draft-fujiwara-dnsop-nsecaggressiveuse-01

K. Fujiwara and A. Kato IETF 93 dnsop WG

Overview: Problems

- Random sub-domain attacks (referred to as "Water Torture" attacks) send many nonexistent queries to full-service resolvers. The negative cache does not work well
- Root DNS servers receive many non-existent TLD queries
 - Typos, numbers, leaks (.local, .localhost, ...)
- Non-existent information in the cache is used if the query name matches only by exact match

Overview: Basic Idea

- Target full-service resolver receives NSEC RRs
 - Each NSEC RR contains range between adjacent names
 - NSEC RRs are cached
- For example, target domain name = example.com
 - If "a.example.com in NSEC www.example.com" is in the cache
 - There is no domain name between a.example.com and www.example.com
 - (and need to check existence of *.example.com)
- Validating resolvers can detect NXDOMAIN error without further queries to authoritative DNS servers by using NSEC information
- However, this idea is discouraged by RFC 4035 (and RFC 2308)

Overview: Proposal

- Update RFC 4035
 - DNSSEC enabled full-service resolvers MAY use NSEC/NSEC3 resource records to generate negative responses until their effective TTLs or signatures on the records in question expire.

- Additional updates (to be written in -02)
 - CD bit MAY be ignored
 - RFC 2308

Differences between 00 to 01

- Added reference to DLV RFC 5074 and imported some sentences
- Added Aggressive Negative Caching Flag idea
- Added detailed algorithms (current pseudo code has a bug, I will fix in -02)

Aggressive negative caching flag

Problem

- Auth DNS servers may dynamically generate minimally covering NSEC Records (RFC 4470)
- Aggressive negative caching provides no benefit in this case

Proposal

- Define a new flag AN (support Aggressive Negative caching)
- A full-service resolver that supports aggressive negative caching SHOULD set AN flag when sending queries to authoritative DNS servers.

Online signer implementation

 When an online signer detects random subdomain attacks and a query have AN flag, it can generate NSEC resource records with wider range depending on the attack situation

Plans to -02

- Add new proposal:
 - CD bit MAY be ignored
 - Because a query with CD bit set disables DNSSEC validation and cannot check NSEC/NSEC3.
 - To allow aggressive negative caching, CD bit need to be ignored while aggressive negative caching process
 - After the process, the CD bit MUST be used as usual
 - Upudate RFC 2308 NCACHE
 - The basic strategy was to cache authoritative error codes keyed by the exact query parameters
 - Refer idea from draft-vixie-dnsext-resimprove
- Fix pseudo code

Useful?

• WG Adoption ?