IETF DMM WG Mobility Exposure and Selection WT Report

Alper Yegin, on behalf of the WT IETF 92

Conference Call #3

- Feb 10, 2015
- Attendees: Danny, Marco, Byoung-Jo "J", Jouni, Seil, Sergio, Alper
- Agenda:
 - Discuss item #1 (DMM API) proposals
 - draft-yegin-dmm-ondemand-mobility
 - draft-liu-dmm-mobility-api
- Notes:

http://yegin.org/NGmobility/DMM WG Exposure Selection WT-Call3.pptx

Item#1

- RFC 5014: IPv6 Socket API for Source Address Selection
- RFC already defined 2 relevant flags:
 - IPV6 PREFER SRC HOME
 - IPV6_PREFER_SRC_COA
- Not sufficient, as we need to distinguish among 3 different types
 - Fixed IP Address
 - Sustained IP Address
 - Nomadic IP Address
- Also, solution must trigger IP address allocation attempt if the requested type IP address is not already configured:
 - Item#3: "Describe how a required type of IP address is dynamically configured, when one is not already available on the MN"
- Need extensions to RFC 5014

yegin-dmm-ondemand-mobility

- Works with RFC 5014 framework
- New IPV6_ADDR_PREFERENCES flags
 - IPV6 REQ FIXED IP
 - IPV6_REQ_SUSTAINED_IP
 - IPV6_REQ_NOMADIC_IP
- If the requested type IP address is not already configured, then the IP stack attempts to dynamically configure one

liu-dmm-mobility-api

- New extensions of RFC 5014
- IPV6_PREFER_SRC_LOCAL HNP:
 - Prefer to use locally allocated home network prefix.
- ??

- IPV6_PREFER_SRC_REMOTE_HNP:
 - Prefer to use home network prefix that allocated by other access router instead of the one that the MN currently attach.

The local home prefix may be preferred by applications which are likely to discontinue operations before the device travels to distant networks. On the other hand, a remote home prefix may be more suitable for continued operation over wide areas, but at potentially increased cost for mobility management.

WT Proposal

- Adopt draft-yegin-dmm-ondemand-mobility as the baseline for DMM API
- Add a section on backward compatibility
 - Already done in draft-yegin-dmm-ondemandmobility-03
 - Legacy apps get legacy treatment on new stack/ network
 - New apps fall back to legacy treatment on legacy stack and/or network

Conference Call #4

- Feb 24, 2015
- Attendees: Danny, Sri, Byoung-Jo "J", Jouni, Seil, Sergio, John K., Alper
- Agenda:
 - Discuss principles for item#2/3 (IP configugration protocol extensions for DMM)
- Notes:

http://yegin.org/NGmobility/DMM_WG_Exposure_Selection_WT-Call4.pptx

Principles

- Network needs to convey an additional attribute (mobility type) for each IP address or prefix it conveys to the hosts
- Hosts can explicitly request specific type of IP address/prefix from the network
- IP address configuration techniques
 - DHCPv6
 - SLAAC
 - Manual: No protocol work
 - Mobile IPv6
 - IKFv2
 - PPP: Not needed (until someone requests it)
 - NDP: No implication
- It's very desirable to have alignment on information elements (attribute definitions) across various configuration protocols. I.e., re-use as much as possible.
- Next steps:
 - Producing one I-D for each of the above techniques, defining extensions on the baseline protocols.

Item #4

- Describe how MN decides between IP-layer and other layer-based mobility support (e.g., MPTCP, SIP, app-layer) to apply on a given data flow
- No progress.