

# **draft-ietf-isis-yang-isis-cfg-02**

S. Litkowski

A. Lindem

D. Yeung

L. Lhotka

J. Zhang

# Changes from -01

- Introduction of node-tag

```
augment /rt:routing/rt:routing-instance/rt:routing-protocols/  
rt:routing-protocol:  
  +-rw isis  
    +-rw instance* [routing-instance]  
      +-rw routing-instance          rt:routing-instance-ref  
      +-rw node-tag {node-tag}?  
        | +-rw node-tag* [tag]  
        |   +-rw tag      uint32  
      +-rw topologies* [name] {multi-topology}?  
        | +-rw enabled?          boolean  
        | +-rw name             rt:rib-ref  
        | +-rw node-tag {node-tag}?  
          |   +-rw node-tag* [tag]  
          |     +-rw tag      uint32
```

# Changes from -01

- Adding key-chain for authentication (reusing grouping from keychain for legacy auth)

```
+--rw isis
    +--rw instance* [routing-instance]
        +--rw routing-instance                  rt:routing-instance-ref
        +--rw level-type?                      level
        +--rw authentication* [level]
            |  +--rw (authentication-type)?
            |  |  +--:(key-chain) {key-chain}?
            |  |  |  +--rw key-chain?      key-chain:key-chain-ref
            |  |  +--:(password)
            |  |  |  +--rw key?          string
            |  |  |  +--rw (algorithm)?
            |  |  |  |  +--:(hmac-shal-12)
            |  |  |  |  +--rw hmac-shal-12?   empty
...
            |  |  |  |  +--rw hmac-sha-384?   empty
            |  |  |  +--:(hmac-sha-512)
            |  |  |  +--rw hmac-sha-512?   empty
    +--rw level                  level
```

# Changes from -01

- Introduction of fast-reroute
  - Global container is just for augmentation
  - Activation is only per interface
  - Remote LFA is child of LFA

# Changes from -01

```
+--rw isis
    +-rw instance* [routing-instance]
        +-rw routing-instance          rt:routing-instance-ref
        +-rw fast-reroute {fast-reroute}?
            |   +-rw lfa {lfa}?
            +-rw topologies* [name] {multi-topology}?
                |   +-rw enabled?      boolean
                |   +-rw name         rt:rib-ref
                |   +-rw fast-reroute {fast-reroute}?
                    |   |   +-rw lfa {lfa}?
```

# Changes from -01

```
+--rw interfaces
    +-rw interface* [name]
        +-rw name                                if:interface-ref
        +-rw topologies* [name]
            |   +-rw name                         rt:rib-ref
            |   +-rw fast-reroute {fast-reroute}?
            |       +-rw lfa* [level] {lfa}?
            |           +-rw candidate-disabled?  boolean
            |           +-rw enabled?          boolean
            |           +-rw remote-lfa {remote-lfa}?
            |               |
            |               ...
            |           +-rw level             level
        +-rw fast-reroute {fast-reroute}?
            |   +-rw lfa* [level] {lfa}?
            |       +-rw candidate-disabled?  boolean
            |       +-rw enabled?          boolean
            |       +-rw remote-lfa {remote-lfa}?
            |           |
            |           +-rw enabled?      boolean
            |           +-rw level         level
```

# Changes from -01

- Adding some Segment Routing
- We are proposing a SR YANG model (draft-litkowski-spring-sr-yang)
- Where should be protocol extensions ?

# VRF centric vs Protocol centric

## routing-instance-centric

```
+--rw routing-instance*
|  +-rw name
|  +-rw type?
...
|  +-rw routing-protocols
|    +-rw routing-protocol*
|      +-rw type
|      +-rw name
...
|
```

Used by Juniper, Alcatel-Lucent  
(and *ietf-routing*).

Parameters defined outside the inner list can serve as defaults for all entries of the inner list. Consequently, either design can be converted to the other but a round trip may result in a less compact configuration.

## routing-protocol-centric

```
+--rw routing-protocol*
|  +-rw name
|  +-rw type?
...
|  +-rw routing-instances
|    +-rw routing-instance*
|      +-rw type
|      +-rw name
...
|
```

Instance means VRF. Used by Cisco, Brocade, HP, Huawei.

# Next steps

- Design team is reviewing the operational states now
- Alignment with OSPF in progress
- Alignment required with future global rtg Yang design
- Need a crossWG consensus on VRF centric vs Protocol centric
- We NEED wg feedback about what we provided for configuration