



ERICSSON

BUM OVER BIER

IETF '91



T. Przygienda

(based on heavy jousting with Ice W. ;-)



EVPN (OR ANY OTHER BUM) OVER BIER



- › BIER is excellent candidate to optimize any kind of service that needs BUM ‘channel’, e.g. eVPN
 - Cheap broadcast
 - Good Multicast on same domain

Why Beer Is The Answer:
Because surveys show that 100%
of people that drink water die.

SERVICE-SPECIFIC BIER DOMAINS



› Requirements:

- Service specific BIER “domains” or “sub-domains” are needed:
 - › Service specific BUM channel MUST be able to be taken down/up without affecting other services
 - › Service specific BUM channel MUST be separable via queuing policies to prevent BUM of one service taking down BUM of another service or offer ‘gold’ services
 - › Service must autoconfigure onto its service specific BUM channel
 - › Maybe we can even do optionally ‘source-specific’ domains
- Multi-Topology is heavy (all hellos), small (200), meant for other uses (restricting topology of the physical substrate)

HOW IT COULD WORK ?



› Today:

- MT + MASKLENGTH = Primary Domain Key
 - › Label range per node

› Tomorrow:

- MT + MASKLENGTH + SERVICE-ID + (optional) SOURCE-ID
 - › Label range per node

› Well-known service-IDs

- 0: MVPN
- 1: eVPN
- 2: apply here

PROBLEMS ?



- › Additional IGP flooding or other signaling
- › More labels
- › Is BFR-id per domain or ‘service-specific domain’



Houston, We Have A Problem



ERICSSON