

Network Time Security

draft-ietf-ntp-network-time-security-02

Dr. Dieter Sibold Kristof Teichel Stephen Röttger

IETF 89 (London), March 2-7, 2014





1. Introduction

2. Changes from version 02

3. Next steps



- ▶ IETF 83: Presentation of security issues of RFC 5906 (autokey)
- ▶ IETF 84: Presentation of plan for a new autokey standard
- IETF 85: I-D "draft-sibold-autokey-00"
- IETF 86: I-D "draft-sibold-autokey-02"
- IETF 87: Renaming of I-D and presentation as "draft-ietf-ntp-network-time-security-00"
- IETF 88: Presentation of results from input and further development as "draft-ietf-ntp-network-time-security-01"



Network Time Security shall provide:

- Authenticity of time servers
- Integrity of synchronization data packets
- Conformity with the TICTOC Security Requirements
- Support of NTP (all of its modes)
- Support of PTP as far as possible



- Considered: authorization as well as recursive authentication and authorization
- **Revised:** comparison with TICTOC requirements
- Split section: "Protocol Sequence"
 - "Protocol Messages": list of message types
 - generic description
 - realization for NTP (important: Internet-Draft "Using NTP Extension Fields without Authentication")
 - "Protocol Sequence": behavior description
 - client's behavior given as chronological sequence
 - server's behavior described as reactions to incoming messages
- Added: two appendices
 - list of extensions field types needed for realization of NTS message types in NTP
 - flow diagrams for the client's behavior
- > Altered: negotiation of cryptographic algorithms during association



Comments during last meeting:

 About usage of DANE for certificate exchange: under consideration

Comments from the mailing list:

About usage of asymmetric signatures for broadcast mode: will be considered for future version

External comments:

- Requests regarding a more generic formulation of authentication/certification methods: under consideration
- About details of negotiations:
 - format for negotiation of algorithms
 - wrapping schemes

not yet considered, scheduled for future version



Delay attack:

Scheduled to be addressed in a subsection in Security Considerations

Formal verification of the protocol:

- Inductive Approach
- Model Checking

Review and comments are requested from:

- TICTOC Working Group
- NTP Working Group
- NTP development team