

# Stateful PCE for P2MP LSP

draft-palle-pce-stateful-pce-p2mp-02

draft-palle-pce-stateful-pce-initiated-p2mp-lsp-01

Udayasree Palle (Huawei)

Dhruv Dhody (Huawei)

Yosuke Tanaka (NTT)

Yuji Kamite (NTT)

# Motivation

## Applicability

- [\[I-D.ietf-pce-stateful-pce-app\]](#) presents several use cases, demonstrating scenarios that benefit from the deployment of a stateful PCE including optimization, recovery, etc.
  - These scenarios apply equally to P2P and P2MP TE LSPs.
- When P2MP TE LSP placement needs to change in response to application demands, it is useful to support dynamic creation and tear down of P2MP TE LSPs via PCE.

## Message Encoding

- For stateless PCE, any modification of P2MP tree requires encoding of all leaves along with the paths in PCReq message.
- Stateful PCE with P2MP capability, convey only the modifications (the other information can be retrieved from the PLSP ID) - *An added advantage!*

# Protocol Extension

## Capability Advertisement

- Stateful PCE Capability TLV [I-D.ietf-pce-stateful-pce]
- P2MP capable TLV [RFC6006]
- When both TLVs are used together - indicates a stateful PCE with P2MP capability.
- *Also via IGP auto discovery*

4th March 2014

## LSP Object

- New Flags "C P2MP (N) and Fragmentation (F) bits
- PLSP-ID identify a (full) P2MP TE LSP uniquely.

## P2MP-LSP-IDENTIFIER TLV

- Identify RSVP signaled P2MP LSP-ID
- IPv4 and IPv6

## S2L (Source to Leaf)

- Report state of one or more leaves encoded within the END-POINTS object.
- O in LSP - operational status of the full P2MP TE LSP & O in S2L - the operational status of a group of leaves encoded within the END-POINTS object.

89th IETF @ London

## No change in operations (from P2P)

LSP state synchronization

LSP delegation

LSP update

# PCEP Message Extension

```
<PCRpt Message> ::= <Common Header>  
                    <state-report-list>
```

Where:

```
<state-report-list> ::= <state-report>  
                        [<state-report-list>]
```

```
<state-report> ::= [<SRP>  
                   <LSP>  
                   <end-point-path-pair-list>  
                   <attribute-list>
```

Where:

```
<end-point-path-pair-list> ::=  
    [<END-POINTS>  
     <S2L>  
     <path>  
     [<end-point-path-pair-list>]
```

```
<path> ::= (<ERO>|<SERO>)  
           [<RRO>]  
           [<path>]
```

<attribute-list> is defined in [\[RFC5440\]](#) and extended by PCEP extensions.

```
<PCUpd Message> ::= <Common Header>  
                    <update-request-list>
```

Where:

```
<update-request-list> ::= <update-request>  
                          [<update-request-list>]
```

```
<update-request> ::= <SRP>  
                    <LSP>  
                    <end-point-path-pair-list>
```

<attribute-list>

Where:

```
<end-point-path-pair-list> ::=  
    [<END-POINTS>  
     <path>  
     [<end-point-path-pair-list>]
```

```
<path> ::= (<ERO>|<SERO>)  
           [<path>]
```

<attribute-list> is defined in [\[RFC5440\]](#) and extended by PCEP extensions.

# Leaf Type & Operational Status

The P2MP END-POINTS object for specifying address of P2MP leaves are grouped based on leaf types.

New leaves to add (leaf type = 1)

Old leaves to remove (leaf type = 2)

Old leaves whose path can be modified/reoptimized (leaf type = 3)

Old leaves whose path must be left unchanged (leaf type = 4)

When reporting the status of a P2MP TE LSP, the destinations are grouped in END-POINTS object based on the operational status (O field in S2L object) and leaf type (in END-POINTS).

This way the leaves that share the same operational status are grouped together!

- For reporting the status of delegated P2MP TE LSP, leaf-type = 3, where as for non-delegated P2MP TE LSP, leaf-type = 4 is used.
- For delegated P2MP TE LSP configuration changes are reported via PCRpt message. For example, adding of new leaves END-POINTS (leaf-type = 1) is used where as removing of old leaves (leaf-type = 2) is used.

# PCE Initiated P2MP LSP

## Capability Advertisement

- Stateful PCE Capability TLV (1 bit)
- P2MP capable TLV
- When used together indicates a stateful PCE with P2MP instantiation capability

## P2MP LSP Instantiation

- P2MP (N bit)
- Create (C bit)
- When used together indicate PCE-Initiated P2MP LSP

## Add/Prune leaves

- PCUpd message with leaf type = 1 for adding of new leaves
- leaf type = 2 for pruning of old leaves

```
<PCInitiate Message> ::= <Common Header>
                           <PCE-initiated-lsp-list>
```

Where:

```
<PCE-initiated-lsp-list> ::= <PCE-initiated-lsp-request>
                               [<PCE-initiated-lsp-list>]
```

```
<PCE-initiated-lsp-request> ::=
(<PCE-initiated-lsp-instantiation>|<PCE-initiated-lsp-deletion>)
```

```
<PCE-initiated-lsp-instantiation> ::= <SRP>
                                        <LSP>
                                        <end-point-path-pair-list>
                                        [<attribute-list>]
```

```
<PCE-initiated-lsp-deletion> ::= <SRP>
                                    <LSP>
```

Where:

```
<end-point-path-pair-list> ::=
                               [<END-POINTS>]
                               <path>
                               [<end-point-path-pair-list>]
```

```
<path> ::= (<ERO>|<SERO>)
            [<path>]
```

No change in operations  
(from P2P)

LSP  
instantiation

LSP deletion

LSP  
delegation  
and cleanup

# Message Fragmentation

P2MP PCRpt, PCUpd and PCIntiate may not fit into a single PCEP message.

The new F-bit is used in the LSP object to signal that it was too large to fit into a single message and will be fragmented into multiple messages.

Each message except the last one, will have the F-bit set in the LSP object to signify it has been fragmented into multiple messages.

Should use the same PLSP-ID and SRP-ID-number for all fragmented message.

# Request to [I-D.ietf-pce-stateful-pce]

## Passive Stateful PCE

- The Request and Response message should support LSP object, so that it is possible to refer to a LSP with a unique identifier and simplify the PCEP message exchange.
- For example, incase of modification of one leaf in a P2MP tree, there should be no need to carry the full P2MP tree in PCRReq message.

## END-POINTS object (optional) in PCRpt

- For P2MP this is needed, adding for P2P as well (?)



Questions  
&  
Comments?

Thanks!