

# Extensions to RSVP-TE to Support Route Exclusion Using Path Key Subobject

CCAMP WG, IETF 89<sup>th</sup>, London, UK

draft-zhang-ccamp-route-exclusion-pathkey-01.txt

Xian Zhang (zhang.xian@huawei.com)

**Fatai Zhang (zhangfatai@huawei.com)**

Oscar Gonzalez de Dios(ogondio@tid.es)

Igor Bryskin(ibryskin@advaoptical.com)

Dhruv Dhody (dhruv.dhody@huawei.com)

Cyril Margaria (cyril.margaria@gmail.com)

# Changes from Version 00

- Added explanation of how the Path Key can be resolved
  - A dedicated or co-located PKS resolution entity, e.g., a PCE (**note: do not need full PCE function**); => **NO extensions to PCEP is needed.**
  - NMS or other proprietary mechanisms
- Modified the RSVP-TE XRO PKS format and improved processing text;
  - Keep it consistent with RFC5553
  - L bit explanation and how to handle if Path Key cannot be decoded
- Added Manageability Consideration
  - PKS uniqueness
  - Path Key re-use
  - Path key update

# Comparison and Analysis

**Objective is the same:** exclude a confidential path segment from another LSP.

draft-ietf-ccamp-lsp-diversity

•5-tuple LSP Info:  
Size: 24 bytes or 60 bytes

•Number of LSPs

•**Incomplete solution:** need further protocol ext to resolve 5-tuple LSP info (ie., how to resolve 5-tuple should be addressed)

•**Require a proprietary** protocol

•Must be **stateful** (ie., store LSP info) for whatever entity



VS:

draft-...route-exclusion-pathkey

Info Size

•Path Key + PCE ID:  
Size: 8 bytes or 20 bytes

Scalability

•65535 LSPs across domain should be sufficient  
•Path key per node

PCE/NMS/?

•PCE: complete solution  
•Edge node or NMS or whatever that is only capable of resolving Path Key : complete solution

Stateless?

•Stateless: store Path Key info  
•Stateful is also OK



# Next Step

- Add Attribute Flag to indicate exclusion type
- Make PCE-ID field generic
- Effort to achieve one common solution
  - Would be happy to work with the authors of other drafts to find a common solution, which will lead to one merged draft
  - Requirements analysis
  - Gap analysis on solutions
- Any more comments?