

# draft-kompella-mpls-rmr MPLS RT review

Kireeti Kompella, Luis Miguel Contreras Murillo IETF 93/Prague 2015

## MPLS RT Review of draft rmr (1)

#### Many thanks to the RT review group: Jie, Eric and Stewart!

Still looking forward to the review from Sam

Jie's very thorough read led to several requested clarifications

- Does this doc apply to lambda/fiber switching? No
- What is the failure signaling mechanism? TBD (PathErr, etc.)
- Where will details of IGP autodiscovery be given?
  - Details of operation in this doc; formatting details in IGP docs
- Carry mastership value in IGP?
  - Yes, already there; however, final election value might be interesting
- Ring changes need more details. Agreed
- Ring signaling needs more details. Agreed
- Several other clarifications that are straightforward will be done



## MPLS RT Review of draft rmr (2)

### Stewart's comments were more high-level

- "Majors on topology and FRR ... best ... in MPLS or RTGWG?"
  - Also majors in auto-discovery
  - Mechanism quite specific to MPLS
  - My preference is MPLS WG, but the chairs/ADs will decide
- "Clearer applicability ... ring ... abstracted from a mesh"
  - Good point. There are few pure rings (at Layer 3); there are myriad rings at Layer 0/1. I'll try to define generalized rings more clearly
- "Intersecting rings or rings embedded in a mesh ... simpler to continue on special case path, or simpler to fully generalize?"
  - Hopefully, I can define generalized rings somewhere in between
  - The mechanisms are quite simple; the main question for me is whether the techniques work well or not (if not, use the fully general solution)



## MPLS RT Review of draft rmr (3)

## Eric did the most thorough read

- Concluded that this draft is ready for WG adoption
  - Fully agree!



## **Next Steps**

- 0) Exchange proposed new text with reviewers over email
- 1) Then, produce new revision of the draft with said text
  - Couple of weeks post-IETF93
- 2) Accompanying drafts for IGP and signaling (RSVP-TE)
  - Similar time frame, possibly slightly longer

With the reviewers' sign-off, we will republish as a WG document

Ideally, the RSVP-TE and IGP drafts as well



#### **IPR** claims

Juniper has IPR that may be relevant to both draft-kompella-mpls-rmr and draft-kompella-mpls-larp

We will disclose the former shortly

This is just an early heads up

The latter was disclosed against draft-kompella-larp-01

- My bad in the initial name of the draft
- We can redisclose, or the IETF can update

