

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: April 21, 2018

A. Lindem, Ed.
N. Shen
E. Chen
Cisco Systems
October 18, 2017

OSPF Extensions for Advertising/Signaling Geo Location Information
draft-acee-ospf-geo-location-05.txt

Abstract

This document specifies an OSPF Router Information (RI) TLV to advertise the current Geo Coordinates of the OSPF router. For Point-to-Point (P2P) and Point-to-Multi-Point (P2MP) networks, the Geo Coordinates can be used to dynamically computing the cost to neighbors. This is useful both from the standpoint of auto-configuration and situations where the OSPF routers are moving. The Geo Coordinates are also useful for other applications such as Traffic Engineering (TE) and network management.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on April 21, 2018.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect

to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|---|
| 1. Introduction | 2 |
| 1.1. Requirements Notation | 2 |
| 2. OSPF Geo Coordinates TLV | 2 |
| 3. Link Advertisement of the OSPF Geo-Coordinates | 4 |
| 4. OSPFv2 Router Information (RI) Opaque LSA | 5 |
| 5. Security Considerations | 5 |
| 6. Privacy Considerations | 5 |
| 7. IANA Considerations | 6 |
| 8. References | 6 |
| 8.1. Normative References | 6 |
| 8.2. Informative References | 6 |
| Appendix A. Acknowledgments | 7 |
| Authors' Addresses | 7 |

1. Introduction


This document specifies an OSPF Router Information (RI) [OSPF-RI] TLV to advertise the current Geo Coordinates of the OSPF router. For Point-to-Point (P2P) and Point-to-Multi-Point (P2MP) networks, the Geo Coordinates can be used to dynamically computing the cost to neighbors. This is useful both from the standpoint of auto-configuration and situations where the OSPF routers are moving. The Geo Coordinates are also useful for other applications such as Traffic Engineering (TE) and network management.

1.1. Requirements Notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC-KEYWORDS].

2. OSPF Geo Coordinates TLV

The Geo Coordinates TLV can be used to advertise the current location of an OSPFv2 [OSPF] or OSPFv3 [OSPFV3] router using the OSPF Router Information LSA [OSPF-RI]. The OSPF Router Information LSA can be advertised in both link-scoped and area or AS scoped RI LSAs. The fields specify the location of the OSPF router using the WGS-84 (World Geodetic System) reference coordinate system [WGS84]. The value of the Geo Coordinates TLV consists of the following fields:



Where:

- U-bit: If the U-bit is set, it indicates that the "Location Uncertainty" field is specified. If the U-bit is clear, it indicates the "Location Uncertainty" field is unspecified.
- N-bit: If the N-bit is set, it indicates the Latitude is north relative to the Equator. If the N-bit is clear, it indicates the Latitude is south of the Equator.
- E-bit: If the E-bit is set, it indicates the Longitude is east of the Prime Meridian. If the E-bit is clear, it indicates the Longitude is west of the Prime Meridian.
- A-bit: If the A-bit is set, it indicates the "Altitude" field is specified. If the A-bit is clear, it indicates the "Altitude" field is unspecified.
- M-bit: If the M-bit is set, it indicates the "Altitude" is specified in meters. If the M-bit is clear, it indicates the "Altitude" is in centimeters.
- R-bit: If the R-bit is set, it indicates the "Radius" field is specified and the encoding is for a circular area. If the R-bit is clear, it indicates the "Radius" field is unspecified and the encoding is for a single point.
- K-bit: If the K-bit is set, it indicates the "Radius" is specified in kilometers. If the K-bit is clear, it indicates the "Radius" is in meters.

- Reserved: These bits are reserved. They SHOULD be set to 0 when sending protocol packets and MUST be ignored when receiving protocol packets.
- Location Uncertainty: Unsigned 16-bit integer indicating the number of centimeters of uncertainty for the location.
- Latitude Degrees: Unsigned 8-bit integer with a range of 0 - 90 degrees north or south of the Equator (northern or southern hemisphere, respectively).
- Latitude Milliseconds: Unsigned 24-bit integer with a range of 0 - 3,599,999 (i.e., less than 60 minutes).
- Longitude Degrees: Unsigned 8-bit integer with a range of 0 - 180 degrees east or west of the Prime Meridian.
- Longitude Milliseconds: Unsigned 24-bit integer with a range of 0 - 3,599,999 (i.e., less than 60 minutes).
- Altitude: Signed 32-bit integer containing the Height relative to sea level in centimeters or meters. A negative height indicates that the location is below sea level.
- Radius: Unsigned 16-bit integer containing the radius of a circle centered at the specified coordinates. The radius is specified in meters unless the K-bit is specified indicating specification in kilometers. If the radius is specified, the geo-coordinates specify the entire area of the circle defined by the radius and center point. While the use cases herein do not make use of this field, future use cases may.
- Optional Sub-TLVs: No additional Sub-TLVs are defined in this document.

