Open Issue #1

Front-end Naming Delegation is seen as “incomplete”. Proposal:

- Clarify that it’s a mechanism for automatically configuring replication from a hidden primary to public secondaries, and nothing more
- Create a new document (volunteers requested) to describe the overall interaction between DNSSD and traditional DNS
Internal vs External Resolution

- Use exclusively DNS-SD Internally
- Traditional “unicast DNS” used for all external resolution
- Selection of which of the above is done using the queried domain name suffix (cf. mDNS and “.local”)

Is this reasonable?
Open Issue #2

If Front-end Naming Delegation is in use, is the public domain name suffix the same one as used for the name service switch?