

STRAW Taxonomies vs. RTP Topologies

Open Discussion

July 25th, 2014

STRAW Meeting Session, IETF 90, Toronto

STRAW Taxonomies vs. RTP Topologies

Discussion started in London when presenting the RTCP draft

- ▶ Draft documented guidelines addressing STRAW Taxonomies
 - ▶ Signaling/Media Plane B2BUA Roles [[RFC7092](#)]
- ▶ Several comments on why not RTP Topologies
 - ▶ Old and new doc [[RFC5117](#)] [[I-D.ietf-avtcore-rtp-topologies-update](#)]
 - ▶ Comments on ML and lengthy (unfinished) discussion in London

Probably not a (strictly) RTCP draft related issue?

- ▶ This is STRAW, so STRAW Taxonomy is addressed

The Big Question(s)

Still an open issue

- ▶ Should STRAW drafts address STRAW Taxonomy or RTP Topologies? Both?
 - ▶ What about RTP Taxonomy? [[I-D.ietf-avtext-rtp-grouping-taxonomy](#)]
- ▶ Is the STRAW Taxonomy actually incompatible with RTP Topologies, and if so (how) should any be updated?
 - ▶ No or few correlation between the two?
 - ▶ Any topology STRAW addressed that RTP Topologies missed?
- ▶ Is this a STRAW problem at all in the first place, or a more generic issue?