OSPF Geo Coordinates TLV

3. Link Advertisement of the OSPF Geo-Coordinates

When the Geo Coordinates are used for cost computation, the coordinates need to be advertised on the link using the encoding specified in Section 2. For this application, a link-scoped OSPF Router Information (RI) [OSPF-RI] is advertised on each link where geo-location cost computation is utilized.

When an OSPF router receives the Geo Coordinates TLV in a link-scoped OSPF RI LSA from an adjacent neighbor, it can be used to calculate the physical distance to neighbor. For P2P and P2MP networks, this distance can be used to dynamically compute the cost of the link to that neighbor. The mapping of the distance to advertised cost is not specified in this document. However, all OSPF routers in the domain SHOULD use the same algorithm. Computation of cost based on physical distance can be useful both for autoconfiguration of these networks types and dynamic cost computation when the routers are moving.

The Geo location information can be statically provisioned or dynamically acquired from a GPS capable device on the OSPF Router.

4. OSPFv2 Router Information (RI) Opaque LSA

The OSPF Geo Coordinates TLV may optionally be advertised in the OSPF Router Information (RI) LSA [OSPF-RI]. It then may be used for applications such as traffic engineering (TE) and network management (e.g., the Find-My-Router application). The details of such applications are beyond the scope of this document.

5. Security Considerations

Since the Geo Location coordinates provide the exact location of the OSPF router, disclosure will make the OSPF router more susceptible to physical attacks. In situations where this is a concern (e.g., military applications), confidentiality should be provided either through a secure tunnel (e.g., [IP-ESP]) or protocol encryption [OSPFV3-AUTH].

Additionally, in some situations, the topology of the network is considered proprietary information. With the Geo Location coordinates, the physical topology, as well as the IP topology, can be discerned from the OSPF Router Information (RI) LSA. In these situations, confidentiality should be assured.

Security considerations for the base OSPF protocol are covered in [OSPF] and [OSPFV3].

6. Privacy Considerations

If the location of an OSPF router advertising geo location coordinates as described herein can be directly correlated to an individual, individuals, or an organization, the location of that router should be considered sensitive and OSPF RI LSAs containing such geo coordinates should be advertised confidentially as described in Section 5. Additionally, OSPF network management facilities may

require added authorization to view the contents of OSPF RI LSAs containing geo-Location TLVs. Refer to [PRIVACY] for more information.

The Uncertainty and Confidence metrics for geo-location information as described in [GEO-PIDF-LO] are not included in the Geo Coordinates TLV. In a future document, these may be considered for inclusion with additional Geo Location Sub-TLVs dependent on both on requirements and adoption of [GEO-PIDF-LO].

7. IANA Considerations

The document will require the following IANA actions:

1. A Router Information TLV type for the Geo Location TLV will be allocated from the OSPF Router Information (RI) TLVs registry.

8. References

8.1. Normative References

[OSPF] Moy, J., "OSPF Version 2", STD 54, RFC 2328, April 1998.

[OSPF-RI] Lindem, A., Shen, N., Vasseur, J., Aggarwal, R., and S. Shaffer, "Extensions to OSPF for Advertising Optional Router Capabilities", RFC 7770, January 2016.

[OSPFV3] Coltun, R., Ferguson, D., Moy, J., and A. Lindem, "OSPF for IPv6", RFC 5340, July 2008.

[RFC-KEYWORDS]

Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFC's to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, March 1997.

8.2. Informative References

[GEO-PIDF-LO]

Thomson, M. and J. Winterbottom, "Representation of Uncertainty and Confidence in the Presence Information Data Location Object (PIDF-LO)", RFC 7459, February 2015.

[IP-ESP] Kent, S., "IP Encapsulation Security Payload (ESP)", RFC 4303, December 2005.

[LISP-GEO]

Farinacci, D., "LISP Geo-Coordinate Use-Cases", draft-farinacci-lisp-geo-03 (work in progress), April 2017.

[OSPFV3-AUTH]

Gupta, M. and S. Melam, "Authentication/Confidentiality for OSPFv3", RFC 4552, June 2006.

[PRIVACY]

Cooper, A., Tschofenig, H., Aboba, B., Peterson, J., Morris, J., Hansen, M., and R. Smith, "Privacy Considerations", RFC 6973, July 2013.

[WGS84]

National Imagery and Mapping Agency, "Department of Defense World Geodetic System 1984, Third Edition", NIMA TR83500.2, January 2000.

Appendix A. Acknowledgments

The RFC text was produced using Marshall Rose's xml2rfc tool.

The encoding of the Geo location is adapted from "LISP Geo-Coordinates Use-Cases" [LISP-GEO]. We would like to thank the author, Dino Farinacci, for subsequent discussions.

Thanks to Yi Yang for review and discussions of the Geo Coordinate encoding.

