CER ID Option

draft-donley-dhc-cer-id-option-03

Chris Donley, Michael Kloberdans CableLabs

John Brzozowski Comcast

Chris Grundamann ISOC

Purpose of this draft

- Several home network addressing mechanisms require identification of Customer Edge Router (CER)
- CableLabs' eRouter team looking to use DHCP to identify the CER
- DHCP-based approach also applicable to HIPNet and HIPNet-Homenet interoperability
 - http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-winters-homenetsper-interaction-01

CER ID via DHCPv6 Option

- CER could be identified via a DHCPv6 option
- ISP indicates that it isn't the CER
 - By setting the CER ID option to ::
 - By not returning the CER ID option
- CER identifies itself as CER and populates the CER ID option with its IPv6 address
- Internal Routers (IRs) learn the CER ID option and pass it to other Irs
- Authentication is supported
 - null, simple password, cryptographic

CER ID Option

```
()
        option-code
                                                option-len
                                                   auth type
            reserved
                            Authentication
                            CER ID
option-code
           OPTION_CER_ID (TBD).
option-len
               16
               16
reserved
auth type
               16
Authentication 128
CER ID value
              128 (IPv6 address of CER)
```

Applicability

- Planned for inclusion in CableLabs eRouter specification and HIPNet draft
 - http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-grundemann-hipnet-00
- Provides interoperability between HIPNet and Homenet
 - http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-winters-homenet-sperinteraction-01
- Also could be used for other addressing mechanisms that require CER identification
- Could be extended to include other information (e.g. size of prefix delegated by the ISP) for distribution in the home

CER Change History

CER proposal 01 – initial proposal to IETF

 CER proposal 02 – added security features for authentication

CER proposal 03 – fixed text inconsistencies

Next Steps

- Looking for WG feedback
 - Should this option be extended?
 - Should this draft become a WG document?