             ACTN Use Case for Multi-domain Data Center Interconnect

                  draft-fang-actn-multidomain-dci-01.txt

Status of this Memo

   This Internet-Draft is submitted to IETF in full conformance with
   the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

   Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering
   Task Force (IETF), its areas, and its working groups. Note that
   other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-
   Drafts.

   Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six
   months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents
   at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as
   reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

   The list of current Internet-Drafts can be accessed at
   http://www.ietf.org/ietf/1id-abstracts.txt

   The list of Internet-Draft Shadow Directories can be accessed at
   http://www.ietf.org/shadow.html.

   This Internet-Draft will expire on March 29, 2015.

Abstract

   This document discusses a use case for data center operators that
   need to interface multi-domain transport networks to offer their
   global data center applications and services. As data center
   operators face multi-domain and diverse transport technology,
   interoperability based on standard-based abstraction is required to
   support dynamic and flexible applications and services.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction

   This document discusses a use case for data center operators that
   need to interface multi-domain transport networks to offer their
   global data center applications and services. As data center
   providers face multi-domain and diverse transport technology,
   interoperability based on standard-based abstraction is required to
   support dynamic and flexible applications and services.

   This use case is a part of the overarching work, called Abstraction
   and Control of Transport Networks (ACTN). The goal of ACTN is to
   facilitate virtual network operation by:

     . The creation of a virtualized environment allowing operators to
       view the abstraction of the underlying multi-admin, multi-
       vendor, multi-technology networks and

. The operation and control/management of these multiple networks
   as a single virtualized network.

This will accelerate rapid service deployment of new services,
including more dynamic and elastic services, and improve overall
network operations and scaling of existing services.

Related documents are the ACTN-framework [ACTN-Frame] and the
problem statement [ACTN-PS].

Multi-domain transport networks herein are referred to physical WAN
infrastructure whose operation may or may not belong to the same
administrative domain as the data center operation. Some data center
operators may wholly own the entire physical WAN infrastructure
while others may own partially or even not at all. In all cases,
data center operation needs to establish multi-domain relationships
with one or more physical network infrastructure operations.

Data center based applications are used to provide a wide variety of
services such as video gaming, cloud storage and computing, grid
application, data base tools, and mobile applications, and others.
High-bandwidth video applications such as remote medical surgery,
video streaming for live concerts and sporting events are also
emerging. This document is mainly concerned with data center
applications that in aggregate or individually make substantial
bandwidth demands that traverse multi-domain transport networks,
some of which may belong to different administrative domains. In
addition, these applications may require specific bounds on QoS
related parameters such as guaranteed bandwidth, latency and jitter
and others.

The organization of this document is as follows: Section 2 will
discuss multi-domain Data Center interconnection and its various
application scenarios. Section 3 will discuss the issues and
challenges for Multi-domain Data Center Interconnection Operations
Architecture. Section 4 will provide high-level requirements.

2. Multi-domain Data Center Interconnection Applications

2.1. VM Migration

A key enabler for data center cost savings, consolidation,
flexibility and application scalability has been the technology of
compute virtualization or Virtual Machines (VMs). A VM to the
software application looks like a dedicated processor with dedicated
memory and dedicated operating system. In modern data centers or
"computing clouds", the smallest unit of computing resource is the
VM. In public data centers one can buy computing capacity in terms
of VMs for a particular amount of time. Though different VM

configurations may be offered that are optimized for different types of processing (e.g., memory intensive, throughput intensive).

VMs offer not only a unit of compute power but also as an "application environment" that can be replicated, backed up and moved. Although VM migration started in the LAN, the need for inter-DC VM migration for workload burst/overflow management on the WAN has been a real need for Data Center Operators.

Virtual machine migration has a variety of modes: (i) scheduled vs. dynamic; (ii) bulk vs. sequential; (iii) point-to-point vs. point-to-multi-point. Transport network capability can impact virtual machine migration strategy. For certain mission critical applications, dynamic bandwidth guarantee as well as performance guarantee must be provided by the network. Make-before-break capability is also critical to support seamless migration.

2.2. Global Load Balancing

As the many data center applications are distributed geographically across many data centers and over multi-domain networks, load balancing is no longer a local decision. As such, the decision as to selecting a server for an application request from the users or selecting data centers for migrating or instantiating VMs needs to be done globally. This refers to global load balancing.

There are many factors that can negatively affect the quality of experience (QoE) for the application. Among them are: the utilization of the servers, the underlying network loading conditions within a data center (LAN), the underlying network loading conditions between data centers (MAN/WAN), the underlying network conditions between the end-user and data center (Access Network). To allow data center operators to facilitate global load balancing over heterogeneous multi-domain transports from access networks to metro/core transport networks, on-line network resource information needs to be abstracted and represented from each involving network domain.

2.3. Disaster Recovery

For certain applications, disaster recovery in real-time is required. This requires transport of extremely large amount of data from various data center locations to other locations and a quick feedback mechanism between data center operator and infrastructure network providers to facilitate the complexity associated with real-time disaster recovery.