The use-case for using OSPF to advertise the geo-location in OSPF was first mentioned in an OSPF operator-defined TLV draft by Uma Chunduri, Xiaohu Xu, Luis M. Contreras, Mohamed Boucadair, and Luay Jalil.

Authors' Addresses

Acee Lindem (editor)
Cisco Systems
301 Midenhall Way
Cary, NC 27513
USA

Email: acee@cisco.com

Naiming Shen
Cisco Systems
821 Alder Drive
Milpitas, CA 95935
USA

Email: naiming@cisco.com

Enke Chen
Cisco Systems
821 Alder Drive
Milpitas, CA 95935
USA

Email: enkechen@cisco.com

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Informational
Expires: May 4, 2017

J. Dong
X. Zhang
Huawei Technologies
Z. Li
China Mobile
October 31, 2016

OSPF LSA Flushing Problem Statement
draft-dong-ospf-maxage-flush-problem-statement-01

Abstract

In OSPF protocol, Link State Advertisements (LSAs) are exchanged in Link State Update (LSU) packets to achieve link state database (LSDB) synchronization and consistent route calculation. OSPF protocol specifies several scenarios in which an LSA is flushed with the LS age field set to MaxAge. In some cases, the flushing of MaxAge LSAs may cause flooding storm of OSPF packets and severely impact the services provided by the network.

This document describes the problem of OSPF LSA flushing, and ask for solutions to solve this problem.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on May 4, 2017.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|---|
| 1. Introduction | 2 |
| 2. Typical Scenarios of LSA Flushing | 3 |
| 3. Consequence of LSA Flushing | 3 |
| 4. Requirements on Potential Solutions | 4 |
| 4.1. Solution for Problem Localization | 4 |
| 4.2. Solution for Impact Mitigation | 4 |
| 5. IANA Considerations | 5 |
| 6. Security Considerations | 5 |
| 7. Acknowledgements | 5 |
| 8. References | 5 |
| 8.1. Normative References | 5 |
| 8.2. Informative References | 6 |
| Authors' Addresses | 6 |

1. Introduction

In OSPF protocol [RFC2328], Link State Updates (LSAs) are exchanged in Link State Update (LSU) packets to achieve link state database (LSDB) synchronization and consistent route calculation. OSPF specifies several scenarios in which an LSA is flushed with the LS age field set to MaxAge. In some cases, the flushing of MaxAge LSAs may cause flooding storm of OSPF packets and severely impact the services in the network. Since the MaxAge LSA may be flushed by any OSPF router, usually it would take a long time for troubleshooting and could cause huge damage to both the network provider and its customers.

2. Typical Scenarios of LSA Flushing

[RFC2328] specifies several scenarios in which an LSA should be flushed with the LS age field set to MaxAge. Under normal circumstances, the LSA flushing happens when the LS age of an LSA naturally reaches MaxAge, this can be done by any OSPF router. Since OSPF router would generate a new instance of the self-originated LSA when its LS age reaches LSRefreshTime, which is usually the half of the value of MaxAge, the naturally aging to MaxAge case would only happen when the originator of the LSA is not reachable in the network and cannot refresh the LSA.

Another case of LSA flushing is "Premature aging", which is to set the LS age of a self-originated LSA to MaxAge and then flood the LSA. Premature aging is used when the self-originated LSA's sequence number field is about to wrap, or all the external routes previously advertised by the LSA are no longer reachable. Premature aging and flushing of LSA can also happen when a router is changed from the Designated Router (DR) to a non-DR, or in some rare cases the router's Router ID is changed.

Field experience has shown several circumstances where MaxAge LSA flushing may be generated by the misbehaved router in the network. For example, the LS age may be corrupted to reach the MaxAge much earlier than normally expected. This is difficult to detect with the existing OSPF checksum mechanism, as the LS age field is excluded from the checksum calculation of LSA. Besides, OSPF cryptographic authentication can not detect the corruption of the LS age field if it happens before the LSA is assembled to LSU packet.

3. Consequence of LSA Flushing

While MaxAge LSA flushing is important for fast convergence and the consistency of the Link-State DataBase (LSDB) of all OSPF routers, as shown in several accidents happened in the production network, improper LSA flushing can have severe impact to the network and the services provided by the network. This section evaluates the impacts of MaxAge LSA flushing.

According to section 14 of [RFC2328], the MaxAge LSA can be flushed by any router, no matter whether this LSA is self-originated or not. According to the flooding scope of the LSA, this MaxAge LSA would be flooded either in the whole routing domain or in the specific area. On all the routers receiving this MaxAge LSA, this would cause the old LSA instance being replaced, and consequently triggers route calculation and installation. When the MaxAge LSA is received by the originating router of this LSA, the originating router would increase the LSA's LS sequence number one past the received LS sequence

number, and originate a new instance of the LSA. If the LSA flushing is due to systematic problem and cannot recover automatically, this flooding and processing would last forever, which severely impacts network reachability and stability. Since OSPF is the fundamental protocol to build the infrastructure for other protocols such as BGP, LDP, etc., and various services provided by the network, it will cause huge damage to both the network provider and its customers.

