draft-ietf-abfab-aaa-saml

Rhys Smith

IETF 89, London

Remaining issues

- SAML naming of AAA entities
  - Easy to specify for specific AAA fabrics, but proving harder to generalise owing to the differences in trust semantics implied from different AAA fabrics (e.g., proxy versus E2E)
  - Josh will make proposal ASAP
- Alejandro has recently noted that RFC2865 requires either a password or state attribute in a RADIUS Access-Request message
  - We probably want to require use of the State attribute
- Document still feels a bit terse
  - Further review would be much appreciated