

# TRAM Working Group

IETF 89 - London  
2014-03-05

Chairs :

Gonzalo Camarillo <gonzalo.camarillo@ericsson.com>

Simon Perreault <simon.perreault@viagenie.ca>

# Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- The IETF plenary session
- The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
- Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
- Any IETF working group or portion thereof
- Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
- The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
- The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.

# Logistics

- Note takers
  - <http://etherpad.tools.ietf.org:9000/p/notes-ietf-89-tram>
- Jabber scribe
  - [tram@jabber.ietf.org](mailto:tram@jabber.ietf.org)
- Meeting materials (slides, agenda, etc.)
  - <http://tools.ietf.org/wg/tram/agenda>
- Mailing list
  - [tram@ietf.org](mailto:tram@ietf.org)
  - <https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/tram>

# Agenda

0. T + 00:00: Administrativa
1. T + 00:05: draft-petithuguenin-tram-stun-dtls (Marc Petit-Huguenin)
2. T + 00:15: draft-reddy-behave-turn-auth (Justin Uberti)
3. T + 00:25: draft-johnston-tram-stun-origin (Alan Johnston)
4. T + 00:35: draft-reddy-tram-turn-third-party-authz (Justin Uberti)
5. T + 00:50: draft-patil-tram-turn-serv-disc (Dan Wing)
6. T + 01:00: EOF

If time permits:

7. draft-martinsen-tram-discuss (Pål-Erik Martinsen)
8. draft-thomson-tram-turn-bandwidth (Alan Johnston)

A short introduction to the TRAM...

# DTLS transport for STUN

- STUN defines UDP, TCP, and TLS transports
- TURN inherits them
- TURN can be used for UDP and TCP relaying, but that is orthogonal
- Obvious open gap : DTLS
- Top-priority milestone

# STUN authentication

- Inherited by TURN
- At least two big problems :
  - No third-party authentication mechanism
    - e.g., for a WebRTC app
  - Servers are single-tenant
    - i.e., the value of REALM is static
- More smaller ones...
- Two milestones :
  - a) Problem description
  - b) Problem solution(s)

# TURN server discovery mechanism for ISPs and enterprises

- Current TURN server discovery mechanism :
  1. Provided by the remote end
    - e.g., SIP SRV records, set by WebRTC JavaScript code
  2. Client default
    - e.g., SIP client configuration, WebRTC browser default
- Problem :
  - 1 is not possible for all remote ends
  - 2 often introduces triangle routing
- This WG will deliver a mechanism for clients to discover a network-provided TURN server (e.g., by ISPs or enterprises)



# STUN-bis, TURN-bis

- A number of errata have been collected
- Clarifications
  - Nonce handling
- Ideas for core improvements
  - Dual-stack TURN allocations for reducing the number of sockets a client needs to open
- Lower priority than the previous tasks.