

# Verification of NFV Services : Problem Statement and Challenges

draft-irtf-nfvrg-service-verification-00

M-K. Shin, ETRI, K. Nam, Friesty

S. Pack, KU, S. Lee, ETRI

R. Krishnan, Dell, T. Kim, LG U+

**NFVRG Meeting@IETF94, Yokohama, Japan**

# Overview

- NFV relocates network functions from dedicated hardware appliances to generic servers, so they can run in software. However, incomplete and/or inconsistent configuration of VNF and FGs (aka, service chain) may lead to verification issues.
- This draft discusses properties to be checked on NFV services. Also, we present challenging issues related to verification in NFV environments.

## Table of Contents

1. Introduction
2. Problem statement
  - 2.1 Dependencies of Network Service Components in NFV framework
  - 2.2 Invariant and error check in VNF FGs
  - 2.3 Load Balancing and Optimization among VNF Instances
  - 2.4 Policy and State Consistency
  - 2.5 Performance
  - 2.6 Security
3. Examples - NS policy conflict with NFVI policy
4. Requirements of verification framework
5. Challenging Issues
6. Gap analysis - open source projects
7. Security considerations

# Changes since IETF93

- Adopted as a RG document
- Address all the comments from last meeting
  - New sections added
    - Implementation examples (section 3)
      - NS policy conflict with NFVI policy
    - Gap analysis of relevant works in open source projects (e.g., OPNFV, ODL, etc.) (section 6)
- And many editorial updates

# Example - NS policy conflict with NFVI policy

Another target of NFV verification is conflict of NS policies against global network policy, called NFVI policy.

<Example conflict case #1>

- o NS policy of NS\_A (composed of VNF\_A and VNF\_B)
  - Resource constraints: 3 CPU core for VNF\_A and 2 CPU core for VNF\_B
  - Affinity rule between VNF\_A and VNF\_B
- o NFVI policy
  - No more than 4 CPU cores per physical host
- o Conflict case
  - The NS policy cannot be met within the NFVI policy

<Example conflict case #2>

- o NS policy of NS\_B (composed of VNF\_A and VNF\_B)
  - Affinity rule between VNF\_A and VNF\_B
- o NFVI policy
  - Place VM whose outbound traffic is larger than 100Mbps at POP\_A
  - Place VM whose outbound traffic is smaller than 100Mbps at POP\_B
- o Conflict case
  - If VNF\_A and VNF\_B generate traffic in 150Mbps and 50Mbps, respectively,
  - VNF\_A and VNF\_B need to be placed at POP\_A and POP\_B, respectively according to the NFVI policy
  - But it will violate the affinity rule given in the NS policy

# Example - NS policy conflict with NFVI policy

<Example conflict case #3>

- o NS policy of NS\_C (composed of VNF\_A and VNF\_B)
  - Resource constraints: VNF\_A and VNF\_B exist in the same POP
  - Auto-scaling policy: if VNF\_A has more than 300K CPS, scale-out
- o NFVI policy
  - No more than 10 VMs per physical host in POP\_A
- o Conflict case
  - If CPS of VNF\_A in POP\_A gets more than 300K CPS,
  - and if there is no such physical host in the POP\_A whose VMs are smaller than 10,
  - VNF\_A need to be scaled-out to other POP than POP\_A according to the NFVI policy
  - But it will violate the NS policy

# Next step

- We are now implementing verification services for vEPCs and reflect them in next revision as more specific examples.
  - Add more specific verification services for vCPE and vFW, as well as vEPC (or publish them in a separate document)
- We'll identify gaps in the implementation and/or existing open sources and suggest ways to fill those gaps.