
}

leaf test-only {
  type empty;
  description
  "If this parameter is present the server will not actually perform the requested edits. Instead the edit request will be validated as if it were going to be applied. Any parameter errors or datastore validation errors SHOULD be reported in the response.

  No attempt to apply, activate the edits or save them in non-volatile storage will be made if this parameter is present.";
}

leaf if-match {
  type yang-entity-tag;
  description
  "If this parameter is set, then the entire edit request will be rejected unless the entity tag for the target resource matches this value. An rpc-error with an 'operation-failed' error-tag value MUST returned, and the edit operation MUST NOT be attempted. The 'error-app-tag' field SHOULD be set to 'precondition-failed'.

  If the target datastore does not maintain a last-modified timestamp, then this parameter is ignored.";
}

uses lock-parms {
  description
  "Exclusive write access can be requested to ensure that no other sessions modify the configuration data during the edit operation and possibly the entire confirmed commit procedure.";
If the ‘with-locking’ parameter is used to start or extend a confirmed commit procedure, then the exclusive write access will be maintained until the confirmed commit procedure terminates somehow.

If the ‘with-locking’ parameter is used for a plain edit operation, then exclusive write access will be maintained until this operation has completed.

leaf activate-now {
  type empty;
  description
  "If present and the edit operation succeeds, then the server will activate the configuration changes right away. The server will conceptually perform a <commit> operation after the edit operation. The user MUST have execute permission for the <commit> operation or the operation fails with an ‘access-denied’ error.

  This parameter has no affect unless the 'datasource-target' choice is the 'candidate' leaf."
}

leaf nvstore-now {
  type empty;
  description
  "If present and the edit operation succeeds, and the configuration changes are activated in the running datastore, then the server will persist the configuration changes right away in non-volatile store. The server will conceptually perform a <copy-config> operation from the running to the startup datastore. The user MUST have execute permission for the <copy-config> operation or the operation fails with an ‘access-denied’ error.

  This parameter has no affect unless the 'startup' capability is supported by the server."
}

leaf confirmed {
  if-feature confirmed-edit;
  type empty;
  description
  "If the requested edit operation succeeds and the configuration changes are applied to the running
A confirmed commit procedure is an `<edit2>` operation that contains this parameter. The `<complete-commit>` operation is used to complete the confirmed commit procedure. The `<revert-commit>` operation is used to cancel the confirmed commit procedure and revert the running datastore back to the contents before the first confirmed commit operation.

If no `<complete-commit>` or `<revert-commit>` operation is invoked within the timeout interval then the server will revert the running datastore back to the contents before the first confirmed edit operation.

This is the same as the confirmed commit procedure in RFC 6241 except the candidate capability is not required.

The server will save the running datastore contents before the edit operation is activated, if there is no confirmed edit already in progress.

If the `with-locking` parameter is present then the server will maintain exclusive write access for the specified session until the confirmed edit procedure is completed somehow.

The timeout interval for a confirmed edit procedure. If exclusive write access was granted for this confirmed commit procedure, then it is removed if the timeout

leaf confirm-timeout {
  when `./confirmed` {
    description
    "Only relevant if the <confirmed> parameter is present";
  }
  if-feature confirmed-edit;
  type uint32 {
    range "1..max";
  }
  units "seconds";
  default "600"; // 10 minutes
  description
  "The timeout interval for a confirmed edit procedure.
  If exclusive write access was granted for this confirmed commit procedure, then it is removed if the timeout
occurs and the confirmed commit procedure is terminated.";
reference "RFC 6241, Section 8.3.4.1";
}

leaf persist {
  if-feature confirmed-edit;
  type string;
  description
    "This parameter is used to make a confirmed commit
    procedure persistent.  A persistent confirmed commit
    is not aborted if the NETCONF session terminates.
    The only way to abort a persistent confirmed commit
    is to let the timer expire, or to use the
    <revert-commit> operation.
    The value of this parameter is a token that MUST be
    given in the 'persist-id' parameter of the <edit2>,
    <complete-commit>, or <revert-commit> operations in
    order to extend, confirm, or cancel the persistent
    confirmed commit procedure.
    The token SHOULD be a random string.";
  reference "RFC 6241, Section 8.3.4.1";
}

leaf persist-id {
  if-feature confirmed-edit;
  type string;
  description
    "This parameter is given in order to extend a persistent
    confirmed edit.  The value must be equal to the value
    given in the 'persist' parameter to the <commit>
    operation. If it does not match, the operation fails
    with an 'invalid-value' error.";
  reference "RFC 6241, Section 8.3.4.1";
}

output {
  uses yp:yang-patch-status;
}

rpc complete-commit {
  if-feature confirmed-edit;
  description
"This operation is used to complete an ongoing confirmed commit procedure. If exclusive write access was granted for this confirmed commit procedure, then it is removed if this operation is successfully completed.

If the confirmed commit is persistent, the parameter 'persist-id' MUST be given, and it MUST match the value of the 'persist' parameter given in the <edit2> operation. If not confirmed commit procedure is in progress then the operation fails with an 'operation-failed' error.

reference "RFC 6241, Section 8.4.5.1"

input {
  leaf persist-id {
    type string;
    description
    "This parameter is given in order to complete a persistent confirmed commit procedure. The value MUST be equal to the value given in the 'persist' parameter to the <edit2> operation. If it does not match, the operation fails with an 'invalid-value' error."
  }
}
}

rpc revert-commit {
  if-feature confirmed-edit;
  description
  "This operation is used to cancel an ongoing confirmed commit. If exclusive write access was granted for this confirmed commit procedure, then it is removed if this operation is successfully completed.

If the confirmed commit is persistent, the parameter 'persist-id' MUST be given, and it MUST match the value of the 'persist' parameter. If not confirmed commit procedure is in progress then the operation fails with an 'operation-failed' error.

reference "RFC 6241, Section 8.4.4.1"

input {
  leaf persist-id {
    type string;
    description
    "This parameter is given in order to cancel a persistent confirmed commit and revert the running configuration"
datastore to its state before the confirmed commit procedure started. The value MUST be equal to the value given in the 'persist' parameter to the <edit2> operation.

If it does not match, the operation fails with an 'invalid-value' error.

/* Notifications */

augment /ncn:netconf-config-change {
  description
    "Add the updated config-id capability value to a configuration change event.";

  leaf config-id {
    type string;
    description
      "Contains the new configuration ID for the running datastore on the server, representing the datastore after the configuration changes.";
  }
}

<CODE ENDS>

2.7. XSD for NETCONF-EX Metadata

The following XML Schema document [XSD] defines the "last-modified" and "etag" attributes, described within this document. The "last-modified" attribute is only relevant if the server supports the "timestamps" YANG feature within the "ietf-netconf-ex" YANG module.

The "last-modified" attribute uses the XSD data type "dateTime", in accordance with Section 3.2.7.1 of XML Schema Part 2: Datatypes. This is equivalent to the YANG data type "date-and-time".

The "etag" attribute uses the XSD data type "string", in accordance with the "yang-entity-tag" YANG typedef defined in Section 2.6.
The "config-id" attribute uses the XSD data type "string".

<CODE BEGINS> file "netconf-ex.xsd"

<?xml version="1.0" encoding="UTF-8"?><xs:schema xmlns:xs="http://www.w3.org/2001/XMLSchema"
   xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:netconf-ex:1.0"
   targetNamespace="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:netconf-ex:1.0"
   elementFormDefault="qualified"
   attributeFormDefault="unqualified"
   xml:lang="en">

<xs:annotation>
   <xs:documentation>
   This schema defines the syntax for the "last-modified"
   and "etag" attributes described within this document.
   </xs:documentation>
</xs:annotation>

<!-- config-id attribute -->
<xs:attribute name="config-id" type="xs:string">
   <xs:annotation>
      <xs:documentation>
      This attribute indicates the current config ID
      for the running configuration datastore,
      corresponding to the XML element containing this attribute.
      </xs:documentation>
   </xs:annotation>
</xs:attribute>

<!-- last-modified attribute -->
<xs:attribute name="last-modified" type="xs:dateTime">
   <xs:annotation>
      <xs:documentation>
      This attribute indicates the date and time when
      a modification was last detected by the server
      for the datastore or data node corresponding to
      the XML element containing this attribute.
      </xs:documentation>
   </xs:annotation>
</xs:attribute>

<!-- etag attribute -->

</xs:schema>

<CODE ENDS>
<xs:attribute name="etag" type="xs:string">
  <xs:annotation>
    <xs:documentation>
      This attribute indicates the entity tag for the datastore or data node corresponding to the XML element containing this attribute.
    </xs:documentation>
  </xs:annotation>
</xs:attribute>
</xs:schema>

<CODE ENDS>
3. IANA Considerations

3.1. NETCONF-EX XML Namespace

This document registers a URI in the IETF XML registry [RFC3688]. Following the format in RFC 3688, the following registration is requested:

   Registrant Contact: The NETCONF WG of the IETF.
   XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

3.2. NETCONF-EX XML Schema

This document registers a URI for the NETCONF XML schema in the IETF XML registry [RFC3688].

// RFC Ed. remove this line and uncomment next line when published
// IANA has updated the following URI to reference this document.


3.3. NETCONF-EX YANG Module

This document registers 1 YANG module in the YANG Module Names registry [RFC6020].

   name:        ietf-netconf-ex
   prefix:      ncex
   // RFC Ed. remove this line and replace XXXX in next line
   reference:   RFC XXXX
4. Security Considerations

This document does not introduce any new security concerns in addition to those specified in [RFC6241], section 9.
5. Change Log

-- RFC Ed.: remove this section before publication.

5.1. 01 to 02

5.1.1. Removed :encoding Capability

The :encoding URI exchange was removed because the developers who want to use JSON are using RESTCONF instead of NETCONF. The NETCONF protocol should support a binary format that can be streamed by servers. This should be done as a separate standards effort.

5.2. 00 to 01

5.2.1. Removed :capability-id Capability

The :capability-id URI exchange was removed because the NETCONF protocol does not allow the server to delay its <hello> message so the client cannot choose the full or abbreviated <hello>.

This makes the :capability-id URI exchange unworkable for several reasons:

- Since the client cannot select the server hello format based on its own notion of the cached capability set, the server must be configured to always use the full or always use the abbreviated <hello> message.

- All clients must support the new capability exchange or the server cannot practically be configured to use the abbreviated <hello> message.

- Since the client will not know the capability-id value for a server the first time the particular value is seen, the "schema" list in the "ietf-netconf-monitoring" YANG module would have to be mandatory-to-implement by both client and server, and mandatory-to-use by the client.

- Forcing the client to perform a <get> request and wait for an <rpc-reply> before using the NETCONF session introduces 1 round-trip of extra latency into the protocol.

- Forcing the client to perform a <get> request and wait for an <rpc-reply> before using the NETCONF session introduces extra complexity into the protocol.
5.2.2. RESTCONF Alignment

The YANG module was updated to align with new RESTCONF and YANG Patch drafts. The "location" leaf has been removed from the "yang-patch-status" grouping.
6. Normative References


Appendix A. Open Issues

A.1. resource-identifier-type

The resource-identifier-type typedef from yang-patch is a RESTCONF path expression, not an XPath path expression. The error-path parameter also uses RESTCONF path strings. Should either or both of these be XPath instead?

A.2. no YANG for top-level message nodes

The YANG module of the node is needed for JSON encoding, but there is no YANG schema definition for the <rpc>, <rpc-reply>, or <notification> elements. The namespace for <rpc> and <rpc-reply> is "ietf-netconf", but no module name at all exists for the <notification> element.

A.3. config-id attribute

Should the "config-id" (etag for the running datastore root) be returned in every <get2> response or only if requested? (Currently only if requested.)

A.4. <get2> nodeset retrieval

Should there be a retrieval mode for <get2> where only the nodes in an XPath node-set are returned? NETCONF returns all ancestor nodes and all ancestor or sibling key leafs as well. Sometime the XPath designer knows the context of the result node-set (e.g. path expression for 1 instance of a nested list). The XML scaffolding can add a lot of extra bytes to the <rpc-reply>.
Appendix B. Additional Examples

B.1. YANG Module Used in Examples

The "example-ex" YANG module models a collection of forests. Each forest has a collection of trees. For simplicity, only 1 tree of each type is allowed in a forest.

```
module example-ex {
    namespace "http://example.com/ns/example-ex";
    prefix ex;
    organization "Example, Inc.";
    contact "support@example.com";

    description "Module used in NETCONF-EX examples.";
    revision 2013-10-19 {
        description "Initial version";
        reference "Example Spec 12.44";
    }

    container forests {
        description "A collection of forests";
        list forest {
            key name;
            description "A single forest";

            leaf name {
                type string;
                description "The forest name";
            }

            leaf tree-count {
                type uint32;
                config false;
                description "The number of trees in this forest";
            }
        }
    }
}
```
container trees {
    description "A collection of trees";

    list tree {
        key name;
        description "A single tree";

        leaf name {
            type string;
            description "The tree name";
        }

        leaf location {
            type string;
            description "The tree location";
        }

        leaf height {
            type decimal64 {
                fraction-digits 3;
            }
            units meters;
            config false;
            description "The tree height";
        }
    } // list tree
} // container trees
} // list forest
} // container forests

B.2. YANG Data Used in Examples

The following instances are assumed in the following examples.

list forest: "north":
    list tree: "birch", "ash", "maple"

list forest: "south":
    list tree: "banyan", "palm"

    leaf "location": "hillside", "west valley", "southwest pasture", "east meadow", "greenhouse"

The forests and trees are configured, which represent trees the company has planted and growing over time.
The operational data (tree height) represents the data that the company monitors for each tree over time.

B.3. <edit2> Examples

B.3.1. Confirmed Commit on the "running" Datastore

In this example, the server supports the :writable-running and :startup capabilities:

```xml
<rpc message-id="105"
     xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <edit2 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex"
         xmlns:ex="http://example.com/ns/example-ex">
    <target><running/></target>
    <target-resource>
      /ex:forests/ex:forest[ex:name='north']
    </target-resource>
    <yang-patch>
      <patch-id>oak-tree-patch</patch-id>
      <comment>Create an oak tree</comment>
      <edit>
        <edit-id>oak</edit-id>
        <operation>create</operation>
        <target>/ex:trees</target>
        <value>
          <ex:tree>
            <ex:name>oak</ex:name>
            <ex:location>hillside</ex:location>
          </ex:tree>
        </value>
      </edit>
    </yang-patch>
  </edit2>
</rpc>
```

The edit succeeds, and the "yang-patch-status" container is returned to the client with the <location> path expression of the new oak tree resource. The candidate and running datastores remain locked after this operation because a confirmed commit procedure is in progress. The startup datastore was not locked during this operation because the "nvstore-now" parameter was not provided.
After configuration verification (e.g., 20 seconds), the client decides to keep these configuration changes and sends a <complete-commit> request.

```xml
<rpc-reply message-id="106"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <complete-commit
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex">
    <persist>24ef8829a4</persist>
  </complete-commit>
</rpc-reply>
```

The server completes the confirmed commit procedure and returns an "ok" element to indicate success:

```xml
<rpc-reply message-id="106"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <ok/>
</rpc-reply>
```

After the operation succeeds, the server releases all locks that were being held to allow exclusive write access for the entire confirmed commit procedure.

The client can now save the activated configuration changes to the startup configuration using the <copy-config> protocol operation, as described in RFC 6241, section 8.7.5.1.

B.3.2. Conditional Editing with "if-match" Parameter

In this example the client is going to change the location of the "palm" tree to the "south" forest. The entity tag for the tree
resource is retrieved with the resource:

```xml
<rpc message-id="107"
     xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get2 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex"
    <xpath-filter> <!-- wrapped for display -->
      /ex:forests/ex:forest[ex:name='south']/ex:trees/
      ex:tree[ex:name='palm']
    </xpath-filter>
    <depth>1</depth>
    <with-metadata>ncex:etags</with-metadata>
  </get2>
</rpc>
```

The server returns a subtree containing data nodes representing the "palm" tree. The "etag" attribute is returned for this resource and its ancestors. Only the "tree" node itself, as requested with the "depth parameter.

```xml
<rpc-reply message-id="107"
            xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
            xmlns:lm="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:netconf-ex:1.0">
  <data xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex"
        lm:last-modified="2012-09-09T02:00:00Z">
    <forests xmlns="http://example.com/ns/example-ex"
             lm:etag="34ef6892">
      <forest lm:etag="ef11eb99">
        <name>south</name>
        <trees lm:etag="ef11eb99">
          <tree lm:etag="3477cc82" />
        </trees>
      </forest>
    </forests>
  </data>
</rpc-reply>
```

The client then edits the list entry (e.g., reassigns tree location) but submits an "if-match" parameter with the "etag" value it received for the tree resource being edited:
<rpc message-id="108"
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <edit2 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex"
    xmlns:ex="http://example.com/ns/example-ex">
    <target><candidate/></target>
    <target-resource> <!-- wrapped for display -->
      /ex:forests/ex:forest[ex:name='south']/ex:trees
      /ex:tree[ex:name='palm']
    </target-resource>
    <yang-patch>
      <patch-id>move-palm-tree</patch-id>
      <comment>Move the palm tree</comment>
      <edit>
        <edit-id>palm</edit-id>
        <operation>merge</operation>
        <target>/</target>
        <value>
          <ex:location>greenhouse</ex:location>
        </value>
      </edit>
    </yang-patch>
    <if-match>3477cc82</if-match>
    <with-locking/>
    <max-lock-wait>10</max-lock-wait>
    <activate-now/>
    <nvstore-now/>
  </edit2>
</rpc>

In this example the tree resource has been edited by another client since the <get2> reply for this client, so the edit request is not even attempted. Instead an "operation-failed" is returned:
B.3.3. Bulk Editing with "target-resource" Parameter

In this example, the server supports the :candidate and :startup capabilities, so all 3 datastores (including running) are locked for the <edit2> operation. There is a new pine tree for each forest that is being created and sent to the greenhouse.
<rpc message-id="109"
 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <edit2 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex"
        xmlns:ex="http://example.com/ns/example-ex">
    <target><candidate/></target>
    <target-resource>
      /ex:forests/ex:forest
    </target-resource>
    <yang-patch>
      <patch-id>pine-tree-patch</patch-id>
      <comment>Add 2 new pine trees to greenhouse</comment>
      <edit>
        <edit-id>pine</edit-id>
        <operation>create</operation>
        <target>/ex:trees</target>
        <value>
          <ex:tree>
            <ex:name>pine</ex:name>
            <ex:location>greenhouse</ex:location>
          </ex:tree>
        </value>
      </edit>
    </yang-patch>
    <with-locking/>
    <max-lock-wait>10</max-lock-wait>
    <activate-now/>
    <nvstore-now/>
  </edit2>
</rpc>

The edit succeeds, and the "yang-patch-status" container is returned to the client with the status information.

<rpc-reply message-id="109"
 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <yang-patch-status
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex"
    xmlns:ex="http://example.com/ns/example-ex">
    <patch-id>pine-tree-patch</patch-id>
    <ok/>
    <edit-status>
      <edit>
        <edit-id>pine</edit-id>
        <ok/>
      </edit>
    </edit-status>
  </yang-patch-status>
</rpc-reply>
B.3.4. Edit Validation with "test-only" Parameter

In this example, the client is checking if it can change the location field in the "palm" tree list entry by using the "test-only" parameter:

```xml
<rpc message-id="110"
     xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <edit2 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex"
         xmlns:ex="http://example.com/ns/example-ex">
    <target><candidate/></target>
    <target-resource>
      /ex:forests/ex:forest[ex:name='south']/ex:trees
    </target-resource>
    <yang-patch>
      <patch-id>palm-tree-move</patch-id>
      <comment>Move the palm tree to riverside</comment>
      <edit>
        <edit-id>palm</edit-id>
        <operation>merge</operation>
        <target>/ex:tree/palm</target>
        <value>
          <ex:location>riverside</ex:location>
        </value>
      </edit>
    </yang-patch>
    <test-only/>
  </edit2>
</rpc>
```

Since "riverside" is not a supported location, an "invalid-value" error is returned for the requested edit operation:
<rpc-reply message-id="110"
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <yang-patch-status
    xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex"
    xmlns:ex="http://example.com/ns/example-ex">
    <patch-id>palm-tree-move</patch-id>
    <edit-status>
      <edit>
        <edit-id>palm</edit-id>
        <errors>
          <error>
            <error-type>protocol</error-type>
            <error-tag>invalid-value</error-tag>
            <error-path> <!-- wrapped for display -->
              /ex:forests/ex:forest[ex:name='south']/ex:trees/
              ex:tree[ex:name='palm']
            </error-path>
            <error-message xml:lang="en">
              value is invalid
            </error-message>
          </error>
          <!-- errors -->
        </errors>
        </edit>
      </edit-status>
    </yang-patch-status>
  </rpc-reply>

B.4. <get2> Examples

B.4.1. If-Modified-Since Non-Empty Filter Retrieval

In this example, the running datastore was last modified at "2012-09-09T01:43:27Z" because the forest named "north" was modified at this time.