As the MaxAge LSA may be flushed by any OSPF router, usually it would take a long time for troubleshooting to locate the misbehaved router in the network, and during this time the LSA flushing could have caused huge damage to both the network provider and its customers.

4. Requirements on Potential Solutions

Considering the importance of OSPF protocol to the networks and the services carried in the networks, and the potential severe impact of MaxAge LSA flooding, this document calls for solutions to protect against or mitigate the impact of improper MaxAge LSA flushing.

The potential solutions can be classified into two categories, and the requirements are provided in following sections respectively.

4.1. Solution for Problem Localization

Since OSPF allows the flushing of non-self originated LSAs, for troubleshooting and problem localization, some mechanism to identify the misbehaved router quickly is needed. If the improper MaxAge LSA flushing is caused by systematic problem, operators would need to locate the misbehaved router and shut it down to stop the flooding storm.

[RFC6232] proposes to add the Purge Originator Identification (POI) TLV into IS-IS Purge LSPs to identify the originator of IS-IS Purges. Although a similar TLV may be added into the OSPF extended LSAs as defined in [RFC7684] and [I-D.ietf-ospf-ospfv3-lsa-extend], the structure of the legacy OSPF LSAs as defined in [RFC2328] is not TLV-based and such mechanism does not apply. Some problem localization solution which is backward compatible and applicable to all the OSPF LSAs would be preferred.

4.2. Solution for Impact Mitigation

Since the flooding storm caused by improper LSA flushing can have severe impact to network stability and the services provided by the network, it is important to alleviate such impact even before the root cause or the misbehaved router can be identified. In addition, some problem localization mechanisms may rely on the availability of

the network, which means the impact mitigation mechanism is necessary to ensure that the problem localization mechanisms do work when severe flooding storm caused by LSA flushing happens in the network.

It is important that the impact mitigation solution is backward compatible and can support incremental deployment. Preferably, the mitigation solution should not delay the route convergence triggered by normal LSA flushing.

5. IANA Considerations

This document makes no request of IANA.

Note to RFC Editor: this section may be removed on publication as an RFC.

6. Security Considerations

This document describes the problem of MaxAge LSA flushing, which in some cases is due to the lack of integrity protection of the LS age field. The LS age field may be altered as a result of software or hardware problem, such modification cannot be detected by LSA checksum nor OSPF packet cryptographic authentication. LSA flushing could have severe impact on network stability and the services provided by the network. This may be considered as a security vulnerability.

7. Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Bruno Decraene, Acee Lindom and Les Ginsberg for the discussion on this topic.

8. References

8.1. Normative References

[RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

[RFC2328] Moy, J., "OSPF Version 2", STD 54, RFC 2328, DOI 10.17487/RFC2328, April 1998, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2328>>.

8.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-ospf-ospfv3-lsa-extend]
Lindem, A., Mirtorabi, S., Roy, A., and F. Baker, "OSPFv3 LSA Extendibility", draft-ietf-ospf-ospfv3-lsa-extend-13 (work in progress), October 2016.
- [RFC6232] Wei, F., Qin, Y., Li, Z., Li, T., and J. Dong, "Purge Originator Identification TLV for IS-IS", RFC 6232, DOI 10.17487/RFC6232, May 2011, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6232>>.
- [RFC7684] Psenak, P., Gredler, H., Shakir, R., Henderickx, W., Tantsura, J., and A. Lindem, "OSPFv2 Prefix/Link Attribute Advertisement", RFC 7684, DOI 10.17487/RFC7684, November 2015, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7684>>.

Authors' Addresses

Jie Dong
Huawei Technologies
Huawei Campus, No.156 Beiqing Rd.
Beijing 100095
China

Email: jie.dong@huawei.com

Xudong Zhang
Huawei Technologies
Huawei Campus, No.156 Beiqing Rd.
Beijing 100095
China

Email: zhangxudong@huawei.com

Zhenqiang Li
China Mobile
No.32 Xuanwumenxi Ave., Xicheng District
Beijing 100032
China

Email: li_zhenqiang@hotmail.com

Open Shortest Path First IGP
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: July 13, 2019

P. Psenak, Ed.
Cisco Systems, Inc.
S. Previdi, Ed.
Individual
January 9, 2019

OSPFv3 Extensions for Segment Routing
draft-ietf-ospf-ospfv3-segment-routing-extensions-23

Abstract

Segment Routing (SR) allows a flexible definition of end-to-end paths within IGP topologies by encoding paths as sequences of topological sub-paths, called "segments". These segments are advertised by the link-state routing protocols (IS-IS and OSPF).

