

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: September 9, 2015

J. Peterson
T. McGarry
NeuStar, Inc.
March 8, 2015

Modern Problem Statement and Framework
draft-peterson-modern-problems-00.txt

Abstract

The functions of the public switched telephone network (PSTN) are gradually migrating to the Internet. This is generating new requirements for many mechanisms used by the PSTN, including telephone numbers (TNs). TNs no longer serve simply as telephone routing addresses, they are now identifiers which may be used by Internet-based services for a variety of purposes including session establishment, identity verification and service enablement. This problem statement examines how the existing tools for allocating and managing telephone numbers do not align with the needs of the Internet environment and proposes a framework for Internet-based services relying on TNs.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on September 9, 2015.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2015 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of

publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Problem Statement	2
2. Actors	4
3. Framework	4
4. Use Cases	5
4.1. CSP Acquires Numbers from Authority	5
4.2. User Acquires Numbers from Authority	5
4.3. Accessing Numbering Data	6
4.3.1. Privileged Access for Government Entities	6
4.4. Service Management for Numbers	6
5. Acknowledgments	6
6. IANA Considerations	6
7. Security Considerations	6
8. Informative References	6
Authors' Addresses	7

1. Problem Statement

The challenges of utilizing telephone numbers (TNs) on the Internet has been known for some time. Internet telephony provided the main use case for routing telephone numbers on the Internet in a manner similar to how calls are routed in the public switched telephone network (PSTN). As the Internet had no service for discovering the endpoints associated with telephone numbers, ENUM [3] created a DNS-based mechanism for resolving TNs in an IP environment by defining procedures for translating TNs into URIs for use by protocols such as SIP [2]. Originally, it was envisioned that ENUM would be deployed as a global hierarchical service, though in practice it has only been deployed piecemeal by various parties. The DRINKS [4] framework showed ways that authorities might provision information about telephone numbers at an ENUM service or similar Internet-based directory. These technologies have generally tried to preserve the features and architecture familiar from the PSTN numbering environment.

Telephone numbering, however, has long been transitioning away from a provider-centric model towards a user-centric model. Number portability has been implemented in many countries, and the right of a user to choose and change their service provider while retaining their TN is widely acknowledged now. However, TN administration

processes rooted in PSTN technology and policies dictate that this be an exception process fraught with problems and delays. Thanks to the increasing sophistication of consumer mobile devices, users now associate telephone numbers with many applications other than telephony. Ideally the user would have full control of their TN and would drive the porting process on their own rather than rely on complex and time consuming back office processes among multiple service providers.

Most TNS today are assigned to specific geographies, at both an international level and within national numbering plans. This has shaped the way that service providers interconnect, as well as how telephone numbers are routed and administered: the PSTN was carefully designed to delegate switching intelligence geographically. In interexchange carrier routing in North America, for example, calls to a particular TN are often handed off to the terminating service provider close to the geography where that TN is assigned. But the overwhelming success of mobile telephones has increasingly eroded the connection between numbers and regions. Furthermore, the topology of IP networks is not anchored to geography in the same way that the telephone network is. In an Internet environment, establishing a network architecture for routing telephone numbers would depend little on geography.

While some properties of ENUM have been successfully deployed, others have not. Most notably, ENUM is mostly used as an internal network function, and is hardly used between service provider networks. The original ENUM concept of a single root, `e164.arpa`, proved to be politically challenging, and less centralized models have thus flourished. The industry also came to realize that there were limitations in the DNS protocol and it may not be a good fit for a communications protocol that would need more security, richer datasets and more complex query and response capabilities. The TerQ proposal [12], a framework and information model for "telephone-related queries," proposes a reconsideration of telephone routing and administrative services by focusing on what data needs to be shared rather than limiting the data to fit within the particular protocols chosen to carry it.

With the PSTN well on its way to becoming an all IP network and TNS showing no signs of sunsetting as a resource, it is time to address the issues of routing, management and administration of TNS in an IP environment. This document will create a common understanding of the problem statement related to TNS in an IP environment and help develop a vision for how to create IP-based mechanisms for TNS. It will be important to acknowledge that there are various international and national policies and processes related to TNS, and any solutions needs to be flexible enough to account for these variations.

2. Actors

The following actors are defined in this document:

Numbering Authority: An entity that manages an inventory of allocated and unallocated telephone numbers. This may be a root authority, such as a national regulator, or any delegate of the root authority that dispenses numbers to other parties.

Communication Service Provider: A provider of communications services to users, where those services can be identified by telephone numbers. This includes both traditional telephone carriers and service providers with no presence on the PSTN who use telephone numbers. It also encompasses users who operate services on their own behalf.

User: An operator of communications endpoints, either as an individual or an organization; usually a customer of a communication service provider who uses telephone numbers to reach and identify services.

Government Entity: An entity that, due to legal powers resulting from the root of number authority, has privileged access to information about number allocation.