- The forest named "north" was last modified after the specified "if-modified-since" timestamp.
- The forest named "south" was last modified before the specified "if-modified-since" timestamp.
- The server maintains a last-modified timestamp for the running datastore and the "forest" list entries.
- The client is requesting only the changed entries after 2012-09-09T01:43:27Z, so the "full-delta" parameter is set.
The client is also requesting that timestamps be returned within the data nodes. If any part of the "forest" subtree is modified then this timestamp will be updated.

```xml
<rpc message-id="111"
 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
 <get2 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex"
 <subtree-filter>
  <forests xmlns="http://example.com/ns/example-ex" />
 </subtree-filter>
 <if-modified-since>2012-09-09T01:43:27Z</if-modified-since>
 <full-delta/>
 <with-metadata>ncex:timestamps</with-metadata>
 </get2>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="111"
 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
 xmlns:lm="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:netconf-ex:1.0">
 <data xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex"
 lm:last-modified="2012-09-09T02:00:00Z">
  <forests xmlns="http://example.com/ns/example-ex">
   <forest lm:last-modified="2012-09-09T02:00:00Z">
    <name>north</name>
    <trees>
     <tree>
      <name>birch</name>
      <location>hillside</location>
     </tree>
     <tree>
      <name>ash</name>
      <location>southwest pasture</location>
     </tree>
     <tree>
      <name>maple</name>
      <location>east meadow</location>
     </tree>
    </trees>
   </forest>
  </forests>
 </data>
</rpc-reply>
```
B.4.2. If-Modified-Since Empty Filter Retrieval

In this example the client has changed the "if-modified-since" timestamp to a time in the future.

- No "forest" list entry has been modified since this time so an empty data node is returned.
- Note that the "last-modified" timestamp is returned for the node representing the datastore, even though no data nodes have been modified since the specified time. This allows the client to easily retrieve the last-modified timestamp for the entire datastore.

```xml
<rpc message-id="112"
xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
<get2 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex"
<subtree-filter>
  <forests xmlns="http://example.com/ns/example-ex" />
</subtree-filter>
<if-modified-since>2012-09-09T03:43:27Z</if-modified-since>
<with-metadata>ncex:timestamps</with-metadata>
</get2>
</rpc>
<rpc-reply message-id="112"
xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0"
xmlns:lm="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:netconf-ex:1.0">
<data xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex"
  lm:last-modified="2012-09-09T02:00:00Z" />
</rpc-reply>
```

B.4.3. Keys Only Filter Retrieval

This example retrieves only the names from the "forests" subtree in the running datastore.

- The default source (running) is used.
- The default depth="0" is used to retrieve all subtree levels.
- The "keys-only" leaf is set
- The "forests" subtree is selected. The xpath-filter is used instead of the subtree-filter.
Whitespace added to xpath-filter element for display purposes only.

```xml
<rpc message-id="113"
     xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get2 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex">
    <xpath-filter xmlns:ex=http://example.com/ns/example-ex">
      /ex:forests
    </xpath-filter>
    <keys-only />
  </get2>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="113"
            xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <data xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex">
    <forests xmlns="http://example.com/ns/example-ex">
      <forest>
        <name>north</name>
        <trees>
          <tree>
            <name>birch</name>
          </tree>
          <tree>
            <name>ash</name>
          </tree>
          <tree>
            <name>maple</name>
          </tree>
        </trees>
      </forest>
      <forest>
        <name>south</name>
        <trees>
          <tree>
            <name>banyan</name>
          </tree>
          <tree>
            <name>palm</name>
          </tree>
        </trees>
      </forest>
    </forests>
  </data>
</rpc-reply>
```
B.4.4. Test for Node Existence with Depth=1

This example retrieves the "trees" node to determine which forests have any trees.

- Only 1 subtree level is requested, instead of the default of all levels.
- The default source (running) is used.
- The "trees" subtree is selected.
- The depth parameter is set to "1" to only retrieve the requested layer "trees" and its ancestor nodes and the configuration leaf nodes from each "forest" entry.

```xml
<rpc message-id="114"
     xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <get2 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex">
    <subtree-filter>
      <forests xmlns="http://example.com/ns/example-ex">
        <forest>
          <trees />
        </forest>
      </forests>
    </subtree-filter>
    <depth>1</depth>
  </get2>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="114"
             xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
  <data xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex">
    <forests xmlns="http://example.com/ns/example-ex">
      <forest>
        <name>north</name>
        <trees />
      </forest>
      <forest>
        <name>south</name>
        <trees />
      </forest>
    </forests>
  </data>
</rpc-reply>
```
B.4.5. Retrieve Only Non-Configuration Data Nodes

This example retrieves only the name leaves from the "forest" list within the "forests" subtree, in the running datastore.

- The "source" leaf is set to the "operational" data source
- The "forests" subtree is selected
<rpc message-id="115"
 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
 <get2 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex">
   <source><operational/></source>
   <subtree-filter>
     <forests xmlns="http://example.com/ns/example-ex" />
   </subtree-filter>
 </get2>
</rpc>

<rpc-reply message-id="115"
 xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:netconf:base:1.0">
 <data xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-ex">
   <forests xmlns="http://example.com/ns/example-ex">
     <forest>
       <name>north</name>
       <trees>
         <tree>
           <name>birch</name>
           <height>41.013</height>
         </tree>
         <tree>
           <name>ash</name>
           <height>16.523</height>
         </tree>
         <tree>
           <name>maple</name>
           <height>51.204</height>
         </tree>
       </trees>
     </forest>
     <forest>
       <name>south</name>
       <trees>
         <tree>
           <name>banyan</name>
           <height>91.433</height>
         </tree>
         <tree>
           <name>palm</name>
           <height>83.439</height>
         </tree>
       </trees>
     </forest>
   </forests>
 </data>
</rpc-reply>
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1. Introduction

There is a need for standard mechanisms to allow WEB applications to access the configuration data, operational data, data-model specific protocol operations, and notification events within a networking device, in a modular and extensible manner.

This document describes a REST-like protocol called RESTCONF, running over HTTP [RFC2616], for accessing data defined in YANG [RFC6020], using datastores defined in NETCONF [RFC6241].

The NETCONF protocol defines configuration datastores and a set of Create, Retrieve, Update, Delete (CRUD) operations that can be used to access these datastores. The YANG language defines the syntax and semantics of datastore content, operational data, protocol operations, and notification events. REST-like operations are used to access the hierarchical data within a datastore.

A REST-like API can be created that provides CRUD operations on a NETCONF datastore containing YANG-defined data. This can be done in a simplified manner, compatible with HTTP and REST-like design principles. Since NETCONF protocol operations are not relevant, the user should not need any prior knowledge of NETCONF in order to use the REST-like API.

Configuration data and state data are exposed as resources that can be retrieved with the GET method. Resources representing configuration data can be modified with the DELETE, PATCH, POST, and PUT methods. Data is encoded with either XML [W3C.REC-xml-20081126] or JSON [JSON].

Data-model specific protocol operations defined with the YANG "rpc" statement can be invoked with the POST method. Data-model specific notification events defined with the YANG "notification" statement can be accessed.

1.1. Simple Subset of NETCONF Functionality

The framework and meta-model used for a REST-like API does not need to mirror those used by the NETCONF protocol, but it needs to be compatible with NETCONF. A simplified framework and protocol is needed that utilizes the three NETCONF datastores (candidate, running, startup), but hides the complexity of multiple datastores from the client.

A simplified transaction model is needed that allows basic CRUD operations on a hierarchy of conceptual resources. This represents a limited subset of the transaction capabilities of the NETCONF
Applications that require more complex transaction capabilities might consider NETCONF instead of RESTCONF. The following transaction features are not directly provided in RESTCONF:

- datastore locking (full or partial)
- candidate datastore
- startup datastore
- validate operation
- confirmed-commit procedure

The REST-like API is not intended to replace NETCONF, but rather provide an additional simplified interface that follows REST-like principles and is compatible with a resource-oriented device abstraction.

The following figure shows the system components:

```
+-----------+           +-----------------+
|  WEB app  | <-------> |                 |
+-----------+   HTTP    | network device |
                      +-----------+           +-----------+
|  NMS app  | <-------> |   | datastore | |
+-----------+  NETCONF  |   +-----------+ |
                      +-----------------+
```

1.2. Data Model Driven API

RESTCONF combines the simplicity of a REST-like API over HTTP with the predictability and automation potential of a schema-driven API.

A REST-like client using HATEOAS principles would not use any data modeling language to define the application-specific content of the API. The client would discover each new child resource as it traverses the URIs returned as Location IDs to discover the server capabilities.

This approach has 3 significant weaknesses with regards to control of complex networking devices:
Inefficient performance: configuration APIs will be quite complex and may require thousands of protocol messages to discover all the schema information. Typically the data type information has to be passed in the protocol messages, which is also wasteful overhead.

- No data model richness: without a data model, the schema-level semantics and validation constraints are not available to the application.

- No tool automation: API automation tools need some sort of content schema to function. Such tools can automate various programming and documentation tasks related to specific data models.

Data model modules such as YANG modules serve as an "API contract" that will be honored by the server. An application designer can code to the data model, knowing in advance important details about the exact protocol operations and datastore content a conforming server implementation will support.

RESTCONF provides the YANG module capability information supported by the server, in case the client wants to use it. The URIs for custom protocol operations and datastore content are predictable, based on the YANG module definitions.

Operational experience with CLI and SNMP indicates that operators learn the 'location' of specific service or device related data and do not expect such information to be arbitrary and discovered each time the client opens a management session to a server.

The RESTCONF protocol operates on a conceptual datastore defined with the YANG data modeling language. The server lists each YANG module it supports under "/restconf/modules" in the top-level API resource type, using a structure based on the YANG module capability URI format defined in [RFC6020].

The conceptual datastore contents, data-model-specific operations and notification events are identified by this set of YANG module resources. All RESTCONF content identified as either a data resource, operation resource, or event stream resource is defined with the YANG language.

The classification of data as configuration or non-configuration is derived from the YANG "config" statement. Data ordering behavior is derived from the YANG "ordered-by" statement.

The RESTCONF datastore editing model is simple and direct, similar to the behavior of the ":writable-running" capability in NETCONF. Each RESTCONF edit of a datastore resource is activated upon successful
completion of the transaction.

1.3. Terminology

The keywords "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", 
"SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and 
"OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 
14, [RFC2119].

1.3.1. NETCONF

The following terms are defined in [RFC6241]:

- candidate configuration datastore
- client
- configuration data
- datastore
- configuration datastore
- protocol operation
- running configuration datastore
- server
- startup configuration datastore
- state data
- user

1.3.2. HTTP

The following terms are defined in [RFC2616]:

- entity tag
- fragment
- header line
- message body
1.3.3. YANG

The following terms are defined in [RFC6020]:

- container
- data node
- key leaf
- leaf
- leaf-list
- list
- presence container (or P-container)
- RPC operation (now called protocol operation)
- non-presence container (or NP-container)
- ordered-by system
- ordered-by user

1.3.4. Terms

The following terms are used within this document:

- API resource: a resource with the media type "application/yang.api+xml" or "application/yang.api+json". API resources can only be edited by the server.

- data resource: a resource with the media type "application/yang.data+xml" or "application/yang.data+json". Data resources can be edited by clients or the server. All YANG data node types can be data resources. YANG terminal nodes cannot contain sub-
resources.

- datastore resource: a resource with the media type "application/yang.datastore+xml" or "application/yang.datastore+json". Represents a configuration datastore.

- edit operation: a RESTCONF operation on a data resource using the POST, PUT, PATCH, or DELETE method.

- event stream resource: a resource with the media type "application/yang.stream+xml" or "application/yang.stream+json". This resource represents an SSE (Server-Sent Events) event stream. The content consists of text using the media type "text/event-stream", as defined by the HTML5 specification. Each event represents one <notification> message generated by the server. It contains a conceptual system or data-model specific event that is delivered within a notification event stream.

- operation: the conceptual RESTCONF operation for a message, derived from the HTTP method, request URI, headers, and message body.

- operation resource: a resource with the media type "application/yang.operation+xml" or "application/yang.operation+json".

- patch: a generic PATCH method on the target datastore or data resource. The media type of the message body content will identify the patch type in use.

- plain patch: a PATCH method where the media type is "application/yang.data+xml" or "application/yang.data+json".

- query parameter: a parameter (and its value if any), encoded within the query component of the request URI.

- requested data nodes: the set of data resources identified by the target resource, or the "select" query parameter if it is present.

- resource: a conceptual object representing a manageable component within a device. Refers to the resource itself of the resource and all its sub-resources.

- retrieval request: a request using the GET or HEAD methods.

- target resource: the resource that is associated with a particular message, identified by the "path" component of the request URI.
unified datastore: A conceptual representation of the device running configuration. The server will hide all NETCONF datastore details for edit operations, such as the "candidate" and "startup" capabilities.

YANG schema resource: a resource with the media type "application/yang". The YANG representation of the schema can be retrieved by the client with the GET method.

YANG terminal node: a YANG node representing a leaf, leaf-list, or anyxml definition.

1.3.5. Tree Diagrams

A simplified graphical representation of the data model is used in this document. The meaning of the symbols in these diagrams is as follows:

- Brackets "[" and "]" enclose list keys.
- Abbreviations before data node names: "rw" means configuration (read-write) and "ro" state data (read-only).
- Symbols after data node names: "?" means an optional node and "*" denotes a "list" and "leaf-list".
- Parentheses enclose choice and case nodes, and case nodes are also marked with a colon ":").
- Ellipsis ("...") stands for contents of subtrees that are not shown.
2. Operations

The RESTCONF protocol uses HTTP methods to identify the CRUD operation requested for a particular resource. The following table shows how the RESTCONF operations relate to NETCONF protocol operations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RESTCONF</th>
<th>NETCONF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OPTIONS</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HEAD</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GET</td>
<td>&lt;get-config&gt;, &lt;get&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POST</td>
<td>&lt;edit-config&gt; (operation=&quot;create&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PUT</td>
<td>&lt;edit-config&gt; (operation=&quot;replace&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PATCH</td>
<td>&lt;edit-config&gt; (operation=&quot;merge&quot;)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DELETE</td>
<td>&lt;edit-config&gt; (operation=&quot;delete&quot;)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: CRUD Methods in RESTCONF

The NETCONF "remove" operation attribute is not supported by the HTTP DELETE method. The resource must exist or the DELETE method will fail. The PATCH method is equivalent to a "merge" operation for a plain PATCH method.

Access control mechanisms may be used to limit what operations can be used. In particular, RESTCONF is compatible with the NETCONF Access Control Model (NACM) [RFC6536], as there is a specific mapping between RESTCONF and NETCONF operations, defined in Table 1. The resource path needs to be converted internally by the server to the corresponding YANG instance-identifier. Using this information, the server can apply the NACM access control rules to RESTCONF messages.

The server MUST NOT allow any operation to any resources that the client is not authorized to access.

Implementation of all methods (except PATCH) are defined in [RFC2616]. This section defines the RESTCONF protocol usage for each HTTP method.

2.1. OPTIONS

The OPTIONS method is sent by the client to discover which methods are supported by the server for a specific resource. If supported,
it SHOULD be implemented for all media types. The server SHOULD implement this method, however the same information could be extracted from the YANG modules and the RESTCONF protocol specification.

2.2. HEAD

The HEAD method is sent by the client to retrieve just the headers that would be returned for the comparable GET method, without the response body. It is supported for all resource types, except operation resources.

The request MUST contain a request URI that contains at least the entry point component. The same query parameters supported by the GET method are supported by the HEAD method.

The access control behavior is enforced as if the method was GET instead of HEAD. The server MUST respond the same as if the method was GET instead of HEAD, except that no response body is included.

2.3. GET

The GET method is sent by the client to retrieve data and meta-data for a resource. It is supported for all resource types, except operation resources. The request MUST contain a request URI that contains at least the entry point component.

The server MUST NOT return any data resources for which the user does not have read privileges. If the user is not authorized to read any portion of the target resource, an error response containing a "403 Forbidden" Status-Line is returned to the client.

If the user is authorized to read some but not all of the target resource, the unauthorized content is omitted from the response message body, and the authorized content is returned to the client.

Example:

The client might request the response headers for a JSON representation of the "library" resource:

```plaintext
GET /restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox/
   library/artist/Foo%20 Fighters/album  HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/yang.data+json
```

The server might respond:
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:02:40 GMT
Server: example-server
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json
Pragma: no-cache
ETag: a74eefc993a2b
Last-Modified: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 11:02:14 GMT

{
    "album": {
        "name": "Wasting Light",
        "genre": "example-jukebox:alternative",
        "year": 2011
    }
}

Refer to @ex-create@ for more resource creation examples.

2.4. POST

The POST method is sent by the client to create a data resource or invoke an operation resource. The server uses the target resource media type to determine how to process the request.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Datastore</td>
<td>Create a top-level configuration data resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data</td>
<td>Create a configuration data sub-resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operation</td>
<td>Invoke a protocol operation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Resource Types that Support POST

The request MUST contain a request URI that contains a target resource which identifies a datastore, data, or operation resource type.

2.4.1. Create Resource Mode

If the target resource type is a datastore or data resource, then the POST is treated as a request to create a resource or sub-resource. The message body is expected to contain the content of a child resource to create within the parent (target resource).

The "insert" and "point" query parameters are supported by the POST method for datastore and data resource types, as specified in the
YANG definition in Section 7.

If the POST method succeeds, a "201 Created" Status-Line is returned and there is no response message body. A "Location" header identifying the child resource that was created MUST be present in the response in this case.

If the user is not authorized to create the target resource, an error response containing a "403 Forbidden" Status-Line is returned to the client. All other error responses are handled according to the procedures defined in Section 6.

Example:

To create a new "jukebox" resource, the client might send:

```
POST /restconf/data HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json

{ "example-jukebox:jukebox" : [null] }
```

If the resource is created, the server might respond as follows:

```
HTTP/1.1 201 Created
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:01:00 GMT
Server: example-server
Location: http://example.com/restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox
Last-Modified: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:01:00 GMT
ETag: b3a3e673be2
```

2.4.2. Invoke Operation Mode

If the target resource type is an operation resource, then the POST method is treated as a request to invoke that operation. The message body (if any) is processed as the operation input parameters. Refer to Section 4.6 for details on operation resources.

If the POST method succeeds, a "200 OK" Status-Line is returned if there is a response message body, and a "204 No Content" Status-Line is returned if there is no response message body.

If the user is not authorized to invoke the target operation, an error response containing a "403 Forbidden" Status-Line is returned to the client. All other error responses are handled according to the procedures defined in Section 6.

Example:
In this example, the client is invoking the "play" operation defined in the "example-jukebox" YANG module.

A client might send a "play" request as follows:

```plaintext
POST /restconf/operations/example-jukebox:play   HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Content-Type: application/yang.operation+json

{
   "example-jukebox:input" : {
      "playlist" : "Foo-One",
      "song-number" : 2
   }
}
```

The server might respond:

```plaintext
HTTP/1.1 204 No Content
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:50:00 GMT
Server: example-server
```

2.5. PUT

The PUT method is sent by the client to create or replace the target resource.

The request MUST contain a request URI that contains a target resource that identifies the data resource to create or replace.

If the resource instance does not exist, and it represents a valid instance the server could create with a POST request, then the server SHOULD create it.

The message body is expected to contain the content used to create or replace the target resource.

The "insert" and "point" query parameters are supported by the PUT method for data resources, as specified in the YANG definition in Section 7.

Consistent with [RFC2616], if the PUT method creates a new resource, a "201 Created" Status-Line is returned. If an existing resource is modified, either "200 OK" or "204 No Content" are returned.

If the user is not authorized to create or replace the target resource an error response containing a "403 Forbidden" Status-Line is returned to the client. All other error responses are handled...
according to the procedures defined in Section 6.

Example:

An "album" sub-resource defined in the "example-jukebox" YANG module is replaced or created if it does not already exist.

To replace the "album" resource contents, the client might send as follows. Note that the request URI header line is wrapped for display purposes only:

```
PUT /restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox/
    library/artist/Foo%20Fighters/album/Wasting%20Light HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json

{
    "example-jukebox:album" : {
        "name" : "Wasting Light",
        "genre" : "example-jukebox:alternative",
        "year" : 2011
    }
}
```

If the resource is updated, the server might respond:

```
HTTP/1.1 204 No Content
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:04:00 GMT
Server: example-server
Last-Modified: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:04:00 GMT
ETag: b27480aeda4c
```

2.6. PATCH

The PATCH method uses the HTTP PATCH method defined in [RFC5789] to provide an extensible framework for resource patching mechanisms. It is optional to implement by the server. Each patch type needs a unique media type. Zero or more PATCH media types MAY be supported by the server.

The "plain patch" PATCH method is used to create or update a sub-resource within the target resource. If the target resource instance does not exist, the server MUST NOT create it.

If the PATCH method succeeds, a "200 OK" Status-Line is returned if there is a message body, and "204 No Content" is returned if no response message body is sent.
If the user is not authorized to alter the target resource an error response containing a "403 Forbidden" Status-Line is returned to the client. All other error responses are handled according to the procedures defined in Section 6.

Example:

To replace just the "year" field in the "album" resource (instead of replacing the entire resource with the PUT method), the client might send a plain patch as follows. Note that the request URI header line is wrapped for display purposes only:

```
PATCH /restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox/
    library/artist/Foo%20Fighters/album/Wasting%20Light HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json

{ "example-jukebox:album" : {
    "genre" : "example-jukebox:rock",
    "year" : 2011
 }
}
```

If the field is updated, the server might respond:

```
HTTP/1.1 204 No Content
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:49:30 GMT
Server: example-server
Last-Modified: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:49:30 GMT
ETag: b2788923da4c
```

The XML encoding for the same request might be:

```
PATCH /restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox/
    library/artist/Foo%20Fighters/album/Wasting%20Light HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
If-Match: b8389233a4c
Content-Type: application/yang.data+xml

<album xmlns="http://example.com/ns/example-jukebox">
    <genre(example-jukebox:rock)>
    <year>2011</year>
</album>
```
2.7. DELETE

The DELETE method is used to delete the target resource. If the DELETE method succeeds, a "204 No Content" Status-Line is returned, and there is no response message body.