This draft describes the OSPFv3 extensions required for Segment Routing with MPLS data plane.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on July 13, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 3 |
| 2. Terminology | 3 |
| 3. Segment Routing Identifiers | 4 |
| 3.1. SID/Label Sub-TLV | 4 |
| 4. Segment Routing Capabilities | 5 |
| 5. OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV | 5 |
| 6. Prefix SID Sub-TLV | 7 |
| 7. Adjacency Segment Identifier (Adj-SID) | 11 |
| 7.1. Adj-SID Sub-TLV | 11 |
| 7.2. LAN Adj-SID Sub-TLV | 13 |
| 8. Elements of Procedure | 14 |
| 8.1. Intra-area Segment routing in OSPFv3 | 14 |
| 8.2. Inter-area Segment routing in OSPFv3 | 15 |
| 8.3. Segment Routing for External Prefixes | 16 |
| 8.4. Advertisement of Adj-SID | 16 |
| 8.4.1. Advertisement of Adj-SID on Point-to-Point Links | 16 |
| 8.4.2. Adjacency SID on Broadcast or NBMA Interfaces | 16 |
| 9. IANA Considerations | 17 |
| 9.1. OSPFv3 Extended-LSA TLV Registry | 17 |
| 9.2. OSPFv3 Extended-LSA Sub-TLV registry | 17 |
| 10. Security Considerations | 17 |
| 11. Contributors | 18 |
| 12. References | 19 |
| 12.1. Normative References | 19 |
| 12.2. Informative References | 20 |
| Authors' Addresses | 21 |

1. Introduction

Segment Routing (SR) allows a flexible definition of end-to-end paths within IGP topologies by encoding paths as sequences of topological sub-paths, called "segments". These segments are advertised by the link-state routing protocols (IS-IS and OSPF). Prefix segments represent an ECMP-aware shortest-path to a prefix (or a node), as per the state of the IGP topology. Adjacency segments represent a hop over a specific adjacency between two nodes in the IGP. A prefix segment is typically a multi-hop path while an adjacency segment, in most cases, is a one-hop path. SR's control-plane can be applied to both IPv6 and MPLS data-planes, and does not require any additional signalling (other than IGP extensions). The IPv6 data plane is out of the scope of this specification - OSPFv3 extension for SR with IPv6 data plane will be specified in a separate document. When used in MPLS networks, SR paths do not require any LDP or RSVP-TE signalling. However, SR can interoperate in the presence of LSPs established with RSVP or LDP.

This draft describes the OSPFv3 extensions required for Segment Routing with MPLS data plane.

Segment Routing architecture is described in [RFC8402].

Segment Routing use cases are described in [RFC7855].

2. Terminology

This section lists some of the terminology used in this document:

ABR - Area Border Router

Adj-SID - Adjacency Segment Identifier

AS - Autonomous System

ASBR - Autonomous System Boundary Router

DR - Designated Router

IS-IS - Intermediate System to Intermediate System

LDP - Label Distribution Protocol

LSP - Label Switched Path

MPLS - Multi Protocol Label Switching


- OSPF - Open Shortest Path First
- SPF - Shortest Path First
- RSVP - Resource Reservation Protocol
- SID - Segment Identifier
- SR - Segment Routing
- SRGB - Segment Routing Global Block
- SRLB - Segment Routing Local Block
- SRMS - Segment Routing Mapping Server
- TLV - Type Length Value

3. Segment Routing Identifiers

Segment Routing defines various types of Segment Identifiers (SIDs): Prefix-SID, Adjacency-SID, and LAN Adjacency SID.

3.1. SID/Label Sub-TLV

The SID/Label Sub-TLV appears in multiple TLVs or Sub-TLVs defined later in this document. It is used to advertise the SID or label associated with a prefix or adjacency. The SID/Label Sub-TLV has following format:



where:

Type: 7

Length: Either 3 or 4 octets

SID/Label: If length is set to 3, then the 20 rightmost bits represent a label. If length is set to 4, then the value represents a 32-bit SID.

The receiving router MUST ignore the SID/Label Sub-TLV if the length is other than 3 or 4.

4. Segment Routing Capabilities

Segment Routing requires some additional router capabilities to be advertised to other routers in the area.

These SR capabilities are advertised in the OSPFv3 Router Information Opaque LSA (defined in [RFC7770]) and specified in [I-D.ietf-ospf-segment-routing-extensions].

5. OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV

In some cases it is useful to advertise attributes for a range of prefixes in a single advertisement. The Segment Routing Mapping Server, which is described in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop], is an example of where SIDs for multiple prefixes can be advertised. To optimize such advertisement in case of multiple prefixes from a contiguous address range, OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV is defined."

The OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV is a top-level TLV of the following LSAs defined in [RFC8362]:


E-Intra-Area-Prefix-LSA

E-Inter-Area-Prefix-LSA

E-AS-External-LSA

E-Type-7-LSA

Multiple OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLVs MAY be advertised in each LSA mentioned above. The OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV has the following format:



where:

Type: 9

Length: Variable, in octets, dependent on Sub-TLVs.

Prefix length: Length of prefix in bits.

AF: Address family for the prefix.

AF: 0 - IPv4 unicast

AF: 1 - IPv6 unicast

Range size: Represents the number of prefixes that are covered by the advertisement. The Range Size MUST NOT exceed the number of prefixes that could be satisfied by the prefix length without including:

Addresses from the IPv4 multicast address range (224.0.0.0/3), if the AF is IPv4 unicast

Addresses other than the IPv6 unicast addresses, if the AF is IPv6 unicast

Flags: Reserved. MUST be zero when sent and are ignored when received.

Reserved: SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and MUST be ignored on reception.

Address Prefix:

For the address family IPv4 unicast, the prefix itself is encoded as a 32-bit value. The default route is represented by a prefix of length 0.

For the address family IPv6 unicast, the prefix, encoded as an even multiple of 32-bit words, padded with zeroed bits as necessary. This encoding consumes $((\text{PrefixLength} + 31) / 32)$ 32-bit words.

Prefix encoding for other address families is beyond the scope of this specification. Prefix encoding for other address families can be defined in the future standard-track IETF specifications.

The range represents the contiguous set of prefixes with the same prefix length as specified by the Prefix Length field. The set starts with the prefix that is specified by the Address Prefix field. The number of prefixes in the range is equal to the Range size.

If the OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLVs advertising the exact same range appears in multiple LSAs of the same type, originated by the same OSPFv3 router, the LSA with the numerically smallest Instance ID MUST be used and subsequent instances of the OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLVs MUST be ignored.

6. Prefix SID Sub-TLV

The Prefix SID Sub-TLV is a Sub-TLV of the following OSPFv3 TLVs as defined in [RFC8362] and in Section 5:


Intra-Area Prefix TLV

Inter-Area Prefix TLV

External Prefix TLV

OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV

It MAY appear more than once in the parent TLV and has the following format:




where:

Type: 4

Length: 7 or 8 octets, dependent on the V-flag

Flags: Single octet field. The following flags are defined:



where:

NP-Flag: No-PHP flag. If set, then the penultimate hop MUST NOT pop the Prefix-SID before delivering packets to the node that advertised the Prefix-SID.

M-Flag: Mapping Server Flag. If set, the SID was advertised by a Segment Routing Mapping Server as described in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop].

E-Flag: Explicit-Null Flag. If set, any upstream neighbor of the Prefix-SID originator MUST replace the Prefix-SID with the Explicit-NULL label (0 for IPv4, 2 for IPv6) before forwarding the packet.

V-Flag: Value/Index Flag. If set, then the Prefix-SID carries an absolute value. If not set, then the Prefix-SID carries an index.

L-Flag: Local/Global Flag. If set, then the value/index carried by the Prefix-SID has local significance. If not set, then the value/index carried by this Sub-TLV has global significance.

Other bits: Reserved. These MUST be zero when sent and are ignored when received.

Reserved: SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and MUST be ignored on reception.

Algorithm: Single octet identifying the algorithm the Prefix-SID is associated with as defined in [I-D.ietf-ospf-segment-routing-extensions].

A router receiving a Prefix-SID from a remote node and with an algorithm value that such remote node has not advertised in the SR-Algorithm Sub-TLV [I-D.ietf-ospf-segment-routing-extensions] MUST ignore the Prefix-SID Sub-TLV.

SID/Index/Label: According to the V-Flag and L-Flag, it contains:

V-flag is set to 0 and L-flag is set to 0: The SID/Index/Label field is a 4 octet index defining the offset in the SID/Label space advertised by this router

V-flag is set to 1 and L-flag is set to 1: The SID/Index/Label field is a 3 octet local label where the 20 rightmost bits are used for encoding the label value.

All other combinations of V-flag and L-flag are invalid and any SID advertisement received with an invalid setting for V and L flags MUST be ignored.

If an OSPFv3 router advertises multiple Prefix-SIDs for the same prefix, topology, and algorithm, all of them MUST be ignored.

When calculating the outgoing label for the prefix, the router MUST take into account, as described below, the E, NP, and M flags advertised by the next-hop router if that router advertised the SID for the prefix. This MUST be done regardless of whether the next-hop router contributes to the best path to the prefix.

The NP-Flag (No-PHP) MUST be set and the E-flag MUST be clear for Prefix-SIDs allocated to prefixes that are propagated between areas by an ABR based on intra-area or inter-area reachability, unless the advertised prefix is directly attached to such ABR.

The NP-Flag (No-PHP) MUST be set and the E-flag MUST be clear for Prefix-SIDs allocated to redistributed prefixes, unless the redistributed prefix is directly attached to the advertising ASBR.

