

HTTP Digest Access Authentication

Rifaat Shekh-Yusef

IETF 89, HTTPAuth WG, London

March 3, 2014

Overview

- **Draft**
 - **draft-ietf-httpauth-digest-05**
 - **Standards Track**
 - **Obsoletes RFC2617***

- **Changes**
 - **Algorithms Agility**
 - **Internationalization**
 - **Username Protection**

*Together with Basic & Authentication drafts

Algorithms Agility

- **New Algorithms**
 - SHA-256
 - SHA-512/256
- **Explicitly allows multiple Authenticate headers with the same scheme.**
- **New IANA Registry.**

“HTTP Digest Hash Algorithms” Registry

Hash Algorithm	Digest Size	Preference	Reference
MD5	32	1.0	RFC XXXX
SHA-512-256	64	2.0	RFC XXXX
SHA-256	64	3.0	RFC XXXX

Update Policy: Specification Required.

Internationalization

- **charset**
 - A new optional parameter to be used to indicate the encoding used by the server.
- The only allowed value is **UTF-8**.
- The approach is aligned with **Basic**.

Username Protection

- **userhash**
 - A new optional parameter.
 - **Usage:**
 - Server:** indicates it supports username hashing.
 - Client:** indicates that username has been hashed.
 - Valid value are: "**true**" or "**false**".
- **Hashing:**
 - **Server:** $H(\text{username} \mid \text{realm})$
 - **Client:** $H(H(\text{username} \mid \text{realm}) \mid \text{nonce})$

Backward Compatibility

- **RFC2617**
 - $H (H(A1) | \text{nonce} | \text{nc} | \text{cnonce} | \text{qop} | H(A2))$
- **RFC2069**
 - $H (H(A1) | \text{nonce} | H(A2))$
- **Is backward compatibility needed?**
- **Are there many servers that:**
 - Support RFC2069, but not RFC2617?
 - Support RFC2617, but do not send “qop”?

Feedback?

- **IANA Registry**
- **Backward Compatibility**
- **Normalization**