As this operation requires real-time concurrent connections with a large amount of bandwidth, a strict guarantee of bandwidth and a

very low latency between a set of data centers, the underlying
physical network infrastructure is required to support these network
capability. Moreover, as the data center operator interfaces
multiple network infrastructure providers, standard-based interfaces
and a common ways to abstract network resources and connections are
necessary to facilitate its operations.

2.4. On-demand Virtual Connection/Circuit Services

   Related to the real-time operations discussed in other applications
   in the previous sections, many applications require on-demand
   virtual connection/circuit services with an assured quality of
   service across multiple domain transport networks.

   The on-demand aspect of this service applies not only in setting up
   the initial virtual connections/circuits but also in increasing
   bandwidth, changing the QoS/SLA, adding a new protection scheme to
   an existing service.

   The on-demand network query to estimate available SLA/QoS (e.g., BW
   availability, latency range, etc.) between a few data center
   locations is also part of this application.

3. Issues and Challenges for Multi-domain Data Center Interconnection
   Operations

   This section discusses operational issues and challenges for multi-
   domain data center interconnection. Figure 1 shows a typical multi-
   domain data center interconnection operations architecture.

```
                              -----
                           ///-      -\\\
              +-----+    //              \\
              |DC 3 |--                    |   +-----+
              +-----+ |     Domain 2       |--|DC 4 |
                      |                    |   +-----+
   +-----+            \\                  //
   |DC 1 |             \/\-       -//\
   +-----+             /    -----     \         +-----+
      |               /       |        \        |DC 5 |
      |              /        |         \        +-----+
      |     -----   /         |          -----     |
      |///-      -\\          |       ///-      -\\\|
     //            \\         |      //              \\
      |             |         |       |               |
      |  Domain 1   |         |       |   Domain 3    |
      |             |         |       |               |
      \\           //         |       \\             //
      |\\-      -//\          |        \\/-      -/// |
      |    -----    \         |        /    -----     |
      |             \         |       /               |
      |              \     -----     /         +-----+
   +-----+            \ ///-      -\\\ /        |DC 6 |
   |DC 2 |             \/              /\       +-----+
   +-----+             |                |
                       |  Domain 4      |
                       |                |
                       \\              //
                        \\\-      -///
                           -----
```

         Figure 1. Multi-domain Data Center Interconnect Operations
                                Architecture

   Figure 1 shows several characteristics pertaining to current multi-
   domain data center operations.

   1. Data centers are geographically spread and homed on possibly a
      number of mutually independent physical network infrastructure
      provider domains.

   2. Between the data center operator domain and each of mutually
      independent physical network provider domains must establish
      trusted relationships amongst the involved entities. In some cases
      where data center operator owns the whole or partial physical

network infrastructure domains, a trusted relationship is still
required between the data center operation and the network
operations due to organizational boundaries although it is less
strict than a pure multi-domain case.

3. Data center operator may lease facility from physical network
   infrastructure providers for intra-domain connectivity or own the
   facility. For instance, there may be an intra-domain leased
   facility for connectivity between DC 1 to DC 2. It is also
   possible that the data center provider may own this intra-domain
   facility such as dark fibers for connectivity between DC 1 and DC
   2.

4. There may be need for connectivity that may traverse multi-domain
   networks. For instance, Data Center 1 may have VMs that need to be
   transported to Data Center 6. Typically, multi-domain connectivity
   is arranged statically such that the routes are pre-negotiated
   with the involved operators. For instance, if Data Center 1 were
   to send its VMs to Data Center 6, the route may take on Domain 1 -
   Domain 4 - Domain 3 based on a pre-negotiated agreement prior to
   connectivity request. In such case, the inter-domain facilities
   between Domains 1 & 4 Domains 4 & 3 are a part of this pre-
   negotiated agreement. There could be alternative route choices.
   Whether there may be alternate routing or not is subject to
   policy. Alternate routing may be static or dynamic depending on
   policy.

5. These transport network domains may be diverse in terms of local
   policy, transport technology and its capability and vendor
   equipment. Due to this diversity, new service introduction,
   requiring connections that traverse multiple domains, need
   significant planning, and several manual operations to interface
   different vendor equipment and technology. New applications
   requiring dynamic and elastic services and real-time mobility may
   be hampered by these manual operational factors.

4. Control Hierarchy

   This section provides a control hierarchy for multi-domain DC
   operations.