If the user is not authorized to delete the target resource then an error response containing a "403 Forbidden" Status-Line is returned to the client. All other error responses are handled according to the procedures defined in Section 6.

Example:

To delete a resource such as the "album" resource, the client might send:

```
DELETE /restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox/
    library/artist/Foo%20Fighters/album/Wasting%20Light HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
```

If the resource is deleted, the server might respond:

```
HTTP/1.1 204 No Content
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:49:40 GMT
Server: example-server
```

2.8. Query Parameters

Each RESTCONF operation allows zero or more query parameters to be present in the request URI. The specific parameters that are allowed depends on the resource type, and sometimes the specific target resource used, in the request.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>content</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td>Select config and/or non-config data resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>depth</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td>Request limited sub-tree depth in the reply content</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>filter</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td>Boolean notification filter for event-stream resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>insert</td>
<td>POST, PUT</td>
<td>Insertion mode for user-ordered data resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>point</td>
<td>POST, PUT</td>
<td>Insertion point for user-ordered data resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>select</td>
<td>GET</td>
<td>Request a subset of the target resource contents</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RESTCONF Query Parameters

Query parameters can be given in any order. Each parameter can appear at most once in a request URI. A default value may apply if the parameter is missing.

The semantics and syntax for all query parameters are defined in the "query-parameters" YANG grouping in Section 7. The YANG encoding MUST be converted to URL-encoded string for use in the request URI.

Refer to Appendix D.3 for examples of query parameter usage.
3. Messages

The RESTCONF protocol uses HTTP entities for messages. A single HTTP message corresponds to a single protocol method. Most messages can perform a single task on a single resource, such as retrieving a resource or editing a resource. The exception is the PATCH method, which allows multiple datastore edits within a single message.

3.1. Request URI Structure

Resources are represented with URIs following the structure for generic URIs in [RFC3986].

A RESTCONF operation is derived from the HTTP method and the request URI, using the following conceptual fields:

<OP> /restconf/<path>?<query>#<fragment>

\[ method \quad entry \quad resource \quad query \quad fragment \]
\[ ^\quad ^\quad ^\quad ^\quad ^\]
\[ M \quad M \quad O \quad O \quad I \]
M=mandatory, O=optional, I=ignored

<text> replaced by client with real values

- method: the HTTP method identifying the RESTCONF operation requested by the client, to act upon the target resource specified in the request URI. RESTCONF operation details are described in Section 2.

- entry: the well-known RESTCONF entry point ("/restconf").

- resource: the path expression identifying the resource that is being accessed by the operation. If this field is not present, then the target resource is the API itself, represented by the media type "application/yang.api".

- query: the set of parameters associated with the RESTCONF message. These have the familiar form of "name=value" pairs. There is a specific set of parameters defined, although the server MAY choose to support additional parameters not defined in this document. The contents of the any query parameter value MUST be encoded according to [RFC2396], section 3.4. Any reserved characters MUST
be encoded with escape sequences, according to [RFC2396], section 2.4.

- fragment: This field is not used by the RESTCONF protocol.

When new resources are created by the client, a "Location" header is returned, which identifies the path of the newly created resource. The client MUST use this exact path identifier to access the resource once it has been created.

The "target" of an operation is a resource. The "path" field in the request URI represents the target resource for the operation.

3.2. Message Headers

There are several HTTP header lines utilized in RESTCONF messages. Messages are not limited to the HTTP headers listed in this section.

HTTP defines which header lines are required for particular circumstances. Refer to each operation definition section in Section 2 for examples on how particular headers are used.

There are some request headers that are used within RESTCONF, usually applied to data resources. The following tables summarize the headers most relevant in RESTCONF message requests:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accept</td>
<td>Response Content-Types that are acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content-Type</td>
<td>The media type of the request body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Host</td>
<td>The host address of the server</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If-Match</td>
<td>Only perform the action if the entity matches ETag</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If-Modified-Since</td>
<td>Only perform the action if modified since time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If-Unmodified-Since</td>
<td>Only perform the action if un-modified since time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESTCONF Request Headers

The following tables summarize the headers most relevant in RESTCONF message responses:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Allow</td>
<td>Valid actions when 405 error returned</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cache-Control</td>
<td>The cache control parameters for the response</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Content-Type</td>
<td>The media type of the response body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date</td>
<td>The date and time the message was sent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ETag</td>
<td>An identifier for a specific version of a resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last-Modified</td>
<td>The last modified date and time of a resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Location</td>
<td>The resource identifier for a newly created resource</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESTCONF Response Headers

3.3. Message Encoding

RESTCONF messages are encoded in HTTP according to RFC 2616. The "utf-8" character set is used for all messages. RESTCONF message content is sent in the HTTP message body.

Content is encoded in either JSON or XML format.

XML encoding rules for data nodes are defined in [RFC6020]. The same encoding rules are used for all XML content.

JSON encoding rules are defined in [I-D.lhotka-netmod-json]. This encoding is valid JSON, but also has special encoding rules to identify module namespaces and provide consistent type processing of YANG data.

Request input content encoding format is identified with the Content-Type header. This field MUST be present if a message body is sent by the client.

Response output content encoding format is identified with the Accept header in the request, or if is not specified, the request input encoding format is used. If there was no request input, then the default output encoding is XML. File extensions encoded in the request are not used to identify format encoding.

3.4. RESTCONF Meta-Data

The RESTCONF protocol needs to retrieve the same meta-data that is used in the NETCONF protocol. Information about default leaves, last-modified timestamps, etc. are commonly used to annotate representations of the datastore contents. This meta-data is not
defined in the YANG schema because it applies to the datastore, and is common across all data nodes.

This information is encoded as attributes in XML, but JSON does not have a standard way of attaching non-schema defined meta-data to a resource.

3.4.1. JSON Encoding of RESTCONF Meta-Data

The YANG to JSON mapping [I-D.lhotka-netmod-json] does not support attributes because YANG does not support meta-data in data node definitions. This section specifies how RESTCONF meta-data is encoded in JSON.

Only simple meta-data is supported:

- A meta-data instance can appear 0 or 1 times for a particular data node
- A meta-data instance associated with a resource is encoded as if it were a YANG leaf of type "string", according to the encoding rules in [I-D.lhotka-netmod-json], except the identifier is prepended with a "@" (%40) character.
- A meta-data instance associated with a YANG leaf or leaf-list within a resource is encoded as if it were a container for the meta-data values and the resource value in its native encoding. It is encoded according to the rules in [I-D.lhotka-netmod-json], except the meta-data identifiers are prepended with a "@" (%40) character. The resource name/value pair is repeated inside this container, which contains the actual value of the resource.

Example:
Meta-data:

   enabled=<boolean>
   owner=<owner-name>

YANG module: example
YANG example:

   container top {
     leaf A {
       type int32;
     }
     leaf B {
       type boolean;
     }
   }

The client is retrieving the "top" data resource, and the server is including datastore meta-data. Note that a query parameter to request or suppress specific meta-data is not provided in RESTCONF.

GET /restconf/data/example:top HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/yang.data+json

The server might respond as follows:

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:01:00 GMT
Server: example-server
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json

{
  "example:top": {
    "@enabled": "true",
    "@owner": "fred",
    "A": {
      "@enabled": "true",
      "A": 42
    },
    "B": {
      "@enabled": "false",
      "B": true
    }
  }
}
3.5. Return Status

Each message represents some sort of resource access. An HTTP "Status-Line" header line is returned for each request. If a 4xx or 5xx range status code is returned in the Status-Line, then the error information will be returned in the response, according to the format defined in Section 6.1.

3.6. Message Caching

Since the datastore contents change at unpredictable times, responses from a RESTCONF server generally SHOULD NOT be cached.

The server SHOULD include a "Cache-Control" header in every response that specifies whether the response should be cached. A "Pragma" header specifying "no-cache" MAY also be sent in case the "Cache-Control" header is not supported.

Instead of using HTTP caching, the client SHOULD track the "ETag" and/or "Last-Modified" headers returned by the server for the datastore resource (or data resource if the server supports it). A retrieval request for a resource can include the "If-None-Match" and/or "If-Modified-Since" headers, which will cause the server to return a "304 Not Modified" Status-Line if the resource has not changed. The client MAY use the HEAD method to retrieve just the message headers, which SHOULD include the "ETag" and "Last-Modified" headers, if this meta-data is maintained for the target resource.
4. Resources

The RESTCONF protocol operates on a hierarchy of resources, starting with the top-level API resource itself. Each resource represents a manageable component within the device.

A resource can be considered a collection of conceptual data and the set of allowed methods on that data. It can contain child nodes that are nested resources. The child resource types and methods allowed on them are data-model specific.

A resource has its own media type identifier, represented by the "Content-Type" header in the HTTP response message. A resource can contain zero or more nested resources. A resource can be created and deleted independently of its parent resource, as long as the parent resource exists.

All RESTCONF resources are defined in this document except datastore contents, protocol operations, and notification events. The syntax and semantics for these resource types are defined in YANG modules.

The RESTCONF resources are accessed via a set of URIs defined in this document. The set of YANG modules supported by the server will determine the additional data model specific operations, top-level data node resources, and notification event messages supported by the server.

The resources used in the RESTCONF protocol are identified by the "path" component in the request URI. Each operation is performed on a target resource.

4.1. RESTCONF Resource Types

The RESTCONF protocol defines some application specific media types to identify each of the available resource types. The following resource types are defined in RESTCONF:

+----------------+----------------------------------+
| Resource        | Media Type                       |
|-----------------+----------------------------------|
| API             | application/yang.api             |
| Datastore       | application/yang.datastore       |
| Data            | application/yang.data            |
| Operation       | application/yang.operation       |
| Schema          | application/yang                 |
| Stream          | application/yang.stream          |
+----------------+----------------------------------+
4.2. Resource Discovery

A client SHOULD start by retrieving the top-level API resource, using
the entry point URI "/restconf".

The RESTCONF protocol does not include a resource discovery
mechanism. Instead, the definitions within the YANG modules
advertised by the server are used to construct a predictable
operation or data resource identifier.

The "depth" query parameter can be used to control how many
descendant levels should be included when retrieving sub-resources.
This parameter can be used with the GET method to discover sub-
resources within a particular resource.

4.3. API Resource (/restconf)

The API resource contains the state and access points for the
RESTCONF features. It is the top-level resource and has the media
type "application/yang.api+xml" or "application/yang.api+json". It
is accessible through the well-known URI "/restconf".

YANG Tree Diagram for "application/yang.api" Resource Type:

```
  +--rw restconf
    +--rw data
    +--rw modules
        +--rw module [name revision]
            +--rw name yang:yang-identifier
            +--rw revision union
            +--rw schema? empty
            +--rw namespace inet:uri
            +--rw feature* yang:yang-identifier
            +--rw deviation* yang:yang-identifier
            +--rw submodule [name revision]
                +--rw name yang:yang-identifier
                +--rw revision union
                +--rw schema? empty
        +--rw operations
        +--rw streams
            +--rw stream [name]
                +--rw name string
                +--rw description? string
                +--rw replay-support? boolean
                +--rw replay-log-creation-time? yang:date-and-time
                +--rw events? empty
```
The "restconf" container definition in the "ietf-restconf" module defined in Section 7 is used to specify the structure and syntax of the conceptual sub-resources within the API resource.

This resource has the following child resources:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Child Resource</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>data</td>
<td>Contains all data resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>modules</td>
<td>YANG module information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>operations</td>
<td>Data-model specific operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>streams</td>
<td>Notification event streams</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>version</td>
<td>RESTCONF API version</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RESTCONF Resources

4.3.1. /restconf/data

This mandatory resource represents the combined configuration and operational data resources that can be accessed by a client. It cannot be created or deleted by the client. The datastore resource type is defined in Section 4.4.

Example:

This example request by the client would retrieve only the non-configuration data nodes that exist within the "library" resource, using the "content" query parameter.

GET /restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox/library
?content=nonconfig HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/yang.data+json

The server might respond:
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:01:30 GMT
Server: example-server
Cache-Control: no-cache
Pragma: no-cache
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json

{
  "example-jukebox:library": {
    "artist-count": 42,
    "album-count": 59,
    "song-count": 374
  }
}

4.3.2. /restconf/modules

This mandatory resource contains the identifiers for the YANG data model modules supported by the server.

The server MUST maintain a last-modified timestamp for this resource, and return the "Last-Modified" header when this resource is retrieved with the GET or HEAD methods.

The server SHOULD maintain an entity-tag for this resource, and return the "ETag" header when this resource is retrieved with the GET or HEAD methods.

4.3.2.1. /restconf/modules/module

This mandatory resource contains one list entry for each YANG data model module supported by the server. There MUST be an instance of this resource for every YANG module that is accessible via an operation resource or a data resource.

The contents of the "module" resource are defined in the "module" YANG list statement in Section 7.

The server MAY maintain a last-modified timestamp for each instance of this resource, and return the "Last-Modified" header when this resource is retrieved with the GET or HEAD methods. If not supported then the timestamp for the parent "modules" resource MAY be used instead.

The server MAY maintain an entity-tag for each instance of this resource, and return the "ETag" header when this resource is retrieved with the GET or HEAD methods. If not supported then the timestamp for the parent "modules" resource MAY be used instead.
4.3.3. /restconf/operations

This optional resource is a container that provides access to the data-model specific protocol operations supported by the server. The server MAY omit this resource if no data-model specific operations are advertised.

Any data-model specific operations defined in the YANG modules advertised by the server MAY be available as child nodes of this resource.

Operation resources are defined in Section 4.6.

4.3.4. /restconf/streams

This optional resource is a container that provides access to the notification event streams supported by the server. The server MAY omit this resource if no notification event streams are supported. The media type for this resource is "application/yang.api".

The server will populate this container with a stream list entry for each stream type it supports. Each stream contains a leaf called "events" which represents an event stream resource. The media type for this resource is "application/yang.stream".

Stream resources are defined in Section 4.8. Notifications are defined in Section 5.

4.3.5. /restconf/version

This sub-resource can be used by the client to identify the exact version of the RESTCONF protocol implemented by the server. The same server-wide response MUST be returned each time this resource is retrieved.

The value is assigned by the server when the server is started. The server MUST return the value "1.0" for this version of the RESTCONF protocol. This resource is encoded with the rules for an "enumeration" data type, using the "version" leaf definition in Section 7.

4.4. Datastore Resource

The /restconf/data subtree represents the datastore resource type, which is a collection of configuration and operational data nodes.

A "unified datastore" interface is used to simplify resource editing for the client. The RESTCONF unified datastore is a conceptual
interface to the native configuration datastores that are present on the device.

The underlying NETCONF datastores (i.e., candidate, running, startup) can be used to implement the unified datastore, but the server design is not limited to the exact datastore procedures defined in NETCONF.

The "candidate" and "startup" datastores are not visible in the RESTCONF protocol. Transaction management and configuration persistence are handled by the server and not controlled by the client.

4.4.1. Edit Collision Detection

Two "edit collision detection" mechanisms are provided in RESTCONF, for datastore and data resources.

4.4.1.1. Timestamp

The last change time is maintained and the "Last-Modified" and "Date" headers are returned in the response for a retrieval request. The "If-Unmodified-Since" header can be used in edit operation requests to cause the server to reject the request if the resource has been modified since the specified timestamp.

The server MUST maintain a last-modified timestamp for this resource, and return the "Last-Modified" header when this resource is retrieved with the GET or HEAD methods. Only changes to configuration data resources within the datastore affect this timestamp.

4.4.1.2. Entity tag

A unique opaque string is maintained and the "ETag" header is returned in the response for a retrieval request. The "If-Match" header can be used in edit operation requests to cause the server to reject the request if the resource entity tag does not match the specified value.

The server MUST maintain a resource entity tag for this resource, and return the "ETag" header when this resource is retrieved with the GET or HEAD methods. The resource entity tag MUST be changed to a new previously unused value if changes to any configuration data resources within the datastore are made.

A datastore resource can only be written directly with the PATCH method. Only the configuration data resources within the datastore resource can be edited directly with all methods.
Each RESTCONF edit of a datastore resource is saved to non-volatile storage in an implementation-specific matter by the server. There is no guarantee that configuration changes are saved immediately, or that the saved configuration is always a mirror of the running configuration.

4.5. Data Resource

A data resource represents a YANG data node that is a descendant node of a datastore resource.

For configuration data resources, the server MAY maintain a last-modified timestamp for the resource, and return the "Last-Modified" header when it is retrieved with the GET or HEAD methods. If maintained, the resource timestamp MUST be set to the current time whenever the resource or any configuration resource within the resource is altered.

For configuration data resources, the server MAY maintain a resource entity tag for the resource, and return the "ETag" header when it is retrieved as the target resource with the GET or HEAD methods. If maintained, the resource entity tag MUST be updated whenever the resource or any configuration resource within the resource is altered.

A data resource can be retrieved with the GET method. Data resources can be accessed via the "/restconf/data" entry point. This sub-tree is used to retrieve and edit data resources.

A configuration data resource can be altered by the client with some of all of the edit operations, depending on the target resource and the specific operation. Refer to Section 2 for more details on edit operations.

The resource definition version for a data resource is identified by the revision date of the YANG module containing the YANG definition for the data resource, specified in the /restconf/modules sub-tree.

4.5.1. Encoding YANG Instance Identifiers in the Request URI

In YANG, data nodes are named with an absolute XPath expression, defined in [XPath], starting from the document root to the target resource. In RESTCONF, URL encoded Location header expressions are used instead.

The YANG "instance-identifier" (i-i) data type is represented in RESTCONF with the path expression format defined in this section.
The "path" component of the request URI contains the absolute path expression that identifies the target resource.

A predictable location for a data resource is important, since applications will code to the YANG data model module, which uses static naming and defines an absolute path location for all data nodes.

A RESTCONF data resource identifier is not an XPath expression. It is encoded from left to right, starting with the top-level data node, according to the "api-path" rule in Section 4.5.1.1. The node name of each ancestor of the target resource node is encoded in order, ending with the node name for the target resource.

If a data node in the path expression is a YANG list node, then the key values for the list (if any) are encoded according to the "key-value" rule. If the list node is the target resource, then the key values MAY be omitted, according to the operation. For example, the POST method to create a new data resource for a list node does not require key values to be present in the request URI.

The key leaf values for a data resource representing a YANG list MUST be encoded as follows:

- The value of each leaf identified in the "key" statement is encoded in order.
- All the components in the "key" statement MUST be encoded. Partial instance identifiers are not supported.
- Each value is encoded using the "key-value" rule in Section 4.5.1.1, according to the encoding rules for the data type of the key leaf.
- An empty string can be a valid key value (e.g., "/top/list/key1//key3").
- The "/" character MUST be URL-encoded (i.e., "%2F").
All whitespace MUST be URL-encoded.

A "null" value is not allowed since the "empty" data type is not allowed for key leaves.

The XML encoding is defined in [RFC6020].

The JSON encoding is defined in [I-D.lhotka-netmod-json].

The entire "key-value" MUST be properly URL-encoded, according to the rules defined in [RFC3986].

resource URI values returned in Location headers for data resources MUST identify the module name, even if there are no conflicting local names when the resource is created. This ensures the correct resource will be identified even if the server loads a new module that the old client does not know about.

Examples:

[ lines wrapped for display purposes only ]

/restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox/library/
artist/Beatles/album

/restconf/data/example-list:newlist/17
  /nextlist%2Ffoo%2Fbar%2Facme-list-ext%3Aext-leaf

/restconf/data/example-list:somelist/the%20key

/restconf/data/example-list:somelist/the%20key/address

4.5.1.1. ABNF For Data Resource Identifiers

The following ABNF syntax is used to construct RESTCONF path identifiers:
api-path = "/" |
    ("/" api-identifier
     0*("/" (api-identifier | key-value )))

api-identifier = [module-name ":"] identifier

module-name = identifier

key-value = string

;; An identifier MUST NOT start with
;; ("X" | "x") ("M" | "m") ("L" | "l")
identifier = (ALPHA / "_")
    *(ALPHA / DIGIT / "_" / "-" / ".")

string = <an unquoted string>

[FIXME: the syntax for the select string is still TBD]
api-select = api-identifier
    0*("/" (api-identifier | key-value ))

4.5.2. Defaults Handling

NETCONF has a rather complex model for handling default values for
leaves. RESTCONF attempts to avoid this complexity by restricting the
operations that can be applied to a resource.

If the target of a GET method is a data node that represents a leaf
that has a default value, and the leaf has not been given a value
yet, the server MUST return the default value that is in use by the
server.

If the target of a GET method is a data node that represents a
container or list that has any sub-resources with default values, for
the sub-resources that have not been given value yet, the server MAY
return the default values that are in use by the server.

4.6. Operation Resource

An operation resource represents an protocol operation defined with
the YANG "rpc" statement.

All operation resources share the same module namespace as any top-
level data resources, so the name of an operation resource cannot
conflict with the name of a top-level data resource defined within
the same module.

If 2 different YANG modules define the same "rpc" identifier, then
the module name MUST be used in the request URI. For example, if "module-A" and "module-B" both defined a "reset" operation, then invoking the operation from "module-A" would be requested as follows:

    POST /restconf/operations/module-A:reset HTTP/1.1
    Server example.com

Any usage of an operation resource from the same module, with the same name, refers to the same "rpc" statement definition. This behavior can be used to design protocol operations that perform the same general function on different resource types.

If the "rpc" statement has an "input" section, then a message body MAY be sent by the client in the request, otherwise the request message MUST NOT include a message body. If the "rpc" statement has an "output" section, then a message body MAY be sent by the server in the response. Otherwise the server MUST NOT include a message body in the response message, and MUST send a "204 No Content" Status-Line instead.

