## How Ossified is the Protocol Stack?

Proposed Research Group (hopsrg) Yokohama, Nov 2, 2015

preliminary chairs:
Brian Trammell < <u>ietf@trammell.ch</u>>
Mirja Kühlewind < <u>mirja.kuehlewind@tik.ee.ethz.ch</u>>

# Intellectual Property Rights (IPR)

The IRTF follows the IETF Intellectual Property Rights (IPR) disclosure rules. This is a summary of these rules as they relate to IRTF research group discussions, mailing lists and Internet Drafts:

- If you include your own or your employer's IPR in a contribution to an IRTF research group, then you must file an IPR
  disclosure with the IETF.
- If you recognize your own or your employer's IPR in someone else's contribution and you are participating in the discussions in the research group relating to that contribution, then you must file an IPR disclosure with the IETF. Even if you are not participating in the discussion, the IRTF still requests that you file an IPR disclosure with the IETF.
- Finally, the IRTF requests that you file an IPR disclosure with the IETF if you recognize IPR owned by others in any IRTF contribution.

The IRTF expects that you file IPR disclosures in a timely manner, i.e., in a period measured in days or weeks, not months. The IRTF prefers that the most liberal licensing terms possible are available for IRTF Stream documents, see RFC 5743. You may file an IPR disclosure here: http://www.ietf.org/ipr/file-disclosure

See RFC 3979 (BCP 79) for definitions of "IPR" and "contribution" and for the detailed rules (substituting "IRTF" for "IETF").

# Administrativa

### **Proposed charter**

https://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/charter-irtf-hopsrg/

### **Mailing list**

hops@ietf.org (inherited from Bar BoF in Dallas)

## Today's slides

https://datatracker.ietf.org/meeting/94/materials.html#irtf

### Remote participation

Audio: http://ietf94streaming.dnsalias.net/ietf/ietf943.m3u

Meetecho: <a href="http://www.meetecho.com/ietf94/hopsrg">http://www.meetecho.com/ietf94/hopsrg</a>

# Renaming the (Proposed) RG

- Goal: Broaden/adjusting the scope
- Should we keep the same acronym?
- Any ideas?

## Data and Publication

- Much insight can be gained from data that cannot be published, attributed, or otherwise openly discussed.
- How open should the research group be?
  - Some meetings under different than Note Well rules (e.g. Chatham House)?

•

# Agenda

9:00 Intro & Overview (chairs)

#### **Measurement Plattforms**

**9:15** Overview of CAIDA Ark (k claffy, *CAIDA*)

#### **Measurements**

9:30	Comparing TCP and UDP(QUIC) packet reordering (Ian Swett, Google)	
------	---	--

- 9:25 Informing Protocol Design Through Crowdsourcing: the Case of Pervasive Encryption (Anna Maria Mandalari, UC3M)
- 9:45 Analyzing the Impact of Middleboxes in the Upgrade Mechanism in HTTP2 (*Pedro Aranda, Telefonica*)
- 10:00 Access Network Measurement Results (Joachim Fabini, TU Vienna)
- **10:15** Results from wide testing of ECN by Apple (*Tommy Pauly, Apple*)

#### **Measurement Tools and Methodology**

- **10:30** Collaborative Research Proposal: an In-Band Traceroute Service (*Dave Plonka, Akamai*)
- **10:45** A Middlebox Impairment Observatory (Brian Trammell, ETH Zurich)

# Future Work

- Do we need a facility to collect measurement data?
- Do we need a common data model to compare measurement data from different sources?
- Should we organize the next meeting outside of the IETF, e.g. research workshop co-located with measurement conference?