

# Benchmarking Methodology WG (bmwg) 88th IETF

- **Friday, November 8, 2013**  
**(1120-1300 Vancouver Local Time, GMT-8:00)**
- **Chairs:**
  - **Al Morton (acmorton(at)att.com)**
  - **Sarah Banks (sbanks(at)aerohive.com)**
  - **PLEASE MOVE CLOSE TO THE FRONT**
- *If you are not subscribed to the BMWG mailing list and would like to be, please go to <https://www1.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/bmwg>*

# Note Well

(New version as of May 2013)

This summary is only meant to point you in the right direction, and doesn't have all the nuances.

**The IETF's IPR Policy** is set forth in **BCP 79**; please read it carefully.

**The brief summary:**

- ❖ **By participating with the IETF, you agree to follow IETF processes.**
- ❖ **If you are aware that a contribution of yours (something you write, say, or discuss in any IETF context) is covered by patents or patent applications, you need to disclose that fact.**
- ❖ **You understand that meetings might be recorded, broadcast, and publicly archived.**

For further information, talk to a WG chair, ask an Area Director, or review the following:

BCP 9 (on the Internet Standards Process)

BCP 25 (on the Working Group processes)

BCP 78 (on the IETF Trust)

BCP 79 (on Intellectual Property Rights in the IETF)

# BMWG Agenda (Any Bashing needed?)

Note-Taker(s), Jabber, IPR, Blue Sheets

1. **WG Status** **Presenter: Chairs**
  2. **Re-Chartering Approach** **Presenter: Chairs**
  3. **Milestones: and New Work Proposal matrix:**
  4. **Traffic Management Benchmarking** **Presenter: Barry**
  5. **Data Center Benchmarking Proposal** **Presenter: Lucien**
  6. **IPv6 Neighbor Discovery Benchmarking** **Presenter: Bill**
  7. **Software Upgrade Benchmarking** **Presenter: Sarah**
  8. **BGP Dataplane Convergence** **Presenter: Dean**
  9. **LDP Dataplane Convergence** **Presenter: Bhavani**
- LAST. AOB**

# BMWG Activity

## Working Group Documents:

<b>Draft name</b>	<b>Rev.</b>	<b>Dated</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
<b>Active:</b>				
<b>draft-ietf-bmwg-bgp-basic-convergence</b>	<b>-00</b>	<b>2013-07-02</b>	<b>Active</b>	<b>Adopted as WG</b>

## Recently Expired:

<b>draft-ietf-bmwg-ca-bench-meth</b>	<b>-04</b>	<b>2013-02-06</b>	<b>Active</b>	
<b>draft-ietf-bmwg-sip-bench-meth</b>	<b>-08</b>	<b>2013-01-08</b>	<b>Active</b>	<b>Rev ID Need</b>
<b>draft-ietf-bmwg-sip-bench-term</b>	<b>-08</b>	<b>2013-01-08</b>	<b>Active</b>	<b>Rev ID Need</b>

## IESG Processing:

## RFC-Editor's Queue:

# BMWG Activity

- **New RFCs:**
  - none
- **Charter Update**
  - Progressing toward re-charter in 2013
- **Supplementary BMWG Page**
  - See <http://home.comcast.net/~acmacm/BMWG/>

# BMWG Activity

Related Active Docs (not working group documents):

<b>Draft name</b>	<b>Rev.</b>	<b>Status</b>	<b>Comments</b>
draft-constantine-bmwg-traffic-management	-02		
<a href="http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-cerveney-bmwg-ipv6-nd-02">http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-cerveney-bmwg-ipv6-nd-02</a>			
<a href="http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-banks-bmwg-issu-meth-02">http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-banks-bmwg-issu-meth-02</a>			
<a href="http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-dcbench-def-01">http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-dcbench-def-01</a>			
<a href="http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-bmwg-dcbench-methodology-02">http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-bmwg-dcbench-methodology-02</a>			
<a href="http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-parise-bmwg-ldp-convergence-term-00">http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-parise-bmwg-ldp-convergence-term-00</a>			

Not recently active

draft-hamilton-bmwg-ca-bench-term	-00	2011-03	
draft-manral-bmwg-power-usage-03.txt		2013-01	
draft-player-dcb-benchmarking	-04	2011-04	

# Standard “Paragraph” (intro/security)

Benchmarking activities as described in this memo are limited to technology characterization using controlled stimuli in a laboratory environment, with dedicated address space and the constraints specified in the sections above.

The benchmarking network topology will be an independent test setup and **MUST NOT** be connected to devices that may forward the test traffic into a production network, or misroute traffic to the test management network.

Further, benchmarking is performed on a "black-box" basis, relying solely on measurements observable external to the DUT/SUT.

Special capabilities **SHOULD NOT** exist in the DUT/SUT specifically for benchmarking purposes. Any implications for network security arising from the DUT/SUT **SHOULD** be identical in the lab and in production networks.

# Work Proposal Summary Matrix

Work Area > Criteria √	Power	IPv6 Neighbor Discover	ISSU: SW Update	Traffic Manage- ment	Data Center Bench	LDP Dataplane Converge	Data Center Bridge
Proposal	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
In Scope of Charter? (acm)	Y	Y	IN?	Y	Y	Y	Y
Draft(s)	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	Y
Sig. Support at meetings	Y	Y	Supporting discussion IETF-80	Y	Y		
Sig. Support on List	Recent comment s			Yes	Traffic in August '13 (jitter)		
Dependencie s/Notes		Side discuss at IETF86	Charter	“Adopted”		On Charter!	On Charter!

# Current Milestones

- Done Terminology For Protection Benchmarking to AD Review
- Done Networking Device Reset Benchmark (Updates RFC 2544) to IESG Review
- Dec 2010 Methodology For Protection Benchmarking to IESG Review (**Done**)
- Jun 2011 Terminology for SIP Device Benchmarking to IESG Review (**Done, but...**)
- Jun 2011 Methodology for SIP Device Benchmarking to IESG Review (**Done, but...**)
- Jul 2011 Basic BGP Convergence Benchmarking Methodology to IESG Review.
  
- Done Methodology for Flow Export and Collection Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Jun 2011 Methodology for Data Center Bridging Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Dec 2011 Terminology for Content Aware Device Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Dec 2011 Methodology for Content Aware Device Benchmarking to IESG Review
- Dec 2011 *Terminology for LDP Convergence Benchmarking to IESG Review*
- Dec 2011 *Methodology for LDP Convergence Benchmarking to IESG Review*