

# NXDOMAIN means NXDOMAIN

Stéphane Bortzmeyer - AFNIC

IETF 94 - Yokohama

# Dead draft

- `draft-vixie-dnsexp-resimprove` expired in 2010.

- `draft-vixie-dnsexp-resimprove` expired in 2010.
- Proposed **several** “improvements” to resolver. No consensus on all of them.

- `draft-vixie-dnsexp-resimprove` expired in 2010.
- Proposed **several** “improvements” to resolver. No consensus on all of them.
- Suggestion: revive its section 3 “Stopping Downward Cache Search on NXDOMAIN” in a draft.

# What does it say?

# What does it say?

- 1 Domains are a tree.

# What does it say?

- 1 Domains are a tree.
- 2 If `bar.example` does not exist, certainly `foo.bar.example` does not exist.



# What does it say?

- 1 Domains are a tree.
- 2 If `bar.example` does not exist, certainly `foo.bar.example` does not exist.
- 3 So, treat a NXDOMAIN for a node as a NXDOMAIN for all nodes under it.

# What does it say?

- 1 Domains are a tree.
- 2 If `bar.example` does not exist, certainly `foo.bar.example` does not exist.
- 3 So, treat a NXDOMAIN for a node as a NXDOMAIN for all nodes under it.
- 4 Good with QNAME minimisation (and in some cases for random qnames attacks).

# What does it say?

- 1 Domains are a tree.
- 2 If `bar.example` does not exist, certainly `foo.bar.example` does not exist.
- 3 So, treat a NXDOMAIN for a node as a NXDOMAIN for all nodes under it.
- 4 Good with QNAME minimisation (and in some cases for random qnames attacks).
- 5 Clearly says that NXDOMAIN on an ENT is wrong.

# Advice

- 1 Good or stupid idea?

- ① Good or stupid idea?
- ② A separate draft-then-RFC or an update to another document?