   Figure 2 shows a control hierarchy for multi-domain Data Center
   Interconnection operation.

```
                    +----------------+
                    |  Global DCI    |
                    |  Operation     |
                    |  Control       |
                    |                |
                    |                |
                    +--------+-------+
                             |
                             |
                             |
                             |
                    +--------+-------+
                    |                |
                    |                |
                    |    E2E         |
                    | Network Control|
                    |                |
                    +----+---+---+---+
                         |   |   |
                         |   |   |
        +-------------+   |   +--------------+
        |             |   |                  |
        |             |   |                  |
   +------+-----+  +-----+------+      +------+-----+
   | Control for|  | Control for|      | Control for|
   | Transport  |  | Transport  |      | Transport  |
   | Network A  |  | Network B  |      | network C  |
   |            |  |            |      |            |
   +-----------+  +-----------+       +-----------+
 +---+    ------          ------          ------     +---+
 |DC1|--////    \\\\    ////    \\\\    ////    \\\\---+DC4|
 +---+ |     |   |  |   |     |   |  |   |     |   |   +---+
    |     TN A  +---+  TN B  +--+  TN C  |
    | /       |  |  |       |  |  |       |
   / \\\\     ////  / \\\\     ////  \\\\     ////
 +---+/  ------       /  ------     \  ------  \
 |DC2|              /              \          \\+---+
 +---+            /                 \          \DC6|
                 /                   \ +---+    +---+
              +/--+               \ |DC4|
              |DC3|                \+---+
              +---+                 +---+
```

   There are a number of important considerations to support a global
   multi-domain data center interconnection operation.

   1. Need a hierarchical operation/control.

   2. Build on top of existing network control technologies/domains
      to be able to E2E network control to help global DCI
      operation/control.

   3. Need standard-based abstraction/APIs and protocols between E2E
      network control and global DCI operation control and between
      E2E network control and domain transport network controls.

5. Requirements

   This section provides high-level requirements to fulfill multi-
   domain data center interconnection to support various applications
   discussed in the previous sections.

   1. The interfaces between the Data Center Operation and each
      transport network domain SHOULD support standards-based
      abstraction with a common information/data model.

   2. The Data Center Operation should be able to create a single
      virtual network view.

   3. The following capability should be supported:

      a. Network Query (Pull Model) from the Data Center Operation to
         each transport network domain to collect potential resource
         availability (e.g., BW availability, latency range, etc.)
         between a few data center locations.

          i. The level of abstracted topology (e.g., tunnel-level,
             graph-form, etc.)

      b. Network Path Computation Request from the Data Center
         Operation to each transport network domain to estimate the
         path availability.

      c. Network Virtual Connections/Circuits Request from the Data
         Center Operation to each transport domain to establish an
         end-to-end virtual connections/circuits.

          i. The type of the connection: P2P, P2MP, etc.

         ii. Concurrency of the request (this indicates if the
             connections must be simultaneously available or not in
             case of multiple connection requests).

        iii. The duration of the connections

        iv. SLA/QoS parameters: minimum guaranteed bandwidth,
            latency range, etc.

        v. Protection/Reroute Options (e.g., SRLG requirement,
            etc.)

        vi. Policy Constraints (e.g., peering preferences, etc.)

    d. Network Virtual Connections/Circuits Modification Request
      from the Data Center Operation to each transport domain to
      change QoS/SLA, protection schemes of the existing
      connections/circuits.

    e. Network Abnormality Report (Push Model) from each transport
      domain to the Data Center Operation indicating the service
      impacting network conditions or the potential degradation
      indications of the existing virtual connections/circuits.

6. References

[ACTN-Frame] D. Ceccarelli, L. Fang, Y. Lee and D. Lopez, "Framework
        for Abstraction and Control of Transport Networks," draft-
        ceccarelli-actn-framework, work in progress.

[ACTN-PS] Y. Lee, D. King, M. Boucadair, R. Jing and L. Murillo,
        "Problem Statement for the Abstraction and Control of
        Transport Networks," draft-leeking-actn-problem-statement,
        work in progress.

7. Authors' Addresses

    Luyuan Fang
    Microsoft
    Email : lufang@microsoft.com

Intellectual Property Statement

    The IETF Trust takes no position regarding the validity or scope of
    any Intellectual Property Rights or other rights that might be
    claimed to pertain to the implementation or use of the technology
    described in any IETF Document or the extent to which any license
    under such rights might or might not be available; nor does it
    represent that it has made any independent effort to identify any
    such rights.

    Copies of Intellectual Property disclosures made to the IETF
    Secretariat and any assurances of licenses to be made available, or
    the result of an attempt made to obtain a general license or
    permission for the use of such proprietary rights by implementers or
    users of this specification can be obtained from the IETF on-line
    IPR repository at http://www.ietf.org/ipr

    The IETF invites any interested party to bring to its attention any
    copyrights, patents or patent applications, or other proprietary
    rights that may cover technology that may be required to implement
    any standard or specification contained in an IETF Document. Please
    address the information to the IETF at ietf-ipr@ietf.org.

Disclaimer of Validity

    All IETF Documents and the information contained therein are
    provided on an "AS IS" basis and THE CONTRIBUTOR, THE ORGANIZATION
    HE/SHE REPRESENTS OR IS SPONSORED BY (IF ANY), THE INTERNET SOCIETY,
    THE IETF TRUST AND THE INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE DISCLAIM ALL
    WARRANTIES, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO ANY
    WARRANTY THAT THE USE OF THE INFORMATION THEREIN WILL NOT INFRINGE
    ANY RIGHTS OR ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS
    FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.