4.6.1. Encoding Operation Input Parameters

If the "rpc" statement has an "input" section, then the "input" node is provided in the message body, corresponding to the YANG data definition statements within the "input" section.

Example:

The following YANG definition is used for the examples in this section.

    rpc reboot {
        input {
            leaf delay {
                units seconds;
                type uint32;
                default 0;
            }
            leaf message { type string; }
            leaf language { type string; }
        }
    }

The client might send the following POST request message:
POST /restconf/operations/example-ops:reboot HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Content-Type: application/yang.operation+json

{
   "example-ops:input" : {
      "delay" : 600,
      "message" : "Going down for system maintenance",
      "language" : "en-US"
   }
}

The server might respond:

HTTP/1.1 204 No Content
Date: Mon, 25 Apr 2012 11:01:00 GMT
Server: example-server

4.6.2. Encoding Operation Output Parameters

If the "rpc" statement has an "output" section, then the "output" node is provided in the message body, corresponding to the YANG data definition statements within the "output" section.

Example:

The following YANG definition is used for the examples in this section.

rpc get-reboot-info {
   output {
      leaf reboot-time {
         units seconds;
         type uint32;
      }
      leaf message { type string; }
      leaf language { type string; }
   }
}

The client might send the following POST request message:

POST /restconf/operations/example-ops:get-reboot-info HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/yang.operation+json

The server might respond:
4.7. Schema Resource

If the server supports the "schema" leaf within the API then the client can retrieve the YANG schema text for the associated YANG module or submodule, using the GET method.

The client might send the following GET request message:

    GET /restconf/modules/module/example-jukebox/2013-12-21/schema
    HTTP/1.1
    Host: example.com
    Accept: application/yang

The server might respond:

    HTTP/1.1 200 OK
    Date: Mon, 25 Apr 2012 11:10:30 GMT
    Server: example-server
    Content-Type: application/yang

    module example-jukebox {
        namespace "http://example.com/ns/example-jukebox";
        prefix "jbox";

        // rest of YANG module content deleted...
    }

4.8. Stream Resource

A stream resource represents a source for system generated event notifications. Each stream is created and modified by the server only. A client can retrieve a stream resource or initiate a long-poll server sent event stream, using the procedure specified in Section 5.3.
A notification stream functions according to the NETCONF Notifications specification [RFC5277]. The "ietf-restconf" YANG module contains the "stream" list (/restconf/streams/stream) which specifies the syntax and semantics of a stream resource.
5. Notifications

The RESTCONF protocol supports YANG-defined event notifications. The solution preserves aspects of NETCONF Event Notifications [RFC5277] while utilizing the Server-Sent Events [wd-eventsource] transport strategy.

5.1. Server Support

A RESTCONF server is not required to support RESTCONF notifications. Clients may determine if a server supports RESTCONF notifications by using the HTTP operation OPTIONS, HEAD, or GET on the "/restconf/streams" resource described below. The server does not support RESTCONF notifications if an HTTP error code is returned (e.g. 404 Not Found).

5.2. Event Streams

A RESTCONF server that supports notifications will populate a stream resource for each notification delivery service access point. A RESTCONF client can retrieve the list of supported event streams from a RESTCONF server using the GET operation on the "/restconf/streams" resource.

The "/restconf/streams" container definition in the "ietf-restconf" module defined in Section 7 is used to specify the structure and syntax of the conceptual sub-resources within the "streams" resource.

For example:

The client might send the following request:

```text
GET /restconf/streams HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/yang.api+xml
```

The server might send the following response:

```text
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: application/yang.api+xml
```
<streams xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-restconf">
  <stream>
    <name>NETCONF</name>
    <description>default NETCONF event stream</description>
    <replay-support>true</replay-support>
    <replay-log-creation-time>2007-07-08T00:00:00Z</replay-log-creation-time>
    <events/>
  </stream>
  <stream>
    <name>SNMP</name>
    <description>SNMP notifications</description>
    <replay-support>false</replay-support>
    <events/>
  </stream>
  <stream>
    <name>syslog-critical</name>
    <description>Critical and higher severity</description>
    <replay-support>true</replay-support>
    <replay-log-creation-time>2007-07-01T00:00:00Z</replay-log-creation-time>
    <events/>
  </stream>
</streams>

5.3. Subscribing to Receive Notifications

RESTCONF clients can subscribe to receive notifications by sending an HTTP GET request for the "/restconf/streams/stream/<stream-name>" resource, with the "Accept" type "text/event-stream". The server will treat the connection as an event stream, using the Server Sent Events [wd-eventsource] transport strategy.

The server MAY support query parameters for a GET method on this resource. These parameters are specific to each notification stream.

For example:

GET /restconf/streams/stream/NETCONF/events HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: text/event-stream
Cache-Control: no-cache
Connection: keep-alive
A RESTCONF client MAY request the server compress the events using the HTTP header field "Accept-Encoding". For instance:

```plaintext
GET /restconf/streams/stream/NETCONF/events HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: text/event-stream
Cache-Control: no-cache
Connection: keep-alive
Accept-Encoding: gzip, deflate
```

5.3.1. NETCONF Event Stream

The server SHOULD support the "NETCONF" notification stream defined in [RFC5277]. For this stream, RESTCONF notification subscription requests MAY specify parameters indicating the events it wishes to receive.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>start-time</td>
<td>replay event start time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>stop-time</td>
<td>replay event stop time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>filter</td>
<td>boolean content filter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NETCONF Stream Query Parameters

The semantics and syntax for these query parameters are defined in the "query-parameters" YANG grouping in Section 7. The YANG encoding MUST be converted to URL-encoded string for use in the request URI.

Refer to Appendix D.3.3 for filter parameter examples.

5.4. Receiving Event Notifications

RESTCONF notifications are encoded according to the definition of the event stream. The NETCONF stream defined in [RFC5277] is encoded in XML format.

The structure of the event data is based on the "notification" element definition in section 4 of [RFC5277]. It MUST conform to the "notification" YANG container definition in Section 7.

An example SSE notification encoded using XML:
data: <notification
  xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-restconf">
  <event-time>2013-12-21T00:01:00Z</event-time>
  <event xmlns="http://example.com/event/1.0">
    <eventClass>fault</eventClass>
    <reportingEntity>
      <card>Ethernet0</card>
    </reportingEntity>
    <severity>major</severity>
  </event>
</notification>

Since XML is not whitespace sensitive, the above message can be encoded onto a single line.

For example: (‘\’ line wrapping added for formatting only)

data: <notification xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-restconf"><event-time>2013-12-21T00:01:00Z</event-time><event xmlns="http://example.com/event/1.0"><eventClass>fault</eventClass><reportingEntity><card>Ethernet0</card></reportingEntity><severity>major</severity></event></notification>

The SSE specifications supports the following additional fields: event, id and retry. A RESTCONF server MAY send the "retry" field and, if it does, RESTCONF clients SHOULD use it. A RESTCONF server SHOULD NOT send the "event" or "id" fields, as there are no meaningful values that could be used for them that would not be redundant to the contents of the notification itself. RESTCONF servers that do not send the "id" field also do not need to support the HTTP header "Last-Event-Id". RESTCONF servers that do send the "id" field MUST still support the "startTime" query parameter as the preferred means for a client to specify where to restart the event stream.
6. Error Reporting

HTTP Status-Lines are used to report success or failure for RESTCONF operations. The <rpc-error> element returned in NETCONF error responses contains some useful information. This error information is adapted for use in RESTCONF, and error information is returned for "4xx" class of status codes.

The following table summarizes the return status codes used specifically by RESTCONF operations:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Status-Line</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100 Continue</td>
<td>POST accepted, 201 should follow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200 OK</td>
<td>Success with response body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>201 Created</td>
<td>POST to create a resource success</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>202 Accepted</td>
<td>POST to create a resource accepted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>204 No Content</td>
<td>Success without response body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>304 Not Modified</td>
<td>Conditional operation not done</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400 Bad Request</td>
<td>Invalid request message</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>403 Forbidden</td>
<td>Access to resource denied</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>404 Not Found</td>
<td>Resource target or resource node not found</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>405 Method Not Allowed</td>
<td>Method not allowed for target resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>409 Conflict</td>
<td>Resource or lock in use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>412 Precondition Failed</td>
<td>Conditional method is false</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>413 Request Entity Too Large</td>
<td>too-big error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>414 Request-URI Too Large</td>
<td>too-big error</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>415 Unsupported Media Type</td>
<td>non RESTCONF media type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500 Internal Server Error</td>
<td>operation-failed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>501 Not Implemented</td>
<td>unknown-operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503 Service Unavailable</td>
<td>Recoverable server error</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

HTTP Status Codes used in RESTCONF

Since an operation resource is defined with a YANG "rpc" statement, a mapping between the NETCONF <error-tag> value and the HTTP status code is needed. The specific error condition and response code to use are data-model specific and might be contained in the YANG "description" statement for the "rpc" statement.
6.1. Error Response Message

When an error occurs for a request message on a data resource or an operation resource, and a "4xx" class of status codes (except for status code "403"), then the server SHOULD send a response body containing the information described by the "errors" container definition within the YANG module Section 7.

YANG Tree Diagram for <errors> Data:

```
    +-ro errors
        +-ro error
            +-ro error-type    enumeration
            +-ro error-tag     string
            +-ro error-app-tag? string
            +-ro (error-node)?
                +-:(error-path)
                |  +-ro error-path?    instance-identifier
                |  +-:(error-urlpath)
                |     +-ro error-urlpath? data-resource-identifier
                +-ro error-message? string
                +-ro error-info
```
The semantics and syntax for RESTCONF error messages are defined in the "errors" YANG grouping in Section 7.

Examples:

The following example shows an error returned for an "lock-denied" error on a datastore resource.

    POST /restconf/operations/example-ops(lock-datastore HTTP/1.1
    Host: example.com

    The server might respond:

    HTTP/1.1 409 Conflict
    Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:11:00 GMT
    Server: example-server
    Content-Type: application/yang.api+json

    {  
      "ietf-restconf:errors": {  
        "error": {  
          "error-type": "protocol",
          "error-tag": "lock-denied",
          "error-message": "Lock failed, lock already held"
        }
      }
    }

The following example shows an error returned for a "data-exists" error on a data resource. The "jukebox" resource already exists so it cannot be created.

The client might send:

    POST /restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox HTTP/1.1
    Host: example.com

    The server might respond:
HTTP/1.1 409 Conflict
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:11:00 GMT
Server: example-server
Content-Type: application/yang.api+json

{
  "ietf-restconf:errors": {
    "error": {
      "error-type": "protocol",
      "error-tag": "data-exists",
      "error-urlpath": "http://example.com/restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox",
      "error-message": "Data already exists, cannot create new resource"
    }
  }
}
7. RESTCONF module

The "ietf-restconf" module defines conceptual definitions within groupings, which are not meant to be implemented as datastore contents by a server.

The "ietf-yang-types" and "ietf-inet_types" modules from [RFC6991] are used by this module for some type definitions.

RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication and remove this note.

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-restconf@2014-02-13.yang"

module ietf-restconf {
    namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-restconf";
    prefix "rc";

    import ietf-yang-types { prefix yang; }
    import ietf-inet-types { prefix inet; }

    organization
        "IETF NETCONF (Network Configuration) Working Group";

    contact
        "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netconf/>"
        "WG List: <mailto:netconf@ietf.org>"
        "WG Chair: Bert Wijnen"
        <mailto:bertietf@bwijnen.net>
        "WG Chair: Mehmet Ersue"
        <mailto:mehmet.ersue@nsn.com>
        "Editor: Andy Bierman"
        <mailto:andy@yumaworks.com>
        "Editor: Martin Bjorklund"
        <mailto:mbj@tail-f.com>
        "Editor: Kent Watsen"
        <mailto:kwatsen@juniper.net>
        "Editor: Rex Fernando"
        <mailto:rex@cisco.com">

    description
        "This module contains conceptual YANG specifications";

for the message and error content that is used in
RESTCONF protocol messages. A conceptual container
representing the RESTCONF API nodes is also defined
for the media type application/yang.api.

Note that the YANG definitions within this module do not
represent configuration data of any kind.
The YANG grouping statements provide a normative syntax
for XML and JSON message encoding purposes.

Copyright (c) 2013 IETF Trust and the persons identified as
authors of the code. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or
without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject
to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License
set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust’s Legal Provisions
Relating to IETF Documents
(http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info).

This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see
the RFC itself for full legal notices."

// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with actual RFC number and remove this
// note.

// RFC Ed.: remove this note
// Note: extracted from draft-bierman-netconf-restconf-04.txt

// RFC Ed.: update the date below with the date of RFC publication
// and remove this note.
revision 2014-02-13 {
  description
    "Initial revision.";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: RESTCONF Protocol.";
}

typedef data-resource-identifier {
  type string {
    length "1 .. max";
  }
  description
    "Contains a Data Resource Identifier formatted string
    to identify a specific data resource instance.
The document root for all data resources is a
datastore resource container. Each top-level YANG
data nodes supported by the server will be represented

as a child node of the document root.

The canonical representation of a data resource identifier includes the full server specification that is returned in the Location header when a new data resource is created with the POST method.

The abbreviated representation does not contain any server location identification. Instead the identifier will start with the ‘/’ character to represent the datastore document root for the data resource instance.

The server MUST accept either representation and SHOULD return the canonical representation in any response message.

typedef revision-identifier {
  type string {
    pattern '\d{4}-\d{2}-\d{2}';
  }
  description
    "Represents a specific date in YYYY-MM-DD format.
    TBD: make pattern more precise to exclude leading zeros."
}

grouping errors {
  description
    "A grouping that contains a YANG container
    representing the syntax and semantics of a
    YANG Patch errors report within a response message."
}

container errors {
  config false; // needed so list error does not need a key
  description
    "Represents an error report returned by the server if
    a request results in an error."
}

list error {
  description
    "An entry containing information about one
    specific error that occurred while processing
    a RESTCONF request."
  reference "RFC 6241, Section 4.3"
}

  leaf error-type {

type enumeration {
  enum transport {
    description "The transport layer";
  }
  enum rpc {
    description "The rpc or notification layer";
  }
  enum protocol {
    description "The protocol operation layer";
  }
  enum application {
    description "The server application layer";
  }
}

mandatory true;

description
"The protocol layer where the error occurred.";
}

leaf error-tag {
  type string;
  mandatory true;
  description
"The enumerated error tag.";
}

leaf error-app-tag {
  type string;
  description
"The application-specific error tag.";
}

choice error-node {
  description
"The server will return the location of the error node
in a format that is appropriate for the protocol.
If no specific node within the request message body
caused the error then this choice will not be present.";

leaf error-path {
  type instance-identifier;
  description
"The YANG instance identifier associated
with the error node. This leaf will only be
present if the error node is not a data resource,
e.g., the error node is an input parameter
for an operation resource.";
}
leaf error-urlpath {
  type data-resource-identifier;
  description
    "The target data resource identifier associated
     with the error node. This leaf will only be
     present if the error node is associated with
     a data resource (either within the server or
     in the request message).";
}

leaf error-message {
  type string;
  description
    "A message describing the error.";
}

anyxml error-info {
  description
    "Arbitrary XML that represents a container
     of additional information for the error report."
}
}
}
} // grouping errors

grouping restconf {
  description
    "A grouping that contains a YANG container
     representing the syntax and semantics of
     the RESTCONF API resource.";

container restconf {
  description
    "Conceptual container representing the
     application/yang.api resource type.";

container data {
  description
    "Container representing the application/yang.datastore
     resource type. Represents the conceptual root of all
     operational data and configuration data supported by
     the server. The child nodes of this container can be
     any data resource (application/yang.data), which are
     defined as top-level data nodes from the YANG modules
     advertised by the server in /restconf/modules.";
}

container modules {
  description
  "Contains a list of module description entries. These modules are currently loaded into the server."
}

grouping common-leafs {
  description
  "Common parameters for YANG modules and submodules."
}

leaf name {
  type yang:yang-identifier;
  description "The YANG module or submodule name.";
}

leaf revision {
  type union {
    type revision-identifier;
    type string { length 0; }
  }
  description
  "The YANG module or submodule revision date. An empty string is used if no revision statement is present in the YANG module or submodule.";
}

leaf schema {
  type empty;
  description
  "Represents the YANG schema resource for this module or submodule if it is available on the server. This leaf will only be present if the server has the schema available for retrieval. A GET request with a target resource URI that identifies this leaf will cause the server to return the YANG schema text for the associated module or submodule.";
}

list module {
  key "name revision";
  description
  "Each entry represents one module currently supported by the server."
  uses common-leafs;

  leaf namespace {
    type inet:uri;
  }
}
mandatory true;
  description
    "The XML namespace identifier for this module.";
}
leaf-list feature {
  type yang:yang-identifier;
  description
    "List of YANG feature names from this module that are
    supported by the server.";
}
leaf-list deviation {
  type yang:yang-identifier;
  description
    "List of YANG deviation module names used by this
    server to modify the conformance of the module
    associated with this entry.";
}
list submodule {
  key "name revision";
  description
    "Each entry represents one submodule within the
    parent module.";
    uses common-leafs;
}
}
}
container operations {
  description
    "Container for all operation resources
    (application/yang.operation),

    Each resource is represented as an empty leaf with the
    name of the RPC operation from the YANG rpc statement.

    E.g.;

    POST /restconf/operations/show-log-errors

    leaf show-log-errors {
      type empty;
    }

    ";
}
}
container streams {
description
"Container representing the notification event streams
supported by the server.";
reference
"RFC 5277, Section 3.4, <streams> element.";

list stream {
  key name;
  description
  "Each entry describes an event stream supported by
  the server.";

  leaf name {
    type string;
    description "The stream name";
    reference "RFC 5277, Section 3.4, <name> element.";
  }

  leaf description {
    type string;
    description "Description of stream content";
    reference
    "RFC 5277, Section 3.4, <description> element.";
  }

  leaf replay-support {
    type boolean;
    description
    "Indicates if replay buffer supported for this stream";
    reference
    "RFC 5277, Section 3.4, <replaySupport> element.";
  }

  leaf replay-log-creation-time {
    type yang:date-and-time;
    description
    "Indicates the time the replay log for this stream
    was created.";
    reference
    "RFC 5277, Section 3.4, <replayLogCreationTime>
    element.";
  }

  leaf events {
    type empty;
    description
    "Represents the entry point for establishing
    notification delivery via server sent events.";
  }
}
leaf version {
    type enumeration {
        enum "1.0" {
            description "Version 1.0 of the RESTCONF protocol."
        }
    }
    config false;
    description "Contains the RESTCONF protocol version."
}

// grouping restconf

grouping query-parameters {
    description "Contains conceptual definitions for the query string parameters used in the RESTCONF protocol.";

    leaf content {
        type enumeration {
            enum config {
                description "Return only configuration descendant data nodes"
            }
            enum nonconfig {
                description "Return only non-configuration descendant data nodes"
            }
            enum all {
                description "Return all descendant data nodes"
            }
        }
        description "The content parameter controls how descendant nodes of the requested data nodes will be processed in the reply. This parameter is only allowed for GET methods on datastore and data resources. A 400 Bad Request error is returned if used for other methods or resource types. The default value is determined by the config-stmt"
value of the requested data nodes. If 'false', then
the default is 'nonconfig'. If 'true' then the
default is 'config'.

leaf depth {
  type union {
    type enumeration {
      enum unbounded {
        description "All sub-resources will be returned.";
      }
    }
    type uint32 {
      range "1..max";
    }
  }
  default unbounded;
  description "The 'depth' parameter is used to specify the number
  of nest levels returned in a response for a GET method. The
  first nest-level consists of the requested data node itself. Any child
  nodes which are contained within a parent node have a depth value that
  is 1 greater than its parent.

  This parameter is only allowed for GET methods on api, datastore, and
  data resources. A 400 Bad Request error is returned if used for other
  methods or resource types.

  By default, the server will include all sub-resources within a
  retrieved resource, which have the same resource type as the requested
  resource. Only one level of sub-resources with a different media type
  than the target resource will be returned."
}

leaf filter {
  type yang:xpath1.0;
  description "The 'filter' parameter is used to indicate which subset of
  all possible events are of interest. If not present, all events not
  precluded by other parameters will be sent.

  This parameter is only allowed for GET methods on a
  text/event-stream data resource. A 400 Bad Request error
  is returned if used for other methods or resource types.

  The format of this parameter is an XPath expression, and
is evaluated in the following context:

- The set of namespace declarations is the set of prefix and namespace pairs for all supported YANG modules, where the prefix is the YANG module name, and the namespace is as defined by the ‘namespace’ statement in the YANG module.
- The function library is the core function library defined in XPATH.
- The set of variable bindings is empty.
- The context node is the root node.

The filter is used as defined in [RFC5277], section 3.6. If the boolean result of the expression is true when applied to the conceptual ‘notification’ document root, then the notification event is delivered to the client.

```
leaf insert {
  type enumeration {
    enum first {
      description "Insert the new data as the new first entry.";
    }
    enum last {
      description "Insert the new data as the new last entry.";
    }
    enum before {
      description
      "Insert the new data before the insertion point,
       specified by the value of the ‘point’ parameter.";
    }
    enum after {
      description
      "Insert the new data after the insertion point,
       specified by the value of the ‘point’ parameter.";
    }
  }
  default last;
  description
  "The ‘insert’ parameter is used to specify how a resource should be inserted within a user-ordered list.

  This parameter is only supported for the POST and PUT methods. It is also only supported if the target resource is a data resource, and that data represents a YANG list or leaf-list that is ordered by the user.
```
If the values ‘before’ or ‘after’ are used, then a ‘point’ query parameter for the insertion parameter MUST also be present, or a 400 Bad Request error is returned.

leaf point {
  type data-resource-identifier;
  description
    "The ‘point’ parameter is used to specify the insertion point for a data resource that is being created or moved within a user ordered list or leaf-list.

