

DNS Operations (DNSOP) Working Group

Tim Wicinski
Suzanne Woolf
IETF 89 - London, UK
March, 2014

Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by [RFC 4879](#)).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and [RFC 3979](#) for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

Agenda Summary

- Introduction
 - Note Well
 - Blue Sheets
- Lots of 'DNS Stuff'
- Lots of talk
- NO PRIVACY DISCUSSION

Status Updates

- Drafts heading toward WG LC
 - draft-ietf-dnsop-delegation-trust-maintenance
 - draft-ietf-dnsop-child-synchronization
 - Any problem with moving forward?
- AS112
 - draft-ietf-dnsop-as112-dname
 - draft-jabley-dnsop-rfc6304bis
 - Next Steps? Reviewers Needed
- Followup Discussion
 - draft-andrews-dnsop-update-parent-zones
 - Enough information to decide?

Reviving Adopted Drafts

- These drafts were adopted and were in WGLC when they withered.
- The question has been raised on reviving them
 - Yet, times have changed
 - They need revisiting

- DNS Referral Response Size Issues, (Abley)
 - draft-ietf-dnsop-respsize
 - added commentary related to DNSSEC's impact on response size
 - authors believe this is still useful advice to finalize and publish
 - needs reviewers
- Initializing a DNS Resolver with Priming Queries (Koch)

Key Timing Draft(s)

- Another draft that was in WGLC, expired
 - draft-ietf-dnsop-dnssec-key-timing
- Already had a follow up draft
 - draft-mekking-dnsop-dnssec-key-timing-bis
- Authors would like to revive
 - But feel the entire discussion needs restarting
 - Original has many edge cases
- Proposal is to create new document covering major use cases, followup to cover edges
 - Could move forward that way, but still needs reviews/editors.

- Special Names Discussion
- Charter Discussion
- DNS Extensions and Modifications
 - EDNS0 options, Wouters
 - Followup: Worthy options?
 - Cookies, Eastlake
 - Followup: Opinions, Feedback, Worth more work?

New Business

- GetDNS API Release, Wiley
- Passive DNS format, Kaplan
 - draft-dulaunoy-kaplan-passive-dns-cof
 - Wider Interest?
- DNSSEC Validator Requirements, Migault
 - draft-mglt-dnsop-dnssec-validator-requirements

New Business, ctd.

- DNSSEC Roadblock Avoidance, Hardaker
 - draft-hardaker-dnsop-dnssec-roadblock-avoidance
 - Followup:
- Optimizing DNS Authority Server Placement,
 - No Draft, but looking for feedback

Wrap Up

Any other questions, concerns, etc.