# **CER ID Option**

draft-donley-dhc-cer-id-option-05

Chris Donley, Michael Kloberdans CableLabs

John Brzozowski Comcast

Chris Grundemann ISOC

### Purpose of this draft

- Several home network addressing mechanisms require identification of Customer Edge Router (CER)
- One such mechanism is Service Provider edge Router Interaction
  - http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-winters-homenetsper-interaction-01
- Others include RFC-7084 compliant routers
- Provide a complement protocol

### Example: 7084 Router

- CER determines that it is the CER, or CER is manually assigned
- IRs determine that they are IRs
- IRs respond to IA\_NA requests directly but relay all IA\_PD requests "up" towards root of tree
- CER responds to all IA\_PD requests

#### CER ID via DHCPv6 Option

- CER could be identified via a DHCPv6 option
- Indications that a router isn't the CER
  - By setting the CER ID option to ::
- CER populates the CER ID option with its LAN IPv6 address
- Internal Routers (IRs) learn the CER ID option and pass it to other IRs

# **CER ID Option**

# **Applicability**

- Developed along with <u>http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-winters-homenet-sper-interaction-01</u>
- Also could be used for other addressing mechanisms that require CER identification
- Could be extended to include other information (e.g. size of prefix delegated by the ISP) for distribution in the home
- RFC-7084 compliant Router are existing clients for this CER option.

# **CER Change History**

- CER proposal 01 initial proposal to IETF
- CER proposal 02 added security features for authentication
- CER proposal 03 fixed text inconsistencies
- CER proposal 04 removed security features

 CER proposal 05 – clarifications and to extend draft life (couldn't present at IETF 91)

#### Summary

- CER-ID is a complement protocol to other protocols
  - Additional tool in the tool box
- This protocol doesn't interfere with any known existing protocols
  - No harm, no foul
- Easy manual administration if desired
- Security features available

#### **Next Steps**

Looking for WG feedback

– Should this option be extended?

– Should this draft become a WG document?

#### Backup Slides if needed for security discussion

#### **CER ID Option**

```
()
        option-code
                                                option-len
                                                  auth type
            reserved
                            Authentication
                            CER ID
option-code
           OPTION_CER_ID (TBD).
option-len
               16
               16
reserved
auth type
               16
Authentication 128
CER ID value
              128 (IPv6 address of CER)
```

# **CER Change History**

CER proposal 01 – initial proposal to IETF

- CER proposal 02 added security features for authentication
  - type 0 null authentication
  - type 1 simple authentication
  - type 2 cryptographic authentication