

# A Proposal on Live NFVRG Documents

NFVRG Meeting Yokohama, 4th November 2015

## The Current Status

- 19 live drafts, 3 of them adopted by the RG
  - More of them coming
  - And evolving into a more mature status
- Evolving all of them into RFC status would be completely unrealistic
  - And we do not have a charter detailing the deliverables
- Need to clarify the evolution of live NFVRG documents, based on
  - Document classes
  - NFVRG charter
  - The agreed special interest areas
- Outlined on the mailing list some time ago
  - Initial (and promising) responses
- Formalize it
  - Think of document evolution around it
  - Structure future meetings accordingly

#### **Document Classes**

- Those addressing one of the special interest areas and aimed at becoming a permanent contribution
  - Keep one document per each area, intended to become an RFC once the work in that area is considered complete
- Those addressing general issues of interest to the community, but related with a concrete point in time
  - Typical examples are gap analyses or challenge reports (live documents per se)
  - Project reports (associated to the project lifecycle)
  - To be acknowledged by adopting them once the community thinks they are mature enough to provide a clear value
  - Suitable to contribute content to the area-oriented RFCs-to-be
- General documents presented for information to and assessment by the community
  - Open-source or other project presentations
  - Paper-like contributions
  - We'll keep a special slot for them in meetings
  - Suitable for contributing ideas to the two above classes

## **Permanent Contributions**

- One document per area
  - Intended to enter the RFC process once the work in that area is considered complete
- Authors of such documents will be required to merge them with other related documents once they are adopted
  - Future adoption discussions will include area allocation
  - Splitting could be an option
- We will need editors for each area-related document
- The decision on new areas will require
  - At least a document considered as mature enough
  - The appointment of one or two document area editors
  - Not to go beyond a hard limit of six simultaneously active areas
  - New areas will be considered when existing ones are mature and their documents entered last call

#### **Current Areas**

- Policy-based resource management
  - Several drafts directly addressing it
- Analytics for visibility and orchestration
  - At least one draft, and partially others
- Security and service verification
  - At least one draft, and partially others
- VNF performance modeling to facilitate transition to NFV
  - No draft available for this area
- Keep the first three ones
- Stop the fourth and consider additional ones
  - Proposals welcome, to be discussed and agreed on the list
- A couple of potential candidates
  - Reliability and fault detection in NFV-based and mixed networks
  - Service orchestration and lifecycle aspects

## And a Plan

- Adopted draft authors to identify the area in which their documents fit
  - Chairs will make a final proposal on the RG list before the end of November
- Chairs to propose candidates for area document editors
  - Volunteers welcome
- Document integration status to be reported at RG meetings
  - Coordinated by the area editor(s)
  - Individual section presentation still possible if required
- Authors of drafts requesting adoption will have to express
  - Document class
  - Area assignment for permanent documents
  - Though such declaration would be welcome at any time