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Overview of this document

- It analyzes:
  - the role of firewalls in network security
  - a number of assumptions made around firewalls
  - a number of interoperability implications introduced by firewalls
- Hopefully helps improve the current state of affairs
- Initial version based on:
  - draft-ietf-opsawg-firewalls-00
  - draft-ietf-opsawg-firewalls-01
Role of Firewalls in Network Security

- Firewalls provide prophylactic perimeter security
  - analogous to the service provided by the human skin to the human body
- Firewalls do not prevent the need for the stronger solutions
  - they rather make their expensive invocation less needful and more focused.
Firewalls and the E2E Principle

• One common complaint about firewalls is that they violate the E2E Principle.

• However, the E2E Principle:
  – is a plea for simplicity
  – argues against behavior that from the pov of a higher layer introduces inconsistency, complexity, or coupling
  – does not forbid e.g. lower layer retransmissions, nor maintenance of state, nor consistent policies imposed for security reasons
Common Kinds of Firewalls

- **Context or Zone-based firewalls**
  - protect systems within a perimeter from systems outside it

- **Pervasive routing-based measures**
  - protect intermingled systems from each other by enforcing role-based policies

- **IPS systems**
  - analyze application behavior and trigger on events that are unusual, match a signature, or involve an untrusted peer
Firewalling Strategies

• Default-deny
  - traffic is blocked unless it is explicitly allowed
  - Fails on the “safe side”
  - Prevents deployment of new features and applications

• Default allow
  - traffic is allowed unless explicitly blocked
  - typically enforced at perimeters where a comprehensive security policy
Assumptions on addresses & ports

• IP addresses and transport protocol ports are typically assumed to be stable

• IP address stability
  – Assumption changes with IPv6 temporary addresses (RFC4941)

• Transport protocol port numbers
  – More of a short-cut than a design principle
  – Think about DNS SRV records or Portmap
  – Also consider apps such as FTP and SIP
Assumptions on addresses & ports

• Tendency to multiplex apps on usually-allowed ports
  – e.g., tunnel apps on port 80
State Associated with Filtering

- **Stateless filtering**
  - Decision solely based on the incoming packet
  - Scales well

- **Stateful filtering**
  - Decision based on incoming packet and existing (or lack of thereof) state
  - Allows for more powerful filtering
  - Does not scale well
  - Filtering device can become target of DoS attack
Enforcing Protocol Syntax at the FW

• Checking “reserved” bits
  – Some FWs check that e.g. reserved bits are set to 0
  – This prevents incremental deployment on new features and protocol extensions -- e.g., TCP ECN, DNSec

• Packet scrubbing
  – Other FWs may enforce that e.g. reserved bits are cleared or “harmful” features are disabled
  – This make break rather than disable such features -- e.g. TCP URG [RFC6093]
Moving Forward

• Adopt as WG document?