### **Private DNS**

Phill Hallam-Baker

# Design Origin

#### DNSSEC

- Limited to authenticity of authoritative DNS
- Requires a clean port 53 for client/resolver

#### DNSCurve

- Transport layer security to Authoritative DNS
- Public key in the client/server transaction loop
  - DDoS potential
- Assumes non-DNS syntax works on port 53

#### Port 53 Interference

#### Stupidity

- Limit DNS packets to 500/512 bytes
- Disable TCP fallback
- Strip out unknown RRs

#### Malice

- Redirect all DNS traffic to own service
- Strip out 'undesirable' RRs

### Observation

Privacy requirements assume malice.

# Objectives

- 100% Connectivity
- Performance equal or better than existing
- Stateless transactions with no public key
- Bypass interference
- Eliminate amplification and relay attacks
- Low footprint, complexity
- Enable curated DNS
- (Confidentiality)

# Approach

- RP (User or Enterprise) chooses service
  - Binds each device to their chosen service
  - Devices only use the nominated service\*

\* Except for bootstrap situations, e.g. WiFi

### **Protocol Architecture**

- Key Agreement / Device Binding
  - Negotiate crypto parameters
  - Agree shared secret and session ID
  - Specify IP addresses, services for Hosts

- Service Transports
  - UDP works for 97% of cases
  - Web Service guarantees connectivity

## **Enterprise User**

- Alice is new hire at Example corp
  - Wants to access corporate net from his mobile
  - IT gives him
    - Connection service domain name [example.com]
    - One time passcode [wej2i-h23io-d209d-jeiqi]
  - Alice enters above into device
  - Device negotiates connection:
    - IP addresses, session keys, protocols of Alice's hosts
    - Session Identifier (opaque)

### Casual User

- Bobis somewhat privacy conscious
  - Enters public DNS provider [ pdns.comodo.com ]
- Provider does not require authentication
  - But can link all Bob's traffic by session ID

## **Privacy Sensitive User**

- Carol is very privacy conscious
  - Does not want public provider to track her
  - Enters multiple public DNS providers
  - Checks the 'renegotiate' option in device

- Device pre-negotiates multiple sessions
  - Caches pre-negotiated sessions for future use.
  - Provides privacy but loses other protections

### Connection Service Example Response

```
HTTP/1.1 OK Success
Content-Length: 578
Date: Fri, 09 May 2014 20:58:44 GMT
Server: Microsoft-HTTPAPI/2.0
  "TicketResponse": {
   "Status": 200,
   "StatusDescription": "Success",
   "Cryptographic": [],
   "Service": [{
     "Service": "private-dns-resolver",
     "Name": "localhost",
     "Port": 9090,
     "Priority": 100,
     "Weight": 100,
     "Transport": "UDP",
     "Cryptographic": {
      "Secret": "
SwVyt3p tkMeneeYtqnw5g",
      "Encryption": "A128CBC",
      "Authentication": "HS256T128",
      "Ticket": "
bH0q4n8XQOWbjStHsVCzAzS3fbkV2mbx-HUC8Bxw7r31HXcXRvPp4xWORxSo98N4
M6uklYZEEC5OvlYBQ0kETabpBz7-dYo7nYCD6yCFlvE"}}]}}
```

## Securing the Connection

#### Current

- Just relies on TLS transport
- Requires a TLS stack for device binding
  - But not necessarily on the target device

#### Future

- Add ephemeral DH for additional security
- Lightweight version for constrained devices

# Why not DTLS

- Too much complexity
  - DTLS has all the features of TLS plus extras
  - There is no escape from the complexity
  - DNS should be a minimal service

- Missing required features
  - User registration process
  - Device binding mechanism.

### **UDP** Request

```
struct {
   TransactionID transactionID;
   SecurityContextID securityContextID;
   opaque
encryptedPayload<1..65535>;
                 authenticationCode<1..255>;
   opaque
   } Request;
```

### **UDP** Response

```
struct {
   TransactionID
                    transactionID;
                index;
   uint8
   uint8
                maxIndex;
                clearResponse;
   uint16
                encryptedPayloadSegment<0..65535>;
   opaque
                authenticationCode<1..255>;
   opaque
   } Response;
```

### Related Work

- SXS-Confirm
  - JSON based 2<sup>nd</sup> factor protocol
    - 'New Printer X wants to join your network Accept/Reject'
- Omnibroker
  - JSON based Meta-discovery protocol
    - 'Tell X how to connect to Y using protocol Z'
- Omnipublish
  - JSON based provisioning protocol
    - 'I offer service P on address Q using credential R'
    - 'Give me credential R to offer service P on address Q'