    This parameter is only supported for the POST and PUT methods. It is also only supported if the target resource is a data resource, and that data represents a YANG list or leaf-list that is ordered by the user.

    If the ‘insert’ query parameter is not present, or has a value other than ‘before’ or ‘after’, then a 400 Bad Request error is returned.

    This parameter contains the instance identifier of the resource to be used as the insertion point for a POST or PUT method."
}

leaf select {
  type string {
    length "1 .. max";
  }
  description
    "The ‘select’ query parameter is used to specify an expression which can represent a subset of all data nodes within the target resource. It contains an expression string, using the target resource as the context node.

    The encoding for the select parameter is still TBD.

    This parameter is only allowed for GET methods on api, datastore, and data resources. A 400 Bad Request error is returned if used for other methods or resource types.

    If XPath:
    The string is an XPath expression that will be evaluated using the target resource instance as the context node and the document root. It is expected to return a node-set result representing the descendants within the context
node that should be returned in a GET response.
"
}

leaf start-time {
  type yang:date-and-time;
  description
  "The 'start-time' parameter is used to trigger
  the notification replay feature and indicate
  that the replay should start at the time specified.
  If the stream does not support replay, per the
  'replay-support' attribute returned by
  the /restconf/streams resource, then the server MUST
  return the HTTP error code 400 Bad Request.

  This parameter is only allowed for GET methods on a
  text/event-stream data resource. A 400 Bad Request
  error is returned if used for other methods or resource types.

  If this parameter is not present, then a replay subscription
  is not begin requested. It is not valid to specify start
  times that are later than the current time. If the value
  specified is earlier than the log can support, the replay
  will begin with the earliest available notification";
}

leaf stop-time {
  type yang:date-and-time;
  description
  "The 'stop-time' parameter is used with the
  replay feature to indicate the newest notifications of
  interest. This parameter MUST be used with and have a
  value later than the 'start-time' parameter.

  This parameter is only allowed for GET methods on a
  text/event-stream data resource. A 400 Bad Request error
  is returned if used for other methods or resource types.

  If this parameter is not present, the notifications will
  continue until the subscription is terminated.
  Values in the future are valid.";
}

} // grouping query-parameters

grouping notification {
  description
  "Contains the notification message wrapper definition.";

container notification {
    description
        "RESTCONF notification message wrapper.";

    leaf event-time {
        type yang:date-and-time;
        mandatory true;
        description
            "The time the event was generated by the
event source."
        reference
            "RFC 5277, section 4, <eventTime> element.";
    }

    /* The YANG-specific notification container is encoded
    * after the 'event-time' element. The format
    * corresponds to the notificationContent element
    * in RFC 5277, section 4. For example:
    * *
    * module example-one {
    *     ...
    *     notification event1 { ... }
    * }
    * *
    * Encoded as element 'event1' in the namespace
    * for module 'example-one'.
    */
}
} // grouping notification

</CODE ENDS>
8. IANA Considerations

8.1. YANG Module Registry

This document registers one URI in the IETF XML registry [RFC3688]. Following the format in RFC 3688, the following registration is requested to be made.

Registrant Contact: The NETMOD WG of the IETF.
XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.

This document registers one YANG module in the YANG Module Names registry [RFC6020].

name:               ietf-restconf
prefix:            rc
// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with RFC number and remove this note
reference:         RFC XXXX

8.2. application/yang Media Sub Types

The parent MIME media type for RESTCONF resources is application/yang, which is defined in [RFC6020]. This document defines the following sub-types for this media type.

- api
- data
- datastore
- operation
- stream

Type name: application
Subtype name: yang.xxx
Required parameters: TBD
Optional parameters: TBD
Encoding considerations: TBD
Security considerations: TBD
Interoperability considerations: TBD
// RFC Ed.: replace XXXX with RFC number and remove this note
9. Security Considerations

TBD
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Appendix A. Change Log

-- RFC Ed.: remove this section before publication.

A.1. 03 to 04

- Changed term RESTful to REST-like
- Clarified SSE section
- Clarified access control section
- Clarified return code test for some HTTP methods
- Fixed 2 examples that were wrong

A.2. 02 to 03

- Move YANG Patch to separate document
- Remove some introduction text
- Move most framework text into other sections
- Move notifications into its own section
- Remove fields; all YANG node types are sub-resources
- Add schema retrieval via /restconf/modules/module/schema resource
- Add submodule support to /restconf/modules/module resource
- Move some examples to appendix
- Add canonical representation for data-resource-identifier typedef
- Move query string definitions to YANG module
- Removed "format" query parameter
- Removed user-selected XML/JSON encoding for events. Event streams define a fixed encoding. It is not user-configurable.
- Clarified that query parameters for SSE event streams are specific to the stream definition; start-time, stop-time, and filter only apply to the NETCONF stream;
- Change operation input and output media type from application/yang.data to application/yang.data.

- Fix some bugs and typos

A.3. 01 to 02

- Added Notification Model (section 2.2)

- Remove error-action from YANG Patch

- Add "comment" and "ok" leafs to yang-patch-status container

- Fixed YANG Patch JSON example syntax

- Added stream resource type and streams container to /restconf container

- Removed "vnd" from media type definitions

- Changed yang-patch edit list from ascending uint32 key to an arbitrary string key and an ordered-by user list.

- Several clarifications and corrections

- Add YANG tree diagrams

- Add application/yang.patch-status media type

- Remove redundant "global-errors" container from "yang-patch-status" container

- Split the /restconf/datastore entry point into 2 entry points (config and operational)

- Remove the "config" parameter since it is no longer needed after datastore is split

A.4. 00 to 01

- Removed incorrect /.well-known URI prefix.

- Remove incorrect IANA request for well-known URI.

- Clarified that API resource type nodes are defined in the ietf-restconf namespace.
o Changed CamelCase names in example-jukebox.yang to lowercase, and updated examples.

o Updated and corrected YANG types in ietf-restconf module.

A.5. YANG-API-01 to RESTCONF-00

o Protocol renamed from YANG-API to RESTCONF

o Fields are clarified. Containers and lists are sub-resources. All other YANG data node types are fields within a parent resource.

o The ‘optional-key’ YANG extension has been removed.

o The default value is returned by the server if the target resource represents a missing data node but the server is using a default value for the leaf.

o The default for the ‘depth’ parameter has been changed from ‘1’ to ‘unbounded’. The depth is only limited if an integer value for this parameter is specified by the client.

o The default for the ‘format’ parameter has been changed from ‘json’ to ‘xml’.

o expanded introduction

o removed transactions

o removed capabilities

o removed usage of Range and IfRange headers

o simplified editing model

o removed global protocol operations from ietf-restconf.yang

o changed RPC operation terminology to protocol operation

o updated JSON draft reference

o updated IANA section

o added YANG Patch

o added YANG definitions to ietf-restconf.yang
- added Kent Watsen and Rex Fernando as co-authors
- updated YANG modules so they pass pyang --ietf checking
- changed examples so resource URIs use the module name variant to identify data resources
- changed depth behavior so the entire server contents are not returned for "GET /restconf"; Server will stop at new resource type; e.g. yang.api --> yang.datastore returns the datastore as an empty node; yang.api --> yang.operation returns the operation name as an empty node;
Appendix B.  Open Issues

B.1. message-id

  o There is no "message-id" field in a RESTCONF message. Is a message identifier needed? If so, should either the "Message-ID" or "Content-ID" header from RFC 2392 be used for this purpose?

B.2. select parameter

  o What syntax should be used for the "select" query parameter? The current choices are "XPath" and "path-expr". Perhaps an additional parameter to identify the select string format is needed to allow extensibility?

B.3. server support verification

  o Are all header lines used by RESTCONF supported by common application frameworks, such as FastCGI and WSGI? If not, then should query parameters be used instead, since the QUERY_STRING is widely available to WEB applications?

B.4. error media type

  o Should the <errors> element returned in error responses be a separate media type?

B.5. additional datastores

  o How should additional datastores be supported, which may be added to the NETCONF/NETMOD framework in the future?

B.6. PATCH media type discovery

  o How does a client know which PATCH media types are supported by the server in addition to application/yang.data and application/yang.patch?

B.7. RESTCONF version

  o Is the /restconf/version field considered meta-data? Should it be returned as XRD (Extensible Resource Descriptor)? In addition or instead of the version field? Should this be the ietf-restconf YANG module revision date, instead of the string 1.0?
B.8. YANG to resource mapping

- Since data resources can only be YANG containers or lists, what should be done about top-level YANG data nodes that are not containers or lists? Are they allowed in RESTCONF?

- Can a choice be a resource? YANG choices are invisible to RESTCONF at this time.

B.9. well-known usage

- Does RESTCONF need to use a well-known link relation to re-map API entry point?

The client first discovers the server’s root for the RESTCONF API. In this example, it is "/api/restconf":

Request
-------
GET ./well-known/host-meta users HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/xrd+xml

Response
---------
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Content-Type: application/xrd+xml
Content-Length: nnn

<XRD xmlns='http://docs.oasis-open.org/ns/xri/xrd-1.0'>
  <Link rel='restconf' href='/api/restconf'/>
</XRD>

Once discovering the RESTCONF API root, the client MUST prepend it to any access to a RESTCONF resource:
Request
-------
GET /api/restconf/version HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/yang.api+json

Response
--------
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:01:00 GMT
Server: example-server
Cache-Control: no-cache
Pragma: no-cache
Last-Modified: Sun, 22 Apr 2012 01:00:14 GMT
Content-Type: application/yang.api+json

{ "version": "1.0" }

B.10. _self links for HATEOAS support

  o Should there be a mode where the client can request that the resource identifier is returned in a GET request?

B.11. netconf-state monitoring support

  o Should long-term RESTCONF operations (i.e. SSE long-poll) be considered sessions with regards to NETCONF monitoring "session" list? If so, what text is needed in RESTCONF draft to standardize the RESTCONF session entries?

B.12. secure transport

  o Details to support secure operation over TLS are needed

  o Security considerations need to be written
Appendix C. Example YANG Module

The example YANG module used in this document represents a simple media jukebox interface.

YANG Tree Diagram for "example-jukebox" Module

```yconf
++-rw jukebox?
   +++-rw library
      +++-rw artist [name]
         +++-rw name string
         +++-rw album [name]
            +++-rw name string
            +++-rw genre? identityref
            +++-rw year? uint16
            +++-rw song [name]
               +++-rw name string
               +++-rw location string
               +++-rw format? string
               +++-rw length? uint32
            +++-ro artist-count? uint32
            +++-ro album-count? uint32
            +++-ro song-count? uint32
      +++-rw playlist [name]
         +++-rw name string
         +++-rw description? string
         +++-rw song [index]
            +++-rw index uint32
            +++-rw id instance-identifier
      +++-rw player
         +++-rw gap? decimal64

rpcs:

   +++-x play
      +++-ro input
         +++-ro playlist string
         +++-ro song-number uint32

C.1. example-jukebox YANG Module

module example-jukebox {
    namespace "http://example.com/ns/example-jukebox";
    prefix "jbox";
    import ietf-restconf { prefix rc; }
}
```
identity genre {
  description "Base for all genre types";
}

// abbreviated list of genre classifications
identity alternative {
  base genre;
  description "Alternative music";
}
identity blues {
  base genre;
  description "Blues music";
}
identity country {
  base genre;
  description "Country music";
}
identity jazz {
  base genre;
  description "Jazz music";
}
identity pop {
  base genre;
  description "Pop music";
}
identity rock {
  base genre;
  description "Rock music";
}

container jukebox {
  presence
  "An empty container indicates that the jukebox service is available";
  description
  "Represents a jukebox resource, with a library, playlists, and a play operation.";
  container library {
description "Represents the jukebox library resource."

list artist {
  key name;

description "Represents one artist resource within the jukebox library resource.";

leaf name {
  type string {
    length "1 .. max";
  }
  description "The name of the artist."
}

list album {
  key name;

description "Represents one album resource within one artist resource, within the jukebox library.";

leaf name {
  type string {
    length "1 .. max";
  }
  description "The name of the album."
}

leaf genre {
  type identityref { base genre; }
  description "The genre identifying the type of music on the album.";
}

leaf year {
  type uint16 {
    range "1900 .. max";
  }
  description "The year the album was released";
}

list song {
  key name;

description
"Represents one song resource within one
album resource, within the jukebox library.";

leaf name {
    type string {
        length "1 .. max";
    }
    description "The name of the song";
}
leaf location {
    type string;
    mandatory true;
    description
    "The file location string of the
    media file for the song";
}
leaf format {
    type string;
    description
    "An identifier string for the media type
    for the file associated with the
    'location' leaf for this entry.";
}
leaf length {
    type uint32;
    units "seconds";
    description
    "The duration of this song in seconds.";
}
leaf artist-count {
    type uint32;
    units "songs";
    config false;
    description "Number of artists in the library";
}
leaf album-count {
    type uint32;
    units "albums";
    config false;
    description "Number of albums in the library";
}
leaf song-count {
    type uint32;
    units "songs";
config false;
  description "Number of songs in the library";
}
) // end library

list playlist {  
  key name;
  description
    "Example configuration data resource";

  leaf name {  
    type string;
    description
      "The name of the playlist.";
  }
  leaf description {  
    type string;
    description
      "A comment describing the playlist.";
  }

list song {  
  key index;
  ordered-by user;
  description
    "Example nested configuration data resource";

  leaf index {   // not really needed
    type uint32;
    description
      "An arbitrary integer index for this
       playlist song.";
  }
  leaf id {  
    type rc:data-resource-identifier;
    mandatory true;
    description
      "Song identifier. Must identify an instance of
       /jukebox/library/artist/album/song/name.";
  }
}
}

container player {  
  description
    "Represents the jukebox player resource.";
  
}
leaf gap {
  type decimal64 {
    fraction-digits 1;
    range "0.0 .. 2.0";
  }
  units "tenths of seconds";
  description "Time gap between each song";
}

rpc play {
  description "Control function for the jukebox player";
  input {
    leaf playlist {
      type string;
      mandatory true;
      description "playlist name";
    }
    leaf song-number {
      type uint32;
      mandatory true;
      description "Song number in playlist to play";
    }
  }
}
}
Appendix D. RESTCONF Message Examples

The examples within this document use the normative YANG module defined in Section 7 and the non-normative example YANG module defined in Appendix C.1.

This section shows some typical RESTCONF message exchanges.

D.1. Resource Retrieval Examples

D.1.1. Retrieve the Top-level API Resource

The client may start by retrieving the top-level API resource, using the entry point URI "'/restconf'".

GET /restconf HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/yang.api+json

The server might respond as follows:
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:01:00 GMT
Server: example-server
Content-Type: application/yang.api+json

{
"ietf-restconf:restconf": {
"data" : [ null ],
"modules": {
"module": [

{"name" : "example-jukebox",
"revision" : "2013-12-21",
"namespace" : "http://example.com/ns/example-jukebox",
"schema" : [ null ]
}

],
"operations" : {
"play" : [ null ]
},
"streams" : {
"stream" : [

{"name" : "NETCONF",
"description" : "default NETCONF event stream",
"replay-support" : true,
"replay-log-creation-time:" : "2007-07-08T00:00:00Z",
"events" : [ null ]
}

],
"version": "1.0"
}

}

To request that the response content to be encoded in XML, the 
"Accept" header can be used, as in this example request:

GET /restconf HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/yang.api+xml

The server will return the same response either way, which might be 
as follows :

In this example the client is retrieving the modules resource from the server in JSON format:

```
GET /restconf/modules HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/yang.api+json
```

The server might respond as follows.
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:01:00 GMT
Server: example-server
Cache-Control: no-cache
Pragma: no-cache
Last-Modified: Sun, 22 Apr 2012 01:00:14 GMT
Content-Type: application/yang.api+json

{
    "ietf-restconf:modules": {
        "module": [
            {
                "name": "foo",
                "revision": "2012-01-02",
                "schema": [null],
                "namespace": "http://example.com/ns/foo",
                "feature": ["feature1", "feature2"]
            },
            {
                "name": "foo-types",
                "revision": "2012-01-05",
                "schema": [null],
                "namespace": "http://example.com/ns/foo-types"
            },
            {
                "name": "bar",
                "revision": "2012-11-05",
                "schema": [null],
                "namespace": "http://example.com/ns/bar",
                "feature": ["bar-ext"],
                "submodule": [
                    {
                        "name": "bar-submod1",
                        "revision": "2012-11-05",
                        "schema": [null]
                    },
                    {
                        "name": "bar-submod2",
                        "revision": "2012-11-05",
                        "schema": [null]
                    }
                ]
            }
        ]
    }
}
D.2. Edit Resource Examples

D.2.1. Create New Data Resources

To create a new "artist" resource within the "library" resource, the client might send the following request.

```plaintext
POST /restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox/library HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json

{ "example-jukebox:artist" : {
    "name" : "Foo Fighters"
}
}
```

If the resource is created, the server might respond as follows. Note that the "Location" header line is wrapped for display purposes only:

```
HTTP/1.1 201 Created
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:02:00 GMT
Server: example-server
Location: http://example.com/restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox/library/artist/Foo%20Fighters
Last-Modified: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:02:00 GMT
ETag: b3830f23a4c
```

To create a new "album" resource for this artist within the "jukebox" resource, the client might send the following request. Note that the request URI header line is wrapped for display purposes only:

```plaintext
POST /restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox/library/artist/Foo%20Fighters HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json

{ "example-jukebox:album" : {
    "name" : "Wasting Light",
    "genre" : "example-jukebox:alternative",
    "year" : 2012    # note this is the wrong date
}
}
```

If the resource is created, the server might respond as follows. Note that the "Location" header line is wrapped for display purposes only:
HTTP/1.1 201 Created
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:03:00 GMT
Server: example-server
Location: http://example.com/restconf/data/
    example-jukebox:jukebox/library/artist/Foo%20Fighters/
    album/Wasting%20Light
Last-Modified: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:03:00 GMT
ETag: b8389233a4c

D.2.2. Detect Resource Entity Tag Change

In this example, the server just supports the mandatory datastore last-changed timestamp. The client has previously retrieved the "Last-Modified" header and has some value cached to provide in the following request to patch an "album" list entry with key value "Wasting Light". Only the "year" field is being updated.

PATCH /restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox/
    library/artist/Foo%20Fighters/album/Wasting%20Light/year
HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/yang.data+json
If-Unmodified-Since: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:01:00 GMT
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json

{ "example-jukebox:year" : "2011" }

In this example the datastore resource has changed since the time specified in the "If-Unmodified-Since" header. The server might respond:

HTTP/1.1 412 Precondition Failed
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 19:01:00 GMT
Server: example-server
Last-Modified: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:45:00 GMT
ETag: b34aed893a4c

D.3. Query String Parameter Examples

D.3.1. "content" Parameter

The "content" parameter is used to select the type of data sub-resources (configuration and/or not configuration) that are returned by the server for a GET method request.

In this example, a simple YANG list that has configuration and non-configuration sub-resources.
To retrieve all the sub-resources, the "content" parameter is set to "all". The client might send:

```
GET /restconf/data/example-events:events?content=all
HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/yang.data+json
```

The server might respond:

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:11:30 GMT
Server: example-server
Cache-Control: no-cache
Pragma: no-cache
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json

{
  "example-events:events" : {
    "event" : [
      {
        "name" : "interface-up",
        "description" : "Interface up notification count",
        "event-count" : 42
      },
      {
        "name" : "interface-down",
        "description" : "Interface down notification count",
        "event-count" : 4
      }
    ]
  }
}
```
Example 2: content=config

To retrieve only the configuration sub-resources, the "content" parameter is set to "config" or omitted since this is the default value. Note that the "ETag" and "Last-Modified" headers are only returned if the content parameter value is "config".

```
GET /restconf/data/example-events:events?content=config
HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/yang.data+json
```

The server might respond:

```
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:11:30 GMT
Server: example-server
Last-Modified: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 13:01:20 GMT
ETag: eeeada438af
Cache-Control: no-cache
Pragma: no-cache
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json

{
    "example-events:events" : {
        "event" : [
            {
                "name" : "interface-up",
                "description" : "Interface up notification count"
            },
            {
                "name" : "interface-down",
                "description" : "Interface down notification count"
            }
        ]
    }
}
```

Example 3: content=non-config

To retrieve only the non-configuration sub-resources, the "content" parameter is set to "non-config". Note that configuration ancestors (if any) and list key leafs (if any) are also returned. The client might send:

```
GET /restconf/data/example-events:events?content=non-config
HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
```
Accept: application/yang.data+json

The server might respond:

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:11:30 GMT
Server: example-server
Cache-Control: no-cache
Pragma: no-cache
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json

{
  "example-events:events": {
    "event": [
      {
        "name": "interface-up",
        "event-count": 42
      },
      {
        "name": "interface-down",
        "event-count": 4
      }
    ]
  }
}

D.3.2. "depth" Parameter

The "depth" parameter is used to limit the number of levels of sub-resources that are returned by the server for a GET method request.

This example shows how different values of the "depth" parameter would affect the reply content for retrieval of the top-level "jukebox" data resource.

Example 1: depth=unbounded

To retrieve all the sub-resources, the "depth" parameter is not present or set to the default value "unbounded". Note that some strings are wrapped for display purposes only.

GET /restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox?depth=unbounded
HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/yang.data+json

The server might respond:
HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:11:30 GMT
Server: example-server
Cache-Control: no-cache
Pragma: no-cache
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json

{
  "example-jukebox:jukebox": {
    "library": {
      "artist": [
        {
          "name": "Foo Fighters",
          "album": {
            "name": "Wasting Light",
            "genre": "example-jukebox:alternative",
            "year": 2011,
            "song": [
              {
                "name": "Wasting Light",
                "location": "/media/foo/a7/wasting-light.mp3",
                "format": "MP3",
                "length": 286
              },
              {
                "name": "Rope",
                "location": "/media/foo/a7/rope.mp3",
                "format": "MP3",
                "length": 259
              }
            ]
          }
        }
      ]
    }
    "playlist": [
      {
        "name": "Foo-One",
        "description": "example playlist 1",
        "song": [
          {
            "index": 1,
            "id": "http://example.com/restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox/library/artist/Foo%20Fighters/album/Wasting%20Light/
```
song/Rope",
},
{
  "index" : 2,
  "id" : "http://example.com/restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox/library/artist/Foo%20Fighters/album/Wasting%20Light/song/Bridge%20Burning"
}
]
}
"player" : {
  "gap" : 0.5
}
)

Example 2: depth=1

To determine if 1 or more resource instances exist for a given target resource, the value "1" is used.

