

# Secure Transport for PCEP

## draft-lopez-pce-pceps

IETF89 – London

Diego R. Lopez - Telefónica ([diego@tid.es](mailto:diego@tid.es))

Oscar González de Dios – Telefónica ([ogondio@tid.es](mailto:ogondio@tid.es))

Qin Wu – Huawei ([sunseawq@huawei.com](mailto:sunseawq@huawei.com))

Dhruv Dhody – Huawei ([dhruv.ietf@gmail.com](mailto:dhruv.ietf@gmail.com))

# The Goals

- Secure PCEP exchanges
  - Peer authentication and authorization
  - Data exchange integrity
  - Data exchange confidentiality
- Do not require change to current PCEP internals
- Do not preclude future extensions
- Allow emerging applications

# The Changes

- Focus the document on TLS
  - TCP security options out of scope and discussed in the section on security considerations
  - TLS and TCP-AO deal with orthogonal problem spaces
    - TLS: E2E security with dynamic peer authentication and authorization
    - TCP-AO: Essentially, protection from DoS attacks
- Improve references to
  - Discovery (new draft on IGP extensions for security)
  - TCP security options (KARP drafts on key management)
- Provide a detailed rationale for requesting an additional port
  - We need a special message (a-la-STARTTLS)
  - Or a separate port

# The (still) Open Issues

- Close the discussion on the message/port issue
  - WG consensus on this
  - Possible reluctance by Transport Area and/or IANA
- Analyze DANE applicability
  - Connecting it with DNS discovery
- Align with discovery mechanisms
  - As the I-Ds on them evolve
- Consider the application of KARP I-D on fingerprint update
  - As an additional choice for establishing trust links
  - In addition to PKI and direct configuration
- WG adoption