

# `draft-ietf-tokbind-protocol-01`

Andrei Popov, Microsoft Corp.

# Changes Since IETF92

- Replaced ALPN-based negotiation with TLS extension-based negotiation ([draft-popov-tokbind-negotiation-00](#)).
- Added a [Security Considerations](#) sub-section discussing Token Binding key sharing between applications.
- Minor edits, nits, etc.

# Open Issue: Token Binding Key Parameters

- [draft-ietf-tokbind-protocol-01](#) uses TLS-style SignatureAndHashAlgorithm structure:

```
enum {  
    sha256(4), (255)  
} HashAlgorithm;  
  
enum {  
    rsa(1), ecdsap256(3), (255)  
} SignatureAlgorithm;  
  
struct {  
    HashAlgorithm hash;  
    SignatureAlgorithm signature;  
} SignatureAndHashAlgorithm;
```

- [draft-popov-tokbind-negotiation-00](#) defines 1-byte key parameter IDs:

```
enum {  
    rsa2048_pkcs1.5_sha256(0), rsa2048_pss_sha256(1), ecdsap256_sha256(2), (255)  
} TokenBindingKeyParameters;
```

- Should we use the same 1-byte IDs in both drafts?

# Open Issue: Token Binding Extensions

- Token Binding Protocol messages in the current I-D allow optional extensions:

```
struct {  
    ExtensionType extension_type;  
    opaque extension_data<0..2^16-1>;  
} Extension;  
  
struct {  
    TokenBindingID tokenbindingid;  
    opaque signature<0..2^16-1>; // Signature over hashed ("token binding", tls_unique)  
    Extension extensions<0..2^16-1>;  
} TokenBinding;
```

- No initial extension types are specified, and there has been a suggestion to remove the “extensions” field.
- A likely future use of extensions is attestation (cryptographic proof that the Token Binding key is hardware-bound).
- Should we keep the “extensions” field in the TokenBinding message?

# Links And Contact Information

- TLS Extension for Token Binding Negotiation:  
<https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-popov-tokbind-negotiation-00>
- The Token Binding Protocol Version 1.0:  
<https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-tokbind-protocol-01>
- Token Binding over HTTP: <https://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-ietf-tokbind-https-01>
- GitHub: [https://github.com TokenNameBinding/Internet-Drafts](https://github.com	TokenNameBinding/Internet-Drafts)
- Dirk Balfanz [balfanz@google.com](mailto:balfanz@google.com)
- Andrei Popov [andreipo@microsoft.com](mailto:andreipo@microsoft.com)

# The Token Binding Protocol Message Format

```
struct {
    ExtensionType extension_type;
    opaque extension_data<0..2^16-1>;
} Extension;

struct {
    TokenBindingID tokenbindingid;
    opaque signature<0..2^16-1>;// Signature over hashed ("token binding", tls_unique)
    Extension extensions<0..2^16-1>;
} TokenBinding;

struct {
    TokenBinding tokenbindings<0..2^16-1>;
} TokenBindingMessage;
```

# Token Binding ID Format

```
enum {
    provided_token_binding(0), referred_token_binding(1), (255)
} TokenBindingType;
struct {
    TokenBindingType tokenbinding_type;
    SignatureAndHashAlgorithm algorithm;
    select (algorithm.signature) {
        case rsa: RSA PublicKey rsapubkey;
        case ecdsa: ECDSA Params ecdsaparams;
    }
} TokenBindingID;
```

- `Provided_token_binding` is used to establish a Token Binding when connecting to a server.
- `Referred_token_binding` is used when requesting tokens to be presented to a different server.