If the NP-Flag is not set, then any upstream neighbor of the Prefix-SID originator MUST pop the Prefix-SID. This is equivalent to the penultimate hop popping mechanism used in the MPLS dataplane. If the NP-flag is not set, then the received E-flag is ignored.

If the NP-flag is set then:

If the E-flag is not set, then any upstream neighbor of the Prefix-SID originator MUST keep the Prefix-SID on top of the stack. This is useful when the originator of the Prefix-SID needs to stitch the incoming packet into a continuing MPLS LSP to the final destination. This could occur at an ABR (prefix propagation from one area to another) or at an ASBR (prefix propagation from one domain to another).

If the E-flag is set, then any upstream neighbor of the Prefix-SID originator MUST replace the Prefix-SID with an Explicit-NULL label. This is useful, e.g., when the originator of the Prefix-SID is the final destination for the related prefix and the originator wishes to receive the packet with the original Traffic Class field [RFC5462].

When the M-Flag is set, the NP-flag and the E-flag MUST be ignored on reception.

As the Mapping Server does not specify the originator of a prefix advertisement, it is not possible to determine PHP behavior solely based on the Mapping Server advertisement. However, PHP behavior SHOULD be done in following cases:

The Prefix is intra-area type and the downstream neighbor is the originator of the prefix.

The Prefix is inter-area type and the downstream neighbor is an ABR, which is advertising prefix reachability and is setting the LA-bit in the Prefix Options as described in [RFC8362].

The Prefix is external type and the downstream neighbor is an ASBR, which is advertising prefix reachability and is setting the LA-bit in the Prefix Options as described in [RFC8362].

When a Prefix-SID is advertised in the OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV, then the value advertised in the Prefix SID Sub-TLV is interpreted as a starting SID/Label value.

Example 1: If the following router addresses (loopback addresses) need to be mapped into the corresponding Prefix SID indexes:

```
Router-A: 2001:DB8::1/128, Prefix-SID: Index 1
Router-B: 2001:DB8::2/128, Prefix-SID: Index 2
Router-C: 2001:DB8::3/128, Prefix-SID: Index 3
Router-D: 2001:DB8::4/128, Prefix-SID: Index 4
```

then the Address Prefix field in the OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV would be set to 2001:DB8::1, the Prefix Length would be set to 128, the Range Size would be set to 4, and the Index value in the Prefix-SID Sub-TLV would be set to 1.

Example 2: If the following prefixes need to be mapped into the corresponding Prefix-SID indexes:

- 2001:DB8:1::0/120, Prefix-SID: Index 51
- 2001:DB8:1::100/120, Prefix-SID: Index 52
- 2001:DB8:1::200/120, Prefix-SID: Index 53
- 2001:DB8:1::300/120, Prefix-SID: Index 54
- 2001:DB8:1::400/120, Prefix-SID: Index 55
- 2001:DB8:1::500/120, Prefix-SID: Index 56
- 2001:DB8:1::600/120, Prefix-SID: Index 57


then the Prefix field in the OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV would be set to 2001:DB8:1::0, the Prefix Length would be set to 120, the Range Size would be set to 7, and the Index value in the Prefix-SID Sub-TLV would be set to 51.

7. Adjacency Segment Identifier (Adj-SID)

An Adjacency Segment Identifier (Adj-SID) represents a router adjacency in Segment Routing.

7.1. Adj-SID Sub-TLV

The Adj-SID Sub-TLV is an optional Sub-TLV of the Router-Link TLV as defined in [RFC8362]. It MAY appear multiple times in the Router-Link TLV. The Adj-SID Sub-TLV has the following format:



where:

Type: 5

Length: 7 or 8 octets, dependent on the V flag.

Flags: Single octet field containing the following flags:

```

0 1 2 3 4 5 6 7
+---+---+---+---+
|B|V|L|G|P|   |
+---+---+---+---+

```

where:

B-Flag: Backup Flag. If set, the Adj-SID refers to an adjacency that is eligible for protection (e.g., using IPFRR or MPLS-FRR) as described in section 3.5 of [RFC8402].

The V-Flag: Value/Index Flag. If set, then the Adj-SID carries an absolute value. If not set, then the Adj-SID carries an index.

The L-Flag: Local/Global Flag. If set, then the value/index carried by the Adj-SID has local significance. If not set, then the value/index carried by this Sub-TLV has global significance.

The G-Flag: Group Flag. When set, the G-Flag indicates that the Adj-SID refers to a group of adjacencies (and therefore MAY be assigned to other adjacencies as well).

P-Flag. Persistent flag. When set, the P-Flag indicates that the Adj-SID is persistently allocated, i.e., the Adj-SID value remains the same across router restart and/or interface flap.

Other bits: Reserved. These MUST be zero when sent and are ignored when received.

