

# YANG Patch Issues

draft-bierman-netconf-yang-patch-01  
NETCONF WG  
IETF #90 Toronto, CA

Andy Bierman <[andy@yumaworks.com](mailto:andy@yumaworks.com)>  
Martin Björklund <[mbj@tail-f.com](mailto:mbj@tail-f.com)>  
Kent Watsen <[kwatsen@juniper.net](mailto:kwatsen@juniper.net)>  
Rex Fernando <[rex@cisco.com](mailto:rex@cisco.com)>

v0.3

# Agenda

- Quick Summary of 00 to 01 Changes
- Discuss and resolve open issues

# YANG Patch Resolved Issues

- P1. Is PATCH well-supported? (Yes)
- P2: Identification of YANG Patch capabilities (RFC 5789)
- P3: Protocol Independence
  - location leaf (removed)
  - RESTCONF Coupling (not an issue)
- P4: Optional Operations (not an issue)
- P5: global-errors needed? (not an issue)
- P6: Bulk Editing Support (not an issue)

# P1. Should YANG Patch be mandatory?

- Multiple complex edits cannot be done at the same time without YANG Patch
- Without it:
  - Configuration creation order dependencies can exist in the server that the client must observe
- Does RESTCONF need this feature or should it remain optional to implement?