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Two-way control

- sub.example.com and example.com are in the same policy realm; therefore
  - sub.example.com “accepts” example.com and example.com “accepts” sub.example.com
- What SOPA intended to solve
- No obvious intrinsic link to DNS hierarchy
  - Maybe we want that anyway
One-way control

- example.com has a policy that *ought to* (FSVO) apply to sub.example.com
  - no matter what sub.example.com thinks
  - necessarily linked to the DNS hierarchy
  - need to find “nearest public” boundary
  - does this automatically lead to tree climbing?