GET /restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox?depth=1 HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/yang.data+json

The server might respond:

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:11:30 GMT
Server: example-server
Cache-Control: no-cache
Pragma: no-cache
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json

{
  "example-jukebox:jukebox" : [null]
}

Example 3: depth=3

To limit the depth level to the target resource plus 2 sub-resource layers the value "3" is used.

GET /restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox?depth=3 HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Accept: application/yang.data+json

The server might respond:

HTTP/1.1 200 OK
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 17:11:30 GMT
Server: example-server
Cache-Control: no-cache
Pragma: no-cache
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json

{
    "example-jukebox:jukebox" : {
        "library" : {
            "artist" : [ null ]
        },
        "playlist" : [ {
            "name" : "Foo-One",
            "description" : "example playlist 1",
            "song" : [ null ]
        } ],
        "player" : {
            "gap" : 0.5
        }
    }
}

D.3.3. "filter" Parameter

The following URIs show some examples of notification filter specifications (lines wrapped for display purposes only):
// filter = /event/eventClass='fault'
GET /restconf/streams/stream/NETCONF/events?
    filter=%2Fevent%2FeventClass%3D'fault'

// filter = /event/severityCode<=4
GET /restconf/streams/stream/NETCONF/events?
    filter=%2Fevent%2FseverityCode%3C%3D4

// filter = /linkUp|/linkDown
GET /restconf/streams/stream/SNMP/events?
    filter=%2FlinkUp%7C%2FlinkDown

// filter = /*/reportingEntity/card!='Ethernet0'
GET /restconf/streams/stream/NETCONF/events?
    filter=%2F*%2FreportingEntity%2Fcard%21%3D'Ethernet0'

// filter = /*/email-addr[contains(.,'company.com')]
GET /restconf/streams/stream/critical-syslog/events?
    filter=%2F*%2Femail-addr[contains(.,'company.com')]

// Note: the module name is used as prefix.
// filter = (/example-mod:event1/name='joe' and
//           /example-mod:event1/status='online')
GET /restconf/streams/stream/NETCONF/events?
    filter=(%2Fexample-mod%3Aevent1%2Fname%3D'joe' and
            %2Fexample-mod%3Aevent1%2Fstatus%3D'online')

D.3.4. "insert" Parameter

In this example, a new first entry in the "Foo-One" playlist is being created.

Request from client:

POST /restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox/
    playlist/Foo-One?insert=first HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json

{  
    "example-jukebox:song" : {
        "index" : 1,
        "id" : "/example-jukebox:jukebox/library/artist/
            Foo%20Fighters/album/Wasting%20Light/song/Rope"
    }
}

Response from server:
HTTP/1.1 201 Created
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 13:01:20 GMT
Server: example-server
Last-Modified: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 13:01:20 GMT
Location: http://example.com/restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox/playlist/Foo-One/song/1
ETag: eeada438af

D.3.5. "point" Parameter

Example:

In this example, the client is inserting a new "song" resource within an "album" resource after another song. The request URI is split for display purposes only.

Request from client:

```
POST /restconf/data/example-jukebox:jukebox/
    library/artist/Foo%20Fighters/album/Wasting%20Light?
    insert=after&point=%2Fexample-jukebox%3Ajukebox%2F
    library%2Fartist%2FFoo%20Fighters%2Falbum%2FWasting%20Light%2Fs
    song%2FFBridge%20Burning HTTP/1.1
Host: example.com
Content-Type: application/yang.data+json

{
    "example-jukebox:song" : {
        "name" : "Rope",
        "location" : "/media/foo/a7/rope.mp3",
        "format" : "MP3",
        "length" : 259
    }
}
```

Response from server:

HTTP/1.1 204 No Content
Date: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 13:01:20 GMT
Server: example-server
Last-Modified: Mon, 23 Apr 2012 13:01:20 GMT
ETag: abcada438af

D.3.6. "select" Parameter

TBD
D.3.7. "start-time" Parameter

TBD

D.3.8. "stop-time" Parameter

TBD
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1. Introduction

NETCONF [RFC6241] combined with the YANG [RFC6020] data modeling language provides an extensible mechanism for configuring and monitoring networked devices. One of the advantages that NETCONF/YANG offers over other network management protocols is that it is flexible enough to be adapted for use with almost any service on any device.

The Dynamic Host Configuration Protocol for IPv6 [RFC3315] is widely in use for the configuration of network devices. This document describes a DHCPv6 option which can be used for provisioning the necessary parameters to bootstrap NETCONF connectivity so that a device can then obtain further configuration. An example device suitable for this type of configuration process would be a managed home gateway router.

This document uses the terms "client" and "server" to describe the hosts at either end of a NETCONF connection. "Client" should be understood to be the host that is actively initiating the NETCONF connection to the "Server". These definitions are used to align with the terminology in the DHCPv6 message flow.

2. NETCONF DHCPv6 Container Option

The following section describes the format of the NETCONF configuration container option. A container approach has been taken so that different NETCONF transport protocols can be supported. Currently only two transport protocols have been defined, NETCONF...
over SSH [RFC6242] and NETCONF over TLS [RFC5539]. If necessary in
the future, the option could be extended to support additional
transport protocols through the definition of new sub-options.

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|    OPTION_NETCONF_CONT        |           option-len          |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|                          sub-options                          |
|                          (variable)                           |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

option-code OPTION_NETCONF_CONT (TBA1)
option-len Variable
sub-options Contains one or more NETCONF configuration sub-options
(described below).

2.1. NETCONF over SSH Sub-Option

Clients which implement NETCONF transport over Secure Shell (SSH) use
the following sub-option to obtain configuration necessary to
establish a connection.

The procedure for establishing NETCONF connectivity over SSH, is
described in [RFC6242].

```
0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 0 1
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|     OPTION_NETCONF_SSH        |          option-len           |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
|    priority   |             dest-port           |             |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+             |
|                         server fqdn(s)                        |
|                       (variable length)                       |
+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+-+
```

option-code OPTION_NETCONF_SSH (TBA2)
option-len Variable
priority 8-bit integer. Described in Section 3.1
dest-port 16-bit integer to be used by the client as the
destination layer 4 port to initiate the SSH
connection to.
server-fqdn List of FQDNs to use for the NETCONF server,
formatted according to Section 8 of [RFC3315].

In case the client receives more than one server address in the
server-fqdn field, the client SHOULD initiate connections to the
addresses in the order they are listed in the server-fqdn field,
attempting to establish a connection to each server until one is
successfully established.
2.2. NETCONF over TLS Sub-Option

Clients which implement NETCONF transport over Transport Layer Security (TLS) use the following sub-option to obtain configuration necessary to establish a connection.

The procedure for establishing NETCONF connectivity over TLS, is described in [RFC5539].

```
|    priority   |             dest-port           |             |
|                        server-fqdn(s)                         |
|                       (variable length)                       |
```

- `option-code OPTION_NETCONF_TLS (TBA3)`
- `option-len` Variable
- `priority` 8-bit integer. Described in Section 3.1
- `dest-port` 16-bit integer to be used by the client as the destination layer 4 port for initiating the TLS connection
- `server-fqdn` List of FQDNs to use for the NETCONF server, formatted according to Section 8 of [RFC3315].

In case the client receives more than one server FQDN in the `server-fqdn` field, the client SHOULD initiate connections to the addresses in the order they are listed in the `server-fqdn` field, attempting to establish a connection to each server until one is successfully established.

3. DHCPv6 Client Behavior

When a device which implements both NETCONF functionality and the DHCP option described in this document creates a DHCPv6 SOLICIT message, it SHOULD include OPTION_NETCONF_CONT (TBD) within the ORO field.

On receipt of an DHCP ADVERTISE response message including the OPTION_NETCONF_CONT option, the client evaluates the sub-options which it contains as follows:

- If OPTION_NETCONF_CONT does not contain a transport sub-option implemented by the client, then it MUST be discarded by the client.
3.1. Priorities

As NETCONF is not limited to on specific transport protocol, the NETCONF client and/or server may have been deployed with support for more than one NETCONF transport protocol.

The ‘priority’ field contained within the transport protocol specific sub-options give the service provider a method of indicating to the client the order in which to attempt using the different supported protocols to establish NETCONF connectivity.

A client which supports two (or more) NETCONF transport protocols, and receives configuration parameters for at least two protocols SHOULD inspect the values of the priority field. The sub-option with the highest priority value SHOULD be used as the first NETCONF protocol to attempt for establishing connectivity.

In the event that this connection attempt is not successful, then the client SHOULD attempt to establish connectivity using the NETCONF transport protocol sub-option with the second highest priority, then the third highest priority, and so on until either a successful connection has been established, or there are no more.

In the event that the client receives two options with the same priority, the client SHOULD implement a mechanism for prioritising one mechanism over the other. This mechanism is implementation specific.

4. DHCPv6 Server Behavior

When a DHCPv6 server receives a client SOLICIT message containing the OPTION_NETCONF_CONT option code within the ORO field, it SHOULD respond with an ADVERTISE message containing the sub-options.

If the operator has deployed their NETCONF infrastructure with support for more than one NETCONF transport protocol and has a preference for clients to use one transport protocol over another, then the ‘priorities’ field SHOULD be used within the NETCONF transport protocol sub-options to indicate to the client the order to attempt using the protocols for connectivity as described in Section 3.1.

5. Security Considerations
The NETCONF protocol relies on the underlying transport protocol to provide security. Security considerations described in [RFC5539] and [RFC6242] are also applicable to this document.

6. IANA Considerations

IANA is kindly asked to allocate DHCPv6 option codes for OPTION_NETCONF_CONT, OPTION_NETCONF_SSH and OPTION_NETCONF_TLS.

7. Acknowledgements

Many thanks to everyone.

8. References

8.1. Normative References


8.2. Informative References


Index

4

4.1 2, 4

Authors’ Addresses

Ian Farrer
Deutsche Telekom AG
Bonn,
Germany

Email: ian.farrer@telekom.de

Farrer & Abrahamsson Expires April 21, 2014 [Page 6]
Mikael Abrahamsson
T-Systems
Stockholm,
Sweden

Email: mikael.abrahamsson@t-systems.se
NETCONF Call Home using SSH
draft-ietf-netconf-reverse-ssh-06

Abstract

This document presents a technique for a NETCONF server to request that a NETCONF client initiates a SSH connection to the NETCONF server, a technique referred to as ‘call home’. Call home is needed to support deployments where the NETCONF client is otherwise unable to initiate a SSH connection to the NETCONF server directly.
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This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.
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1. Requirements Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2. Introduction
This document presents a technique for a NETCONF server to request that a NETCONF [RFC6241] client initiates a SSH [RFC4251] connection to the NETCONF server, a technique referred to as 'call home'. Call home is needed to support deployments where the NETCONF client is otherwise unable to initiate a SSH connection to the NETCONF server directly.

2.1. Applicability Statement

The techniques described in this document are suitable for network management scenarios such as the ones described in section 3. However, these techniques SHOULD only be used for a NETCONF server to initiate a connection to a NETCONF client, as described in this document.

The reason for this restriction is that different protocols have different security assumptions. The NETCONF over SSH specification requires NETCONF clients and servers to verify the identity of the other party before starting the NETCONF protocol (section 6 of [RFC6242]). This contrasts with the base SSH protocol, which does not require programmatic verification of the other party (section 9.3.4 of [RFC4251] and section 4 of [RFC4252]). In such circumstances, allowing the SSH server to contact the SSH client would open new vulnerabilities. Therefore, any use of call home with SSH for purposes other than NETCONF will need a thorough, contextual security analysis.

2.2. Update to RFC 4253

This document updates the SSH Transport Layer Protocol [RFC4253] only by removing the restriction in Section 4 (Connection Setup) of [RFC4252] that the SSH Client must initiate the transport connection. Security implications related to this change are discussed in Security Considerations (Section 7).

2.3. Draft Naming

(this section should be removed if this draft becomes an RFC)

This draft’s name includes the string "reverse-ssh", and yet currently nowhere in this draft is there any reference to reversing SSH. This appearant omission comes from the -05 edit of this draft, where "Reverse SSH" was changed to "Call Home" throughout. If this draft becomes an RFC, its name would no longer contain the obsolete "reverse-ssh" reference, thus self-correcting this inconsistency.

3. Benefits to Device Management
The SSH protocol is nearly ubiquitous for device management, as it is the transport for the command-line applications 'ssh', 'scp', and 'sftp' and is the required transport for the NETCONF protocol [RFC6241]. However, all these SSH-based protocols expect the network element to be the SSH server.

NETCONF over SSH Call Home enables the network element to consistently be the SSH server regardless of which peer initiates the underlying TCP connection. Maintaining the role of SSH server is both necessary and desirable. It is necessary because SSH channels and subsystems can only be opened on the SSH server. It is desirable because it conveniently leverages infrastructure that may be deployed for host-key verification and user authentication.

Call home is useful for both initial deployment and on-going device management and may be used to enable any of the following scenarios:

- The network element may proactively call home after being powered on for the first time to register itself with its management system.
- The network element may access the network in a way that dynamically assigns it an IP address and it doesn’t register its assigned IP address to a mapping service.
- The network element may be configured in "stealth mode" and thus doesn’t have any open ports for the management system to connect to.
- The network element may be deployed behind a firewall that doesn’t allow SSH access to the internal network.
- The network element may be deployed behind a firewall that implements network address translation (NAT) for all internal network IP addresses, thus complicating the ability for a management system to connect to it.
- The operator may prefer to have network elements initiate management connections believing it is easier to secure one open-port in the data center than to have an open port on each network element in the network.

One key benefit of using SSH as the transport protocol is its ability to multiplex an unspecified number of independently flow-controlled TCP sessions [RFC4254]. This is valuable as the network element only needs to be configured to initiate a single call home connection to a management system, regardless the number of NETCONF channels the management system wants to open.
4. Protocol

The NETCONF server’s perspective (e.g., the network element)

- The NETCONF server initiates a TCP connection to the NETCONF client on the IANA-assigned SSH for NETCONF Call Home port YYYY.
- The TCP connection is accepted and a TCP session is established.
- Using this TCP connection, the NETCONF server immediately starts the SSH server protocol. That is, the next message sent on the TCP stream is SSH’s Protocol Version Exchange message (section 4.2, [RFC4253]).
- The SSH connection is established.

The NETCONF client’s perspective (e.g., the management system)

- The NETCONF client listens for TCP connections on the IANA-assigned NETCONF over SSH Call Home port YYYY.
- The NETCONF client accepts an incoming TCP connection and a TCP session is established.
- Using this TCP connection, the NETCONF client immediately starts the SSH Client protocol, starting with sending the SSH’s Protocol Version Exchange message (section 4.2, [RFC4253]).
- The SSH connection is established.

5. SSH Server Identification and Verification

When the management system accepts a new incoming TCP connection on the NETCONF over SSH Call Home port, it starts the SSH client protocol. As the SSH client, it MUST authenticate the SSH server, by both identifying the network element and verifying its SSH host key.

Due to call home having the network element initiate the TCP connection, the management system MAY identify the remote peer using the source IP address of the TCP connection. However, identifying the remote peer using the source IP address of the TCP connection is NOT RECOMMENDED as it can only work in networks that use known static addresses.

To support network elements having dynamically-assigned IP addresses, or deployed behind gateways that translate their IP addresses (e.g., NAT), the management system MAY identify the device using its SSH host key. For instance, a fingerprint of the network element’s host
key could itself be used as an identifier since each device has a statistically unique host key. However, identifying the remote peer using its host key directly is NOT RECOMMENDED as it requires the host key to be manually verified the first time the network element connects and anytime its host key changes thereafter.

Yet another option for identifying the network element is for its host key to encode the network element’s identity, such as if the host key were a certificate. This option enables the host key to change over time, so long as it continues to encode the same identity, but brings the next issue of how the management system can verify the network element’s host key is authentic.

The security of SSH is anchored in the ability for the SSH client to verify the SSH server’s host key. Typically this is done by comparing the host key presented by the SSH server with one that was previously configured on the SSH client, looking it up in a local database using the identity of the SSH client as the lookup key. Nothing changes regarding this requirement due to the direction reversal of the underlying TCP connection. To ensure security, the management system MUST verify the network element’s SSH host key each time a SSH session is established.

However, configuring distinct host keys on the management system doesn’t scale well, which is an important consideration to a network management system. A more scalable strategy for the management system is for the network element’s manufacturer to sign the network-element’s host key with a common trusted key, such as a certificate authority. Then, when the network-element is deployed, the management system only needs to trust a single certificate, which vouches for the authenticity of the various network element host keys.

Since both the identification and verification issues are addressed using certificates, this draft RECOMMENDS network elements use a host key that can encode a unique identifier (e.g., its serial number) and be signed by a common trust anchor (e.g., a certificate authority). Examples of suitable public host keys are the X.509v3 keys defined in [RFC6187] and the PGP keys defined in [RFC4253].

6. Device Configuration

How to configure a device to initiate a NETCONF over SSH Call Home connection is outside the scope of this document, as implementations can support this protocol using a proprietary configuration data model. That said, a YANG [RFC6020] model to configure NETCONF over SSH Call Home is specified in [draft-ietf-netconf-server-model].
7. Security Considerations

This RFC deviates from standard SSH protocol usage by allowing the
SSH server to initiate the TCP connection. This conflicts with
section 4 of the SSH Transport Layer Protocol RFC [RFC4253], which
states "The client initiates the connection". However this statement
is made without rationalization and it’s not clear how it impacts the
security of the protocol, so this section analyzes the security
offered by having the client initiate the connection.

First, assuming the SSH server is not using a public host key
algorithm that certifies its identity, the security of the protocol
doesn’t seem to be sensitive to which peer initiates the connection.
That is, it is still the case that reliable distribution of host keys
(or their fingerprints) should occur prior to first connection and
that verification for subsequent connections happens by comparing the
host keys in a locally cached database. It does not seem to matter
if the SSH server’s host name is derived from user-input or extracted
from the TCP layer, potentially via a reverse-DNS lookup. Once the
host name-to-key association is stored in a local database, no man-
in-the-middle attack is possible due to the attacker being unable to
guess the real SSH server’s private key (Section 9.3.4 (Man-in-the-
middle) of [RFC4251]).

That said, this RFC recommends implementations use a public host key
algorithm that certifies the SSH server’s identity. The identity can
be any unique identifier, such as a device’s serial number or a
deployment-specific value. If this recommendation is followed, then
no information from the TCP layer would be needed to lookup the
device in a local database and therefore the directionality of the
TCP layer is clearly inconsequential.

The SSH protocol negotiates which algorithms it will use during key
exchange (Section 7.1 (Algorithm Negotiation) in [RFC4253]). The
algorithm selected is essentially the first compatible algorithm
listed by the SSH client that is also listed by the SSH server. For
a network management application, there may be a need to advertise a
large number of algorithms to be compatible with the various devices
it manages. The SSH client SHOULD order its list of public host key
algorithms such that all the certifiable public host key algorithms
are listed first. Additionally, when possible, SSH servers SHOULD
only list certifiable public host key algorithms. Note that since
the SSH server would have to be configured to know which IP address
it is to connect to, it is expected that it will also be configured
to know which host key algorithm to use for the particular
application, and hence only needs to list just that one public host
key algorithm.
This RFC suggests implementations can use a device’s serial number as a form of identity. A potential concern with using a serial number is that the SSH protocol passes the SSH server’s host-key in the clear and many times serial numbers encode revealing information about the device, such as what kind of device it is and when it was manufactured. While there is little security in trying to hide this information from an attacker, it is understood that some deployments may want to keep this information private. If this is a concern, deployments SHOULD use an alternate unique identifier, if even just the hash of the device’s serial number.

An attacker could DoS the application by having it perform computationally expensive operations, before deducing that the attacker doesn’t possess a valid key. This is no different than any secured service and all common precautions apply (e.g., blacklisting the source address after a set number of unsuccessful login attempts).

8. IANA Considerations

This document requests that IANA assigns a TCP port number in the "Registered Port Numbers" range with the service name "netconf-ssh-ch". This port will be the default port for NETCONF over SSH Call Home protocol and will be used when the NETCONF server is to initiate a connection to a NETCONF client using SSH. Below is the registration template following the rules in [RFC6335].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Service Name:</th>
<th>netconf-ssh-ch</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transport Protocol(s):</td>
<td>TCP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Assignee:</td>
<td>IESG <a href="mailto:iesg@ietf.org">iesg@ietf.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Contact:</td>
<td>IETF Chair <a href="mailto:chair@ietf.org">chair@ietf.org</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Description:</td>
<td>NETCONF over SSH Call Home</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference:</td>
<td>RFC XXXX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Port Number:</td>
<td>YYYY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Revised the Abstract and Introduction to better explain what the document regards.

