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## Why an information model?

- The aim of this draft is to provide a conceptual view of the information needed to be exchanged on the defined ACTN interface to cover the requirements contained in
http://datatracker.ietf.org/doc/draft-ietf-teas-actnrequirements/
- This to prevent ambiguity when a specific data model/protocol will be used to implement the ACTN interfaces since interface implementation is derived from the same semantics.
- All ACTN interfaces (e.g., MPI\&CMI) and derivative thereof could be implemented with one common model


## ACTN Model

- The model is described in terms of
- Action Primitives : they are basic actions needed to support different ACTN network control functions e.g. network topology retrieve , VN service creation/deletion/modifications, path computation, VN service policy negotiation/enforcement
- Objects and their properties (attributes) : the object represents ACTN resources needed to be exchanged along interfaces and used in the context of primitives.


## Action Primitives

| VN Action | Description |
| :--- | :--- |
| VN Instantiate | Customer/application (C/A) requires their VNs (1) |
| VN Modify | C/A request for modification of an VN (1) |
| VN Delete | C/A request to delete a VN (1) |
| VN Path Compute | C/A request for a priory exploration to estimate network resource <br> availability before making a VN instantiate decision (1) (2) |
| VN Query | Permit to get topology view (pull model) |
| VN Update | Refers to any update to the VN that need to be reported to the <br> subscribers (push model) |

(1) This primitive can also be applied from an MDSC to a PNC requesting a VN (if the domain the PNC supports can instantiate the entire VN) or a part of VN elements
(2) This action is also necessary for an MDSC to PNCs in determining end-to-end multidomain paths, in this case a double-stage PC is first on the abstracted end-to-end network view (happening at CNC-MDSC ), and on the second stage it shall be expanded by each PNC.

## Objects

| Objects | Desctiption |
| :--- | :--- |
| VN Identifier | Unique VN identifier |
| VN Topology Metric | Describe requirements, characteristics of VN that C/A want <br> to instantiate |
| Traffic Matrix | Describes connectivity-level attributes to be conveyed by <br> CMI/MPI , End-Point List, Connectivity Type, Connectivity <br> Metric (BW, latency, Latency-variation, Packet-Loss) |
| VN Suvivability | VN protection attributes and suvivability policy enforced by <br> C/A e.g. Local Reroute Allowed, Push Allowed, ... |
| VN Action status | Result of a VN action |
| VN Topology | Details TBD |
| VN Connectivity | It refers to instatiated VN property (not only links/nodes also <br> LSP connection istantiated is considered) |
| Topology | It refers to End Point Location's support for certain VNF |
| VN Service Preference | (security, firewall) , client-specific preference enforcement <br> to permit correct selection from the network of the <br> destination related at the indicated VNF . |

## Interface Recursiveness



## Next Steps

- Consolidate the draft with mapping of objects to specific action primitives.
- Valuate completeness of the model against introduction of new missing objects.
- Assure consistency between topology related primitives/object and teas topology Yang models.
- Begin to work on solution drafts based on the info model.

BACKUP

## How to represent a VN

VN Traffic Matrix is a set of E2E connectivity (in a simplest form)


- Need a unique VN ID to which three LSPs belong.
- Three End-to-End LSPs:
- LSP1: \{EP1.a-1.A-1.B- 2.A- 2.C- 3.A- 3.B- EP3.a\}
-LSP2: \{EP1.a-1.C-2.B-2.D-EP2.a\}
-LSP3: \{EP2.a-2.D-2.C-3.C-3.B-EP3.a\}

