DISPATCH WG
IETF 94

Mary Barnes (WG co-chair)
Cullen Jennings (WG co-chair)
Murray Kucherawy (*new* WG co-chair)
Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

- the IETF plenary session,
- any IETF working group or portion thereof,
- the IESG or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
- the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
- any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
- the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879). Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.
Agenda – Wednesday, Nov 4, 2015

13:00-13:10  Agenda and Status (chairs)
13:10-13:25  *Updated* DISPATCH WG charter (chairs, WG)
14:10-14:25 The font Primary Content type (Mark Nottingham on behalf of W3C)
14:25-14:40 Ultra Low Latency for realtime applications (Koen De Schepper)
Other topics

- P-Access-Network-Info ABNF Update: draft-holmberg-dispatch-pani-abnf
  - Proposed to be progressed as AD sponsored
  - WG review ends November 16, 2015
IETF-95 Deadlines

- February 22, 2016. Cutoff date to notify the chairs/DISPATCH WG of plans to submit a proposal. (draft deadline – 4 weeks)
- February 29, 2016. Cutoff for charter proposals for topics. (draft deadline – 3 weeks)
- March 7, 2016. Announcement of topics that have been dispatched for IETF-95. (draft deadline – 2 weeks)

Further details in wiki:
https://tools.ietf.org/wg/dispatch/trac/wiki
Updated DISPATCH WG charter

The Dispatch working group is chartered to consider proposals for new work in the ART area and identify, or help create, an appropriate venue for the work. Guiding principles for the proposed new work include:

1. Providing a clear problem statement, motivation and deliverables for the proposed new work.

2. Ensuring there has been adequate mailing list discussion reflecting sufficient interest, individuals have expressed a willingness to contribute and there is WG consensus before new work is dispatched.

3. Looking for and identifying commonalities and overlap amongst published or ongoing protocol work. Such commonalities may indicate the possibility of reusing existing protocols or elements thereof published by other WGs, or expanding and/or refactoring the scope of deliverables in an active WG.

4. Protecting the architectural integrity of IETF protocols and ensuring that new work has general applicability.

5. Ensuring the new work considers the impact on security and privacy of both the network and the user.
Options for handling new work include:

- Directing the work to an existing WG.
- Developing a proposal for a BOF.
- Developing a charter for a new WG.
- Making recommendations that documents be AD-sponsored (which ADs may or may not choose to follow).
- By agreement with ART ADs, processing simple documents.
- Deferring the decision for the new work.
- Rejecting the new work.
Updated DISPATCH WG charter

If the group decides that a particular topic needs to be addressed by a new WG, the normal IETF chartering process will be followed, including, for instance, IETF-wide review of the proposed charter. Proposals for large work efforts SHOULD lead to a BOF where the topic can be discussed in front of the entire IETF community. The DISPATCH WG will not do any protocol work. Specifically, DISPATCH will always opt to find a location for technical work; the only work that DISPATCH is not required to delegate (or defer, or reject) is administrative work such as IANA actions. Documents progressed as AD-sponsored would typically include those that do not have general applicability to IETF protocols, but rather are only applicable to specific use cases and network deployments, for which the scope must be clearly specified.

Proposed new work may be deferred in cases where the WG does not have enough information for the chairs to determine consensus. New work may be rejected in cases where there is not sufficient WG interest or the proposal has been considered and rejected in the past, unless a substantially revised proposal is put forth, including compelling new reasons for accepting the work.

A major objective of the DISPATCH WG is to provide timely, clear dispositions of new efforts. Thus, where there is consensus to take on new work, the WG will strive to quickly find a home for it.