Changed "MUST" to "SHOULD" in the Applicability Statement.

Added a "Draft Naming" section explaining why, despite its name, reversing SSH is nowhere in the text.

Added PGP keys as another kind of SSH host key encoding identity and signed by a trust anchor.

Revised the Device Considerations section to more clearly explain why a device configuration data model is out of scope, and hence an Informative reference.

Clarified Security Considerations section on use of serial numbers.

A.2. 04 to 05

Changed "Reverse SSH" to "Call Home"

Added references to Applicability Statement
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Abstract

The Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF) provides mechanisms to install, manipulate, and delete the configuration of network devices. This document describes how to use the Transport Layer Security (TLS) protocol with mutual X.509 authentication to secure the exchange of NETCONF messages. This revision of RFC 5539 documents the new message framing used by NETCONF 1.1 and it obsoletes RFC 5539.
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1. Introduction

The NETCONF protocol [RFC6241] defines a mechanism through which a network device can be managed. NETCONF is connection-oriented, requiring a persistent connection between peers. This connection must provide integrity, confidentiality, peer authentication, and reliable, sequenced data delivery.

This document defines how NETCONF messages can be exchanged over Transport Layer Security (TLS) [RFC5246]. Implementations MUST support mutual TLS certificate-based authentication [RFC5246]. This assures the NETCONF server of the identity of the principal who wishes to manipulate the management information. It also assures the NETCONF client of the identity of the server for which it wishes to manipulate the management information.

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].
2. Connection Initiation

The peer acting as the NETCONF client MUST act as the TLS client. The TLS client actively opens the TLS connection and the TLS server passively listens for the incoming TLS connections. The well-known TCP port number 6513 is used by NETCONF servers to listen for TCP connections established by NETCONF over TLS clients. The TLS client MUST send the TLS ClientHello message to begin the TLS handshake. The TLS server MUST send a CertificateRequest in order to request a certificate from the TLS client. Once the TLS handshake has finished, the client and the server MAY begin to exchange NETCONF messages. Client and server identity verification is done before the NETCONF <hello> message is sent. This means that the identity verification is completed before the NETCONF session is started.

3. Message Framing

All NETCONF messages MUST be sent as TLS "application data". It is possible that multiple NETCONF messages be contained in one TLS record, or that a NETCONF message be transferred in multiple TLS records.

The previous version of this document [RFC5539] used the framing sequence defined in [RFC4742]. This version aligns with [RFC6242] and adopts the framing protocol defined in [RFC6242] as follows:

The NETCONF <hello> message MUST be followed by the character sequence ]]>]. Upon reception of the <hello> message, the peers inspect the announced capabilities. If the :base:1.1 capability is advertised by both peers, the chunked framing mechanism defined in Section 4.2 of [RFC6242] is used for the remainder of the NETCONF session. Otherwise, the old end-of-message-based mechanism (see Section 4.3 of [RFC6242]) is used.

4. Connection Closure

A NETCONF server will process NETCONF messages from the NETCONF client in the order in which they are received. A NETCONF session is closed using the <close-session> operation. When the NETCONF server processes a <close-session> operation, the NETCONF server SHALL respond and close the TLS session as described in Section 7.2.1 of [RFC5246].

5. Certificate Validation

Both peers MUST use X.509 certificate path validation [RFC5280] to verify the integrity of the certificate presented by the peer. The presented X.509 certificate may also be considered valid if it
matches one obtained by another trusted mechanism, such as using a locally configured certificate fingerprint. If X.509 certificate path validation fails and the presented X.509 certificate does not match a certificate obtained by a trusted mechanism, the connection MUST be terminated as defined in [RFC5246].

6. Server Identity

The NETCONF client MUST check the identity of the server according to Section 6 of [RFC6125].

7. Client Identity

The NETCONF server MUST verify the identity of the NETCONF client to ensure that the incoming request to establish a NETCONF session is legitimate before the NETCONF session is started.

The NETCONF protocol [RFC6241] requires that the transport protocol’s authentication process results in an authenticated NETCONF client identity whose permissions are known to the server. The authenticated identity of a client is commonly referred to as the NETCONF username. The following algorithm is used by the NETCONF server to derive a NETCONF username from a certificate. (Note that the algorithm below is the same as the one described in the SNMP-TLS-TM-MIB MIB module defined in [RFC6353] and in the ietf-x509-cert-to-name YANG module defined in [RFC7407].)

(a) The server maintains an ordered list of mappings of certificates to NETCONF usernames. Each list entry contains

* a certificate fingerprint (used for matching the presented certificate),

* a map type (indicates how the NETCONF username is derived from the certificate), and

* optional auxiliary data (used to carry a NETCONF username if the map type indicates the user name is explicitly configured).

(b) The NETCONF username is derived by considering each list entry in order. The fingerprint member of the current list entry determines whether the current list entry is a match:

1. If the list entry’s fingerprint value matches the fingerprint of the presented certificate, then consider the list entry as a successful match.
2. If the list entry’s fingerprint value matches that of a locally held copy of a trusted CA certificate, and that CA certificate was part of the CA certificate chain to the presented certificate, then consider the list entry as a successful match.

(c) Once a matching list entry has been found, the map type of the current list entry is used to determine how the username associated with the certificate should be determined. Possible mapping options are:

A. The username is taken from the auxiliary data of the current list entry. This means the username is explicitly configured (map type 'specified').

B. The subjectAltName’s rfc822Name field is mapped to the username (map type ‘san-rfc822-name’). The local part of the rfc822Name is used unaltered but the host-part of the name must be converted to lowercase.

C. The subjectAltName’s dNSName is mapped to the username (map type ‘san-dns-name’). The characters of the dNSName are converted to lowercase.

D. The subjectAltName’s iPAddress is mapped to the username (map type ‘san-ip-address’). IPv4 addresses are converted into decimal-dotted quad notation (e.g., ‘192.0.2.1’). IPv6 addresses are converted into a 32-character all lowercase hexadecimal string without any colon separators.

E. Any of the subjectAltName’s rfc822Name, dNSName, iPAddress is mapped to the username (map type ‘san-any’). The first matching subjectAltName value found in the certificate of the above types MUST be used when deriving the name.

F. The certificate’s CommonName is mapped to the username (map type ‘common-name’). The CommonName is converted to UTF-8 encoding. The usage of CommonNames is deprecated and users are encouraged to use subjectAltName mapping methods instead.

(d) If it is impossible to determine a username from the list entry’s data combined with the data presented in the certificate, then additional list entries MUST be searched looking for another potential match. Similarly, if the username does not comply to the NETCONF requirements on usernames [RFC6241], then additional list entries MUST be
searched looking for another potential match. If there are no further list entries, the TLS session MUST be terminated.

The username provided by the NETCONF over TLS implementation will be made available to the NETCONF message layer as the NETCONF username without modification.

The NETCONF server configuration data model [I-D.ietf-netconf-server-model] covers NETCONF over TLS and provides further details such as certificate fingerprint formats exposed to network configuration systems.

8. Cipher Suites

Implementations MUST support TLS 1.2 [RFC5246] and are REQUIRED to support the mandatory-to-implement cipher suite. Implementations MAY implement additional TLS cipher suites that provide mutual authentication [RFC5246] and confidentiality as required by NETCONF [RFC6241]. Implementations SHOULD follow the recommendations given in [I-D.ietf-uta-tls-bcp].

9. Security Considerations

NETCONF is used to access configuration and state information and to modify configuration information, so the ability to access this protocol should be limited to users and systems that are authorized to view the NETCONF server’s configuration and state or to modify the NETCONF server’s configuration.

Configuration or state data may include sensitive information, such as usernames or security keys. So, NETCONF requires communications channels that provide strong encryption for data privacy. This document defines a NETCONF over TLS mapping that provides for support of strong encryption and authentication. The security considerations for TLS [RFC5246] and NETCONF [RFC6241] apply here as well.

NETCONF over TLS requires mutual authentication. Neither side should establish a NETCONF over TLS connection with an unknown, unexpected, or incorrect identity on the opposite side. Note that the decision whether a certificate presented by the client is accepted can depend on whether a trusted CA certificate is white listed (see Section 7). If deployments make use of this option, it is recommended that the white listed CA certificate is used only to issue certificates that are used for accessing NETCONF servers. Should the CA certificate be used to issue certificates for other purposes, then all certificates created for other purposes will be accepted by a NETCONF server as well, which is likely not suitable.
This document does not support third-party authentication (e.g., backend Authentication, Authorization, and Accounting (AAA) servers) due to the fact that TLS does not specify this way of authentication and that NETCONF depends on the transport protocol for the authentication service. If third-party authentication is needed, the SSH transport [RFC6242] can be used.

RFC 5539 assumes that the end-of-message (EOM) sequence, `]]>]]>`, cannot appear in any well-formed XML document, which turned out to be mistaken. The EOM sequence can cause operational problems and open space for attacks if sent deliberately in NETCONF messages. It is however believed that the associated threat is not very high. This document still uses the EOM sequence for the initial `<hello>` message to avoid incompatibility with existing implementations. When both peers implement :base:1.1 capability, a proper framing protocol (chunked framing mechanism; see Section 3) is used for the rest of the NETCONF session, to avoid injection attacks.

10. IANA Considerations

Based on the previous version of this document, RFC 5539, IANA has assigned a TCP port number (6513) in the "Registered Port Numbers" range with the service name "netconf-tls". This port will be the default port for NETCONF over TLS, as defined in Section 2. Below is the registration template following the rules in [RFC6335].

```
Service Name:           netconf-tls
Transport Protocol(s):  TCP
Assignee:               IESG <iesg@ietf.org>
Contact:                IETF Chair <chair@ietf.org>
Description:            NETCONF over TLS
Reference:              RFC XXXX
Port Number:            6513
```

[[CREF1: RFC Editor: Please replace XXXX above with the allocated RFC number and remove this comment. --JS]]
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This section summarizes major changes between this document and RFC 5539.
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- Removed redundant text that can be found in the TLS and NETCONF specifications and restructured the text. Alignment with [RFC6125].
- Added a high-level description how NETCONF usernames are derived from certificates.
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Abstract

This draft presents a technique for how to establish a secure NETCONF connection between a newly deployed networking device, configured with just its factory default settings, and the new owner’s Network Management System (NMS).
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1. Requirements Terminology

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2. Introduction

The solution presented herein is designed to support the NETCONF configuration protocol [RFC6241]. This is achieved by leveraging the recently standardized call home mechanisms for SSH [REVERSE-SSH] and TLS [RFC5539bis].
A fundamental business requirement is to reduce operational costs where possible. Deploying new devices is typically one of the largest costs in running the network, as sending trained specialists to each site is both cost prohibitive and doesn’t scale.

Both networking vendors and standard bodies have tried to address this issue, with varying levels of success. For instance, the Broadband Forum TR-069 specification [TR069] relies on DHCP for NMS discovery, but this only works in environments where the DHCP server can be configured, which isn’t the case when the device is connected to an ISP’s network. In another example, some network vendors have enabled their devices to load an initial configuration from removable storage media (e.g., a USB flash drive), but not all devices have such ports.

The solution presented herein attempts to addresses the evaluation criteria outlined by the draft "Configuring Security Parameters in Small Devices" [draft-hanna-zeroconf-seccfg-00]: security, flexibility, ease of use, and device cost. More specifically:

- **Security**

  Security is fundamental to any automated discovery solution, especially one that bootstraps the parameters used to secure a device’s connection to its NMS. Consistent with [RFC3365], security is a required aspect of ZeroTouch. Every ZeroTouch implementation is sure to be secure.

- **Flexibility**

  ZeroTouch is designed to support a wide variety of deployments, including cases where the device is connected to a network without administrative control of the local DHCP and DNS servers, where the device is connected to an untrusted network, or deployed behind a gateway that dynamically translates its network address (e.g., NAT). Special consideration is also provided for devices that are on a network with no public Internet access.

- **Ease of Use**

  Ultimately, the success of the solution depends on the ability for presumably non-technical personnel to be able to complete the installation by themselves. To this end, it is envisioned that installers only need to connect the device to a wired or wireless network and a power source and wait for the device to indicate success. ZeroTouch also attempts to not be overly complicated for NMS administrators.
o Device Cost

For vendors of devices with already slim margins, such as consumer-oriented devices, a significant concern is the cost of goods. Fortunately, the solution presented in this draft requires minimal additional components. Additionally, the development effort doesn’t seem exorbitant, though that may vary by vendor and their circumstances.

3. Actors and Roles

3.1. Device

The device is the networking entity that initiates Zero Touch. Whenever a device boots with its factory default settings, it initiates ZeroTouch with the goal of finding a Configlet with which it can use to configure itself. Once a Configlet is found, the device initializes its configuration using that Configlet and then exits ZeroTouch. Since the Configlet configures the device to "call home" upon entering its normal operating mode, the device immediately begins trying to establish a reverse-SSH or reverse-TLS connection, as specified by the Configlet.

3.2. Configlet

A Configlet is an XML file, containing specific YANG-defined configuration, that has been signed by a trusted signer known to the device (e.g., the device’s manufacturer).

The Configlet data-model, defined by the YANG module in this document (see Section 3.2), is just enough to configure a local user account and either reverse-SSH or reverse-TLS. More specifically, this data-model is a subset of what’s defined in ietf-system and ietf-netconf-server YANG models. This focused data-model is consistent with the common use-case of having the NMS push a full configuration to a device when it calls home.

The signature on the Configlet is enveloped, meaning that the signature is contained inside the XML file itself. The signature block also contains the X.509 certificate of the Configlet Signer and its chain of trust.

Once a device authenticates the signature on a Configlet and matches the unique identifier (e.g., serial number) within the Configlet, it merges the configuration contained in the Configlet into its running datastore.
3.3. Configlet Signer

A Configlet Signer is the entity authorized by the device manufacturer to sign Configlets for its devices (note: this may be the device vendor itself).

A Configlet Signer MUST provide a user-facing service enabling the creation of a Configlet with user-specific deployment values, using the YANG schema defined in Section 3.2. This document does not specify what form this interface must take, so it is the Configlet Signer's discretion if it is a web page, a REST API, or something else.

A Configlet Signer MUST ensure that the end-user is the rightful owner of the device containing the unique identifier value to be put into the Configlet. How a Configlet Signer ensures this is outside the scope of this document, but it is envisioned that the vendor would provide a secured interface for its trusted Configlet Signers to use.

A Configlet Signer MUST have an X.509 certificate with Key Usage capable of signing data (digitalSignature) and be signed by a certificate authority having a chain of trust leading to a trust anchor known to the devices loading its Configlets. The Configlet Signer MUST possess all intermediate certificates leading to its trust anchor.

When a Configlet Signer signs a Configlet, it attaches both the signature and the chain of X.509 certificates, including its own, but not necessarily including the trust anchor’s certificate. This chain of certificates is needed so a device can validate a Configlet using only the Configlet Signer trust anchors known to it.

A Configlet Signer does not need to retain the Configlet after signing it; it is expected that either the end-user or the Configlet Signer will convey the signer Configlet to a Configuration Server where it will be hosted.

3.4. Configuration Server

A Configuration Server is the entity hosting configurations that can be downloaded over a network. Configuration Servers are known to devices in the form of a URI, to which a device appends the fingerprint of its entity certificate (see Section 4.1 for details). For instance, if the URI were:
https://example.com?id=
scp://user@zerotouch.example.com/configlets/
ftp://example.com/zerotouch/configlets/

then the device would try to access:

https://example.com?id=<fingerprint>
scp://user@zerotouch.example.com/configlets/<fingerprint>
ftp://example.com/zerotouch/configlets/<fingerprint>

where the fingerprint is generated using the SHA-256 algorithm over the device’s entity certificate. For instance, using OpenSSL’s command line tool: ‘openssl dgst -sha256 <entity certificate>’ (see Section 4.1).

The Configuration Server is expected to be able to map the fingerprint to a device-specific unique identifier (e.g., serial number), which is the value contained in the Configlet it is hosting. How the Configuration Server does this mapping is outside the scope of this document, but it is envisioned that the vendor would provide a secured interface for its trusted Configuration Servers to use.

Configuration Servers do not need to use encryption, since the Configlets themselves are immutable to tampering, due to being signed. However, for confidentiality reasons, it is RECOMMENDED to use encryption, so adversaries cannot see the Configlet’s otherwise clear-text content, from which they can learn some details about the device’s internal deployment.

If a Configuration Server uses X.509-based encryption, then its X.509 certificate MUST have a chain of trust to a trust anchor known to devices. The Configuration Server MUST possess all the intermediate certificates leading to a trust anchor.

When a Configuration Server negotiates encryption with the device, it provides the chain of X.509 certificates, including its own, but not necessarily including the trust anchor’s certificate. Devices need the chain of certificates to be passed so they can validate the server using only a minimal list of Configuration Server trust anchors.

Configuration Server’s SHOULD automatically expire Configlets after some user-specified amount of time.

In order to facilitate troubleshooting and auditing, the Configuration Server SHOULD record into a log a record of the various Configlet download requests. This draft does not define what information should be kept or for how long.
3.5. Network Management System (NMS)

The NMS is the ultimate destination of ZeroTouch for a device. It is the NMS’s network address configured in the Configlet. The device will connect to the NMS using either a reverse-SSH or reverse-TLS, as configured by the Configlet loaded.

In order to authenticate the device, the NMS MUST possess the X.509 certificate for the trust anchor leading to the device’s entity certificate. The NMS uses this certificate to validate the server-certificate the device presents during SSH or TLS transport negotiation.

The NMS SHOULD also validate that the unique identifier (e.g., serial number), within the "Common Name" field of the device’s X.509 certificate, is an identity that the NMS is expecting, and not another device having the same device type. In order for the NMS to know the unique identifiers for devices shipped directly to their destinations, it may be necessary for the device manufacturer to provide the unique identifiers along with other shipping or billing information. This draft not specify a format for this information exchange.

In addition to authenticating the device, the NMS must also authenticate itself to the device. How this is done is protocol specific. For reverse-SSH, the NMS needs to know the information configured on the device by the Configlet it loaded, specifically the name of a local user account and the necessary credentials configured for the account (i.e., password or the private-half of a SSH host key). For reverse-TLS, the NMS must present a client certificate. Presumably the NMS has been configured with the information used when the Configlet was created.

4. Device Boot Sequence

4.1. Precondition

Devices supporting ZeroTouch MUST support either reverse-SSH or reverse-TLS, and MAY support both. In either case, the device MUST present an X.509-based certificate encoding a unique device identifier (e.g. serial number) and a public key, both signed by a trusted certificate authority. This certificate is the "entity certificate" in the diagram below. This certificate is needed in order for the NMS to positively authenticate a device. For reverse-SSH, this certificate requirement constrains the SSH host key algorithms the device is allowed to to use to those defined in [RFC6187].
The unique identifier MUST be something that is both known to the device and easily tracked through labels affixed to the device as well as the box it is packaged in. A device’s serial number is commonly treated this way and would be suitable for this purpose.

The device MUST possess the private key matching the public key encoded in the entity certificate. Ideally, the private key SHOULD be generated and protected by a tamper-resistant cryptographic processor, as this provides the greatest assurance that the private key is known to no one, including the device’s manufacturer.

The entity certificate MUST be signed by a certificate authority having a chain of trust to a well-known trust anchor. The device MUST also possess the X.509 certificates for any intermediate CAs leading to the trust anchor. During SSH or TLS transport setup, the device will send both its entity and any intermediate certificates so the NMS can verify the certificate path using only the well-known trust anchor.

Since the entity certificate is to be used for SSH and TLS connections, its Key Usage, if set, SHOULD have the digitalSignature, keyEncipherment, and keyAgreement bits set.

In order for a device to know where it can obtain a Configlet, it MUST have two sets of URIs, identifying resources where it can obtain Configlets. One set contains secure schemes (e.g. https://, scp://) and the other contains insecure schemes (e.g., http://, ftp://). These URIs point to the "Configuration Servers" in the diagram below.

In order for a device to use a URI with a secure scheme, devices MUST possess a trust anchor certificate that it can use to authenticate the Configuration Server with. As each Configuration Server may use a different trust anchor, this generalizes to a list of Configuration Server trust anchor certificates. These trust anchors MAY include broadly recognized certificate authorities, such as the certificates packaged with web browsers.

In order for a device to authenticate Configlets, it MUST have a trust anchor for the CA that signed the Configlet. The CA used to sign Configlets is called a "Configlet Signer" in the diagram below. In order to enable Configlets to be signed by different CAs, the device MAY have either a list of trust anchors, or a single trust anchor that delegates Configlet signing trust to other CAs. The diagram below shows a list of Configlet Signer trust anchors only because it is the more flexible option.

Devices SHOULD ensure that all its trust anchor certificates, including those for the Configlet Signer and Configuration Server,
are protected from external modification. It is for this reason that the diagram below shows them in immutable storage. However, it may be necessary to update these certificates over time (e.g., the vendor wants to delegate trust to a new CA). Therefore, devices MAY update these trust anchors when needed through a verifiable process, such as a software upgrade using signed software images.

Devices SHOULD ensure that the certificates for its trust anchors are protected from external modification, specifically the Configlet Signer and Configuration Server X.509 certificates. It is for this reason that the diagram below shows them in immutable storage. The certificates for the device's trust anchors MAY be updated along with a standard software image upgrade.