3. Framework

The framework outlined in this document requires three Internet-based mechanisms for managing and resolving telephone numbers (TNs) in an IP environment. These mechanisms will likely reuse existing protocols for sharing structured data; it is unlikely that new protocol development work will be required, though new information models specific to the data itself will be a major focus of framework development. Likely candidates for reuse here include work done in DRINKS and WEIRDS, as well as the TeRQ framework.

These protocol mechanisms are scoped in a way that makes them likely to apply to a broad range of future policies for number administration. It is not the purpose of this framework to dictate number policy, but instead to provide tools that will work with policies as they evolve going forward. These mechanisms therefore do not assume that number administration is centralized, nor that number "ownership" is restricted to any privileged service providers, though these tools must and will work in environments with those properties.

The three mechanisms are:

Acquisition: a protocol mechanism to enable users or CSPs to acquire TNs from authorities, including an enrollment process for the individuals and entities that manage TNs.

Management: a protocol mechanism for users to associate data with TNs at a CSP.

Retrieval: a protocol mechanism for service providers, users, and government entities to retrieve data about TNs from either an authority or a CSP.

The acquisition mechanism will enable actors to acquire telephone numbers for use with a communications service. The acquisition mechanism will provide a means for either a user or a CSP to request numbering resources from an authority, either on a number-by-number basis, or as inventory blocks. The authority who grants numbering resources to a user will retain metadata about the assignment, including the responsible organization or individual to whom numbers have been assigned. In the DNS environment, an authority thus might be analogous to either a registrar or a reseller of names, though obvious hierarchical domain names do not have a comparable inventory situation to telephone numbers.

The management mechanism will let actors provision data associated with telephone numbers at CSPs. If a user owns a telephone number, they may select a CSP to provide particular service associated with the number, or a CSP may own a number, and effectively rent these to users. In either case, a user needs a mechanism for provision data associated with the number at a CSP.

The resolution mechanism will enable actors to learn information about telephone numbers, typically by sending a request to a CSP. For some information, an actor may need to send a request to a numbering authority rather than a CSP. Different parties may be authorized to receive different information about telephone numbers.

4. Use Cases

4.1. CSP Acquires Numbers from Authority

TBD.

4.2. User Acquires Numbers from Authority

TBD.

4.3. Accessing Numbering Data

TBD.

4.3.1. Privileged Access for Government Entities

TBD.

4.4. Service Management for Numbers

TBD.

5. Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Henning Schulzrinne for his contributions to this problem statement and framework.

6. IANA Considerations

This memo includes no request to IANA.

7. Security Considerations

TBD.

8. Informative References

- [1] Peterson, J. and C. Jennings, "Enhancements for Authenticated Identity Management in the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", RFC 4474, August 2006.
- [2] Rosenberg, J., Schulzrinne, H., Camarillo, G., Johnston, A., Peterson, J., Sparks, R., Handley, M., and E. Schooler, "SIP: Session Initiation Protocol", RFC 3261, June 2002.
- [3] Bradner, S., Conroy, L., and K. Fujiwara, "The E.164 to Uniform Resource Identifiers (URI) Dynamic Delegation Discovery System (DDDS) Application (ENUM)", RFC 6116, March 2011.
- [4] Channabasappa, S., "Data for Reachability of Inter-/Intra-Network SIP (DRINKS) Use Cases and Protocol Requirements", RFC 6461, January 2012.
- [5] Watson, M., "Short Term Requirements for Network Asserted Identity", RFC 3324, November 2002.

- [6] Jennings, C., Peterson, J., and M. Watson, "Private Extensions to the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) for Asserted Identity within Trusted Networks", RFC 3325, November 2002.
- [7] Hoffman, P. and J. Schlyter, "The DNS-Based Authentication of Named Entities (DANE) Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol: TLSA", RFC 6698, August 2012.
- [8] Elwell, J., "Connected Identity in the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP)", RFC 4916, June 2007.
- [9] Schulzrinne, H., "The tel URI for Telephone Numbers", RFC 3966, December 2004.
- [10] Rosenberg, J. and C. Jennings, "The Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) and Spam", RFC 5039, January 2008.
- [11] Peterson, J., Jennings, C., and R. Sparks, "Change Process for the Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) and the Real-time Applications and Infrastructure Area", BCP 67, RFC 5727, March 2010.
- [12] Peterson, J., "A Framework and Information Model for Queries about Telephone-Related Queries (TeRQ)", draft-peterson-terq-03 (work in progress), February 2013.
- [13] Barnes, M., Jennings, C., Rosenberg, J., and M. Petit-Huguenin, "Verification Involving PSTN Reachability: Requirements and Architecture Overview", draft-jennings-vipr-overview-06 (work in progress), December 2013.
- [14] Rosenberg, J. and H. Schulzrinne, "Session Initiation Protocol (SIP): Locating SIP Servers", RFC 3263, June 2002.

Authors' Addresses

Jon Peterson
Neustar, Inc.
1800 Sutter St Suite 570
Concord, CA 94520
US

Email: jon.peterson@neustar.biz

Tom McGarry
Neustar, Inc.
1800 Sutter St Suite 570
Concord, CA 94520
US

Email: jon.peterson@neustar.biz