Problem statement

Peter Koch - DENIC & Stéphane Bortzmeyer - AFNIC

IETF 89 - London

DNS traffic is revealing

We want to protect traffic rather than data

- $\textbf{0} \ \, \textbf{www.political-party.example} \leftarrow \textbf{Sensitive information}$
- ② _bittorrent-tracker._tcp.domain.example ← MPAA may be interested
- 1e-pc-de-pascal.domain.example ← Personal information

A sniffing 3rd party can learn what you're doing

Eve (who runs a sniffer) knows the hostname you connect to even if you use HTTPS or SMTP over TLS.

There are other leaks (SNI...) but we focus on our responsibility: the DNS

Two cases

May require different solutions

- Client machine \leftrightarrow full resolver (no caching to protect you) (you talk only to a few resolvers)
- $\textbf{@} \ \, \mathsf{Resolver} \leftrightarrow \mathsf{auth.} \ \, \mathsf{name} \ \, \mathsf{server} \ \, \mathsf{(some} \ \, \mathsf{protection} \ \, \mathsf{because} \ \, \mathsf{of} \ \, \mathsf{caching)} \\ \mathsf{(needs} \ \, \mathsf{scalability)}$

Other issues

It's a problem statement, not a formal requirements list.

For instance, monitoring and statistics issues, or behavior of resolver when no encryption available are not discussed yet.