Device State Precondition

```
+------------------------------------------------------------------+
|                            <device>                              |
|                                                                  |
|     +------------------------------------------------------+     |
|     |                <immutable storage>                   |     |
|     |                                                      |     |
|     |  list of Configlet Signer trust anchor certificates  |     |
|     |  list of Configuration Server trust anchor certs     |     |
|     +------------------------------------------------------+     |
|                                                                  |
|   +----------------------------------------------------------+   |
|   |                    <other storage>                       |   |
|   |                                                          |   |
|   |  two sets of Configuration Server URIs                   |   |
|   |  device entity & associated intermediate certificate(s)  |   |
|   +----------------------------------------------------------+   |
|                                                                  |
|                    +----------------------+                      |
|                    |  <crypto processor>  |                      |
|                    |                      |                      |
|                    |  device private key  |                      |
|                    +----------------------+                      |
+------------------------------------------------------------------+
```

4.2. Runtime Operation

Whenever a device boots with its factory default settings, it initiates ZeroTouch with the goal of finding a configuration with which it can use to configure itself.
The device MUST first initialize its networking as per its default factory configuration. This SHOULD result in the dynamic assignment of an IP address, subnet or prefix, gateway, and a DNS server.

While initializing its networking, the device MAY receive some additional URIs for where a software image or configuration can be downloaded. This draft does not define how such URIs MAY be exchanged, for instance, using DHCP options.

If, while initializing its networking, the device receives software image URIs, it MAY download and install the software image only if the image is protected from modification (e.g., the image is signed) and the device is able to verify its integrity. The device SHOULD try URIs with secure schemes before URIs with insecure schemes (e.g., scp:// before ftp://). If the device needs to reboot to activate the new software image, it MUST do so with its default factory configuration set so that ZeroTouch will run again when the device comes back up.

If, while initializing its networking, the device receives configuration URIs, each URI SHOULD be appended to one of the device’s two sets of Configuration Server URIs, depending on if the URI’s scheme is secure or not. URIs added this way MUST remain distinguishable from those URIs the device was shipped with, for reasons discussed in Section 4.2.

Before trying any of the Configuration Server URIs, the device SHOULD first try to load a configuration through local means that assert physical presence. For instance, a removable USB flash drive or near-field communication mechanism. Configurations obtained through an assertion of physical presence do not have to be signed or contain the device’s unique identifier (e.g., serial number). If a Configlet is found, the device MUST use it without trying any of the Configuration Server URIs.

If a configuration was not found via physical presence, the device then iterates over its two sets of Configuration Server URIs. The device MUST first try all the URIs from the set having secure schemes before trying any of the URIs from the set having insecure schemes. For each URI, until a usable configuration is found and successfully loaded, the device attempts to download a configuration from the URI. If the URI uses a secure scheme (e.g., https), the device MUST validate the Configuration Server’s certificate using one of its Configuration Server trust anchors. If the device is unable to verify the server’s certificate, the device MUST skip that URI. If the device reaches the end of all its URIs without finding a configuration, it MAY continue its normal boot sequence using its factory default configuration.
When the device is accessing a Configuration Server URI that it was shipped with (i.e., not discovered while initializing its networking, it MUST do so by appending the fingerprint of its entity certificate to the URI’s string, as described in the Section 3.4. For URIs discovered while initializing its networking, the device MAY try both the raw URI as well as the permutation of it using its fingerprint.

The Configuration Server’s response MAY be anything allowed by the given URI’s scheme. For instance, if the scheme is HTTP-based, the Configuration Server MAY return an HTTP redirect. In this way, a vendor's Configuration Server service may allow the device owner to redirect the device to a Configuration Server running in their network.

If the Configuration Server returns a Configlet, the device MUST first verify it before use. Configlet verification entails both verifying the Configlet’s signature using the device’s list of Configlet Signer trust anchors, and also verifying that the unique identifier (e.g., serial number) within the Configlet matches the device’s unique identifier.

Once a Configlet is authenticated, the device merges the Configlet’s contents into its running configuration and then exits ZeroTouch. Since the Configlet configures the device to "call home," upon entering its normal operating mode, the device immediately begins trying to establish a reverse-SSH or reverse-TLS connection, as specified by the Configlet.

If configured to establish a reverse-SSH connection, the device MUST use its entity and associated intermediate X.509 certificates as its host key per RFC 6187 [RFC6187]. If configured to use reverse-TLS, the device MUST use its entity and associated intermediate X.509 certificates as its server-side certificate for the TLS connection.

In order to facilitate troubleshooting, the device SHOULD record into a log information relating to its stepping through the ZeroTouch sequence of steps. This draft does not define any specific log messages, for instance, for Syslog or SNMP.

ZeroTouch Sequence Diagram
5. Configlets

5.1. Data Model

The Configlet’s data is modeled after the data models provided by draft-ietf-netmod-system-mgmt and draft-kwatsen-netconf-server. These data models are used to configure a local user account and either reverse-SSH or reverse-TLS. Networking is not included in the Configlet data model as it is expected that the device will be assigned a dynamic address by the network and that it will use this address both when connecting to a Configuration Server and later the NMS.

From draft-ietf-netmod-system-mgmt, the data model for user authentication has the following structure:

```
+--rw system
  +--rw authentication
    +--rw user-authentication-order*  identityref
    +--rw user* [name]
      +--rw name  string
      +--rw password?  crypt-hash
      +--rw ssh-key* [name]
        +--rw name  string
        +--rw algorithm  string
        +--rw key-data  binary
```
From draft-kwatsen-netconf-server, the data model for reverse-SSH has the following structure:

```plaintext
+-rw netconf
  +--rw ssh {ssh}?
    +--rw listen {inbound-ssh}?
      +--rw (one-or-many)?
        +--:(one-port)
          +--rw port? inet:port-number
        +--:(many-ports)
          +--rw interface* [address]
            +--rw address inet:ip-address
            +--rw port? inet:port-number
    +--rw call-home {outbound-ssh}?
      +--rw applications
        +--rw application* [name]
          +--rw name string
          +--rw description? string
        +--rw servers
          +--rw server* [address]
            +--rw address inet:host
            +--rw port? inet:port-number
        +--rw connection-type
          +--rw (connection-type)?
            +--:(persistent-connection)
              +--rw persistent
                +--rw keep-alives
                  +--rw interval-secs? uint8
                  +--rw count-max? uint8
            +--:(periodic-connection)
              +--rw periodic
                +--rw timeout-mins? uint8
                +--rw linger-secs? uint8
        +--rw reconnect-strategy
          +--rw start-with? enumeration
          +--rw interval-secs? uint8
          +--rw count-max? uint8
        +--rw host-keys
          +--rw host-key* [name]
            +--rw name string
```

Also from draft-kwatsen-netconf-server, the data model for reverse-TLS has the following structure:
---rw netconf
   +--rw tls {tls}?
      +--rw listen {inbound-tls}?
         +--rw (one-or-many)?
         |    +--:(one-port)
         |         +--rw port?        inet:port-number
         |    +--:(many-ports)
         |         +--rw interface* [address]
         |         +--rw address    inet:ip-address
         |         +--rw port?      inet:port-number
      +--rw call-home {outbound-tls}?
      +--rw applications
         +--rw application* [name]
            +--rw name                  string
            +--rw description?          string
            +--rw servers
               +--rw server* [address]
                  +--rw address    inet:host
                  +--rw port?      inet:port-number
               +--rw connection-type
                  +--rw (connection-type)?
                  |                +--rw persistent
                  |                  +--rw keep-alives
                  |                         +--rw interval-secs?  uint8
                  |                         +--rw count-max?    uint8
                  |                  +--rw (periodic-connection)
                  |                     +--rw periodic
                  |                         +--rw timeout-mins?   uint8
                  |                         +--rw linger-secs?    uint8
                  +--rw reconnect-strategy
                     +--rw start-with?      enumeration
                     +--rw interval-secs?  uint8
                     +--rw count-max?    uint8
      +--rw cert-maps {tls-map-certificates}?
         +--rw cert-to-name* [id]
            +--rw id             uint32
            +--rw fingerprint    x509c2n:tls-fingerprint
            +--rw map-type       identityref
            +--rw name           string
      +--rw psk-maps {tls-map-pre-shared-keys}?
         +--rw psk-map* [psk-identity]
            +--rw psk-identity    string
            +--rw user-name      nacm:user-name-type
            +--rw not-valid-before?  yang:date-and-time
            +--rw not-valid-after?  yang:date-and-time
            +--rw key             yang:hex-string
5.2. Signature

Configlets obtained over the network MUST be signed using the W3C standard "XML Signature Syntax and Processing" [XMLSIG]. The entire contents of the Configlet MUST be signed. The signature block must also include the Configlet Signer's certificate and any intermediate certificates leading to a Configlet Signer trust anchor.

A signed Configlet example is in the Appendix.

5.3. YANG Module

Following is the YANG module for the Configlet:

```yang
module ietf-netconf-zerotouch {

    prefix "nczerotouch";

    //import ietf-system {
    //    prefix ncsystem;
    //}

    import ietf-netconf-server {
        prefix ncserver;
    }

    organization
        "IETF NETCONF (Network Configuration) Working Group";

    contact
        "WG Web: <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/netconf/>
        WG List: <mailto:netconf@ietf.org>
        WG Chair: Mehmet Ersue
            <mailto:mehmet.ersue@nsn.com>
        WG Chair: Bert Wijnen
            <mailto:bertietf@bwijnen.net>
        Editor: Kent Watsen
            <mailto:kwatsen@juniper.net>";

    description
        "This module contains a collection of YANG definitions for configuring NETCONF zerotouch."

    Copyright (c) 2014 IETF Trust and the persons identified as

typedef crypt-hash {
  type string {
    pattern
      '0$.*'
      + ' [^\d$.]{1,8}[^\d$.]{22}'
      + ' [^\d$.]{1,16}[^\d$.]{43}'
      + ' [^\d$.]{1,16}[^\d$.]{86}'
  }
  description
    "The crypt-hash type is used to store passwords using a hash function. The algorithms for applying the hash function and encoding the result are implemented in various UNIX systems as the function crypt(3)."
}

typedef string crypt-hashed {
  type string {
    pattern
      '0$.*'
      + ' [a-zA-Z0-9./]{1,8}[^\d$.]{22}'
      + ' [a-zA-Z0-9./]{1,16}[^\d$.]{43}'
      + ' [a-zA-Z0-9./]{1,16}[^\d$.]{86}'
  }
  description
    "The crypt-hashed type is used to store passwords using a hash function. The algorithms for applying the hash function and encoding the result are implemented in various UNIX systems as the function crypt(3)."
}

typedef string crypt-hashed {
  type string {
    pattern
      '0$.*'
      + ' [a-zA-Z0-9./]{1,8}[^\d$.]{22}'
      + ' [a-zA-Z0-9./]{1,16}[^\d$.]{43}'
      + ' [a-zA-Z0-9./]{1,16}[^\d$.]{86}'
  }
  description
    "The crypt-hashed type is used to store passwords using a hash function. The algorithms for applying the hash function and encoding the result are implemented in various UNIX systems as the function crypt(3)."
}

typedef string crypt-hashed {
  type string {
    pattern
      '0$.*'
      + ' [a-zA-Z0-9./]{1,8}[^\d$.]{22}'
      + ' [a-zA-Z0-9./]{1,16}[^\d$.]{43}'
      + ' [a-zA-Z0-9./]{1,16}[^\d$.]{86}'
  }
  description
    "The crypt-hashed type is used to store passwords using a hash function. The algorithms for applying the hash function and encoding the result are implemented in various UNIX systems as the function crypt(3)."
}

typedef string crypt-hashed {
  type string {
    pattern
      '0$.*'
      + ' [a-zA-Z0-9./]{1,8}[^\d$.]{22}'
      + ' [a-zA-Z0-9./]{1,16}[^\d$.]{43}'
      + ' [a-zA-Z0-9./]{1,16}[^\d$.]{86}'
  }
  description
    "The crypt-hashed type is used to store passwords using a hash function. The algorithms for applying the hash function and encoding the result are implemented in various UNIX systems as the function crypt(3)."
}
If a value starting with ’$<id>$’, where <id> is not ’0’, is received, the server knows that the value already represents a hashed value, and stores it as is in the data store.

When a server needs to verify a password given by a user, it finds the stored password hash string for that user, extracts the salt, and calculates the hash with the salt and given password as input. If the calculated hash value is the same as the stored value, the password given by the client is accepted.

This type defines the following hash functions:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>id</th>
<th>hash function</th>
<th>feature</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MD5</td>
<td>crypt-hash-md5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>SHA-256</td>
<td>crypt-hash-sha-256</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>SHA-512</td>
<td>crypt-hash-sha-512</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The server indicates support for the different hash functions by advertising the corresponding feature.

reference
- "IEEE Std 1003.1-2008 - crypt() function"
- "RFC 1321: The MD5 Message-Digest Algorithm"
- "FIPS.180-3.2008: Secure Hash Standard"
type crypt-hash;
  description
    "The password for this entry.";
}
list ssh-key {
  key name;
  description
    "A list of public SSH keys for this user.";
  reference
    "RFC 4253: The Secure Shell (SSH) Transport Layer Protocol";

  leaf name {
    type string;
    description
      "An arbitrary name for the ssh key.";
  }

  leaf algorithm {
    type string;
    mandatory true;
    description
      "The public key algorithm name for this ssh key.
      Valid values are the values in the IANA Secure Shell (SSH) Protocol Parameters registry, Public Key Algorithm Names";
    reference
      "IANA Secure Shell (SSH) Protocol Parameters registry, Public Key Algorithm Names";
  }

  leaf key-data {
    type binary;
    mandatory true;
    description
      "The binary key data for this ssh key.";
  }
}
}

container netconf-server {
  // no way to use top-level "netconf" container?

  container ssh {
    uses ncserver:ssh-config;
    // no way to disable "listen" container?
  }
}
container tls {
    uses ncserver:tls-config;
    // no way to disable "listen" container?
}

6. Security Considerations

It is not possible to substitute a Configlet created for a different
device, since devices assert that the Configlet contains their unique
identifier (e.g., serial number).

It is possible to substitute a Configlet created for a device with a
different Configlet created for the same device. Generally, unless
imposed by the Configlet Signers, there is no limit to the number of
Configlets that may be generated for a given device. This could be
resolved, in part, by placing a timestamp into the Configlet and
ensuring devices do not load Configlets older than some amount, but
this requires the devices have an accurate clock when validating a
Configlet and for Configlet Signers to not sign a Configlet when
another Configlet is still active.

Confidentiality of Configlets loaded over a network is only assured
when the device uses a secure networking scheme and validates the
Configuration Server’s certificate.

Confidentiality is further provided by using the fingerprint of the
device’s entity certificate when doing a Configuration Server lookup,
as it is not guessable and thus makes it nearly impossible for an
adversary to lookup.

This draft allows devices to try alternate means to load a Configlet
before trying the network, so long as they assert physical presence.
For instance, a removable USB drive or a near-field communication
mechanism. Further, this draft does not require Configlets to be
signed, if loaded via a mechanism that asserts physical presence. or
require those Configlets to have the device’s unique identifier value
set. All of these relaxations in Security are deemed acceptable
because physical presence should only be accessible to trusted
parties.
This draft allows devices to use insecure schemes when doing a Configuration Server lookup. This is deemed acceptable because the Configlet is tamper-proof, due to being signed, only confidentiality is lost.

This draft entails the device having an X.509 certificate that is used by the NMS to authenticate the device. This certificate and every certificate in the chain leading to the well known trust anchor, should have an expiration date greater than the device’s useful life expectancy. Given the long-lived nature of these device certificates, it is paramount to use a strong key length (e.g., 512-bit ECC). Configlet Signers should deploy Online Certificate State Protocol (OCSP) responders or CRL Distribution Points (CDP) to revoke certificates in case necessary.

This draft mentions using the device’s serial number as its unique identifier in its entity certificate. This is because serial numbers are ubiquitous and prominently contained in invoices and on labels affixed to devices and their packaging. That said, serial numbers many times encode revealing information, such as the device’s model number, manufacture date, and/or sequence number. Knowledge of this information may provide an adversary with details needed to launch an attack. To address this concern, the certificate could contain the hash of the serial number instead, which the NMS could also compute, but doing so is much less intuitive and raises questions if it is just security through obscurity.

It is paramount the device manufacturer ensures the integrity of the device’s list of trust anchors. It should not be possible for anyone other than the manufacturer be able to modify the list of trust anchors. One way to achieve this to sign the list of trust anchors with a private key known only to the manufacturer, and for the matching public key to be stored on tamper-resistant read-only media.

7. IANA Considerations

None
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Appendix A. Examples

A.1. Signed Configlet

This example illustrates a Configlet configuring both a local user account and reverse-SSH. This Configlet includes both the Configlet Signer's certificate as well as an Intermediate certificate. Note that '\ ' characters have been added for formatting reasons.

<?xml version="1.0"?><configlet xmlns="urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-netconf-zerotouch"><system>
<authentication>
  <user>
    <name>admin</name>
    <ssh-key>
      <name>admin's rsa ssh host-key</name>
      <algorithm>ssh-rsa</algorithm>
      <key-data>AAAAB3NzaC1yc2EAAAADAQABAAABAQDeJMV8zr...<snip>WAw11</ Kennelijke tekst vervangen volgens betrokkenen beperkingen</snip></key-data>
    </ssh-key>
  </user>
</authentication>

<!-- from ietf-netconf-server.yang -->

<netconf-server>
  <ssh>
    <call-home>
      <applications>
        <application>
          <name>config-mgr</name>
          <description>
            This entry requests the device to periodically connect to the Configuration Manager application
          </description>
          <servers>
            <server>
              <address>config-mgr1.example.com</address>
            </server>
            <server>
              <address>config-mgr2.example.com</address>
            </server>
          </servers>
        </application>
      </applications>
    </call-home>
  </ssh>
</netconf-server>
<host-key>
 <name>ssh_host_key_cert</name>
</host-key>

<host-key>
 <name>ssh_host_key_cert2</name>
</host-key>

</host-keys>

</applications>

</call-home>

</ssh>

</netconf-server>

<Signature xmlns="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#">
 <SignedInfo>
  <CanonicalizationMethod
   Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2001/10/xml-exc-c14n#"/>
  <SignatureMethod
   Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#rsa-sha1"/>
  <Reference>
   <Transforms>
    <Transform
     Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#enveloped-signature">
    <DigestMethod
     Algorithm="http://www.w3.org/2000/09/xmldsig#sha1"/>
    <DigestValue>2xlFdlVifb1snGBLJuEZYRlJsuQ==</DigestValue>
    </Transform>
   </Transforms>
   <Reference/>
  </Reference>
 </SignedInfo>
 <SignatureValue>
  HUX357TZKXGJGUhazWGRSB9CMBZ0T+tTrB1fOnTcKi9wU4UOnSw5KMWDvOVwc6idM
  UIOJIuJigWhSkn+4vWSWz6gy7LTYIywNcxDygHmVmmXfoRXETpL+qCDxribMi4VWMvHC
  Ew1oe83kJT7W/0DjUE7FFKRuHPrj9EGxqP7j/7wkdSKk+F2uYkpq2uUmWM3DIULeK9v
  NrVQBhmcf3z2W3hShW54We0imwWE497a5eSYWgsImSetAD10NVXFBZJxJzqFEaYLnZ
  z8I0BZYYw14s1RZbN7YmxhN1R3q5z2wWvHjR2Sy1R/Z55pIhYoDeKoD
  HMQMF3HZL06Hm5S8r8rGg==</SignatureValue>
 <KeyInfo>
  <!--XM509Certificate-->
  MIIFJKcCBBKgAwIBAgIJAIBaJANBgkqhkiG9w0BAQFsFADAwMRMwEQYDVQQKFApUUE1f
  VmVuZG9yMRkxfWYDVQQFDBBBdW5pcGVyX1hYWFhYX0NBMB4XDEzMTAyMDE2MjIx
  MFoXDEzMTAyMDE2MjIxMFowKzETMVEQAIUEChQKVFBNX11lmRvcjeUMB1GA1UE
  AxQrQl2hpC8wMDAwMEDwqGElMA0GCSqGSIb3DQEBAQAA4IBwggEKocIBAQDf
  4hyyWq5sf80lsZYYJQBJ0PB4cHmInNPOs9pV3QCCB1P3ZLhfcD0yVMqHzZjYY+7zq
  ZTJpsIE8n5X4dd0DkrR0uc4WWMmc40Pz2HAW6QgMo4eUYXuOQFl3EkgzdZk
  gR16vuadmkACHB8ynYR+cbw/1lpXh1y2A5fuH4JP7911wABb98eGhF9cvGxbYR
  +KqZJycq6VaaIvd/O0NLCNSaGeAIXXcXWoRF5E6HKs0lTHPd1+40BmYrCr3UWy6
  IybCIP5uZZ0za4j0n/fPb6SEqEa01l2UEW1FQM9yBc1NY5zWNHNgQ5dPJO2qgX
Appendix B.  Change Log

B.1.  00 to 01

  Complete re-write.  Switched from using signed DNS records using
  DNSSEC to using signed YANG-defined XML files using XML Signature.
  This update took into a lot a feedback from both operators and
  vendors.

Appendix C.  Open Issues

C.1.  How to best structure the Configlet YANG module?

  The current YANG module must redefine parts of the "ietf-system" and
  "ietf-netconf-server" modules.  Also, when referencing parts that it
  can, the YANG module unnecessarily includes parts it doesn’t need,
  such as configuring the device to listen for inbound connections.
  Ideally "deviation" statements could be used to delete the unwanted
  sub-trees.

C.2.  Should Configlets always be signed?

  This drafts states that Configlets don’t have to be signed when
  loaded through a mechanism that asserts physical presence.  However,
  some have voiced concern, saying that no possible backdoor should be
  allowed.
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