SIPCORE

Toronto, Canada Monday, July 21, 2014

Note Well

- Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:
 - the IETF plenary session,
 - any IETF working group or portion thereof,
 - the IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
 - the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
 - any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
 - the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function
- All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).
- Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details. Please consult RFC 3978 (and RFC 4748) for details.
- A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.
- A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio be made and may be
 available to the public.

Administrative Tasks

- Note Well
- Blue Sheets
- Note Takers
- Backup Note Taker
- Jabber Scribe

SIPCORE Agenda Monday, July 21, 2014; 1300 – 1500

Time	Length	Presenter	Торіс
1300 - 1500	0:15	Chairs	Agenda, Status, and Summary (draft-ietf-sipcore-dns-dual-stack)
	0:20	Robert Sparks	Clarifications on use of REFER draft-sparks-sipcore-refer-clarifications
	0:20	Robert Sparks	Explicit Subscriptions for REFER draft-sparks-sipcore-refer-explicit-subscription
	0:30	Rifaat Shekh-Yusef	Using OAuth for SIP Authorization draft-yusef-sipcore-sip-oauth (draft-yusef-sipcore-digest-scheme)
	0:30	Chairs	SIP TLS Authentication using DANE draft-johansson-dispatch-dane-sip

SIPCORE Document Status

- Last met at IETF89, London
- RFCs published:

```
RFC7131 SIP History-Info Header Call Flow Examples draft-ietf-sipcore-rfc4244bis-callflows

Management Policy of the Resource Priority Header Registry
```

RFC7134 Changed to "IETF Review" (draft-rosen-rph-reg-policy)

SIPCORE Milestone Status

Date	Description		
Jun-14	Request publication of Happy Eyeballs procedures for handling of SIP URIs draft-johansson-sip-dual-stack-02		

SIP DANE - History

- draft-johansson-dispatch-dane-sip dispatched to sipcore
 - Discussed in London
 - Appeared to be interest in the subject
 - Seemed to be substantial differences of opinion about the document
 - Action item for Olle to send supporting use cases to the list
 - Olle disagreed that use cases needed

SIP Dane – Recent Action

- Substantial burst of discussion on list
 - 28 messages in 3 days
- Many claims, not all agreed to. Some highlights:
 - RFC5922 implies use of a CA cert installed on every phone
 - Change of domain in Record-Route makes 5922 hard
 - DANE can be used without a CA
 - Certs following the DNS delegation are easier to deploy
 - DANE doesn't require changing your cert when adding support for additional domains
 - Need to phase in use of DANE
 - Getting implementation/deployment will be hard chicken/egg problem. How can we manage that?

SIP DANE – Next Steps

- This can't just be Olle!
- Can we form a team to work on it?
- Identify benefits of DANE over 5922
- Identify issues with using DANE in SIP
 - Including coexistence, deployment, migration
- Eventually write the actual SIP over DANE draft.
- Who is interested in contributing to this?