

# CDNI Request Routing: Footprint and Capabilities Semantics

draft-ietf-cdni-footprint-capabilities-semantics-08

IETF 94

Kevin J. Ma

# Updates Since IETF 93

- Resolved the Media Type vs Registry question
- Updated to use CDNI media type + ptype
- Updated examples to use ptypes and MI protocol strings
- Registered 3 ptypes for advertising  
FCI.DeliveryProtocol, FCI.AcquisitionProtocol, and  
FCI.RedirectionMode
- Updated references (normative vs informative)
- Editorial updates (nits, grammar, etc.)

# IPR Disclosure

- <https://datatracker.ietf.org/ipr/2656/> :
- "Juniper Networks, Inc.'s Statement about IPR related to draft-ietf-cdni-footprint-capabilities-semantic"

**Licensing  
Licensing  
information,  
comments, notes, or  
URL for further  
information**

See Text Below for Licensing Declaration

If technology in this document is included in a standard adopted by IETF and any claims of any Juniper patents are necessary for practicing the standard, any party will have the right to use any such patent claims under reasonable, non-discriminatory terms, with reciprocity, to implement and fully comply with the standard.

The reasonable non-discriminatory terms are:

If this standard is adopted, Juniper will not assert any patents owned or controlled by Juniper against any party for making, using, selling, importing or offering for sale a product that implements the standard, provided, however that Juniper retains the right to assert its patents (including the right to claim past royalties) against any party that asserts a patent it owns or controls (either directly or indirectly) against Juniper or any of Juniper's affiliates or successors in title or against any products of Juniper or any products of any of Juniper's affiliates either alone or in combination with other products; and Juniper retains the right to assert its patents against any product or portion thereof that is not necessary for compliance with the standard.

Royalty-bearing licenses will be available to anyone who prefers that option.

# WG decision re IPR disclosure

Note that FCI semantics is “Informational”

- Option 1: consider that the licensing terms are acceptable and continue with current plan
- Option 2: change our plan somehow

# Next Steps

- Need to remove “c-ip-anonimizing” from LI advertisement discussion
- Need to adjust authorship?
- Need to update Security Considerations
- Need to get back to working on the FCI drafts:
  - draft-ma-cdni-capabilities-07
  - draft-seedorf-cdni-request-routing-alto-08
- Ready for WG last call?