Reserved: SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and MUST be ignored on reception.

Weight: Weight used for load-balancing purposes. The use of the weight is defined in [RFC8402].

SID/Index/Label: as described in Section 6.

An SR-capable router MAY allocate an Adj-SID for each of its adjacencies and set the B-Flag when the adjacency is eligible for protection by an FRR mechanism (IP or MPLS) as described in [RFC8402].


An SR-capable router MAY allocate more than one Adj-SID to an adjacency.

An SR-capable router MAY allocate the same Adj-SID to different adjacencies.

When the P-flag is not set, the Adj-SID MAY be persistent. When the P-flag is set, the Adj-SID MUST be persistent.

7.2. LAN Adj-SID Sub-TLV

The LAN Adj-SID Sub-TLV is an optional Sub-TLV of the Router-Link TLV. It MAY appear multiple times in the Router-Link TLV. It is used to advertise a SID/Label for an adjacency to a non-DR router on a broadcast, NBMA, or hybrid [RFC6845] network.



where:

- Type: 6
- Length: 11 or 12 octets, dependent on V-flag.
- Flags: same as in Section 7.1
- Weight: Weight used for load-balancing purposes. The use of the weight is defined in [RFC8402].
- Reserved: SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and MUST be ignored on reception.
- Neighbor ID: The Router ID of the neighbor for which the LAN-Adj-SID is advertised.
- SID/Index/Label: as described in Section 6.

When the P-flag is not set, the LAN Adj-SID MAY be persistent. When the P-flag is set, the LAN Adj-SID MUST be persistent.

8. Elements of Procedure

8.1. Intra-area Segment routing in OSPFv3

An OSPFv3 router that supports segment routing MAY advertise Prefix-SIDs for any prefix to which it is advertising reachability (e.g., a loopback IP address as described in Section 6).

A Prefix-SID can also be advertised by SR Mapping Servers (as described in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop]). A Mapping Server advertises Prefix-SIDs for remote prefixes that exist in the OSPFv3 routing domain. Multiple Mapping Servers can advertise Prefix-SIDs for the same prefix, in which case the same Prefix-SID MUST be advertised by all of them. The SR Mapping Server could use either area flooding scope or autonomous system flooding scope when advertising Prefix SIDs for prefixes, based on the configuration of the SR Mapping Server. Depending on the flooding scope used, the SR Mapping Server chooses the OSPFv3 LSA type that will be used. If the area flooding scope is needed, an E-Intra-Area-Prefix-LSA [RFC8362] is used. If autonomous system flooding scope is needed, an E-AS-External-LSA [RFC8362] is used.

When a Prefix-SID is advertised by the Mapping Server, which is indicated by the M-flag in the Prefix-SID Sub-TLV (Section 6), the route type as implied by the LSA type is ignored and the Prefix-SID is bound to the corresponding prefix independent of the route type.

Advertisement of the Prefix-SID by the Mapping Server using an Inter-Area Prefix TLV, External-Prefix TLV, or Intra-Area-Prefix TLV [RFC8362] does not itself contribute to the prefix reachability. The NU-bit [RFC5340] MUST be set in the PrefixOptions field of the LSA which is used by the Mapping Server to advertise SID or SID Range, which prevents the advertisement from contributing to prefix reachability.

An SR Mapping Server MUST use the OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLVs when advertising SIDs for prefixes. Prefixes of different route-types can be combined in a single OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV advertised by an SR Mapping Server.

Area-scoped OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLVs are propagated between areas, similar to propagation of prefixes between areas. Same rules that are used for propagating prefixes between areas [RFC5340] are used for the propagation of the prefix ranges.

8.2. Inter-area Segment routing in OSPFv3

In order to support SR in a multi-area environment, OSPFv3 MUST propagate Prefix-SID information between areas. The following procedure is used to propagate Prefix SIDs between areas.

When an OSPFv3 ABR advertises an Inter-Area-Prefix-LSA from an intra-area prefix to all its connected areas, it will also include the Prefix-SID Sub-TLV, as described in Section 6. The Prefix-SID value will be set as follows:

The ABR will look at its best path to the prefix in the source area and find the advertising router associated with the best path to that prefix.

The ABR will then determine if such router advertised a Prefix-SID for the prefix and use it when advertising the Prefix-SID to other connected areas.

If no Prefix-SID was advertised for the prefix in the source area by the router that contributes to the best path to the prefix, the originating ABR will use the Prefix-SID advertised by any other router when propagating the Prefix-SID for the prefix to other areas.

When an OSPFv3 ABR advertises Inter-Area-Prefix-LSA LSAs from an inter-area route to all its connected areas, it will also include the Prefix-SID Sub-TLV, as described in Section 6. The Prefix-SID value will be set as follows:

The ABR will look at its best path to the prefix in the backbone area and find the advertising router associated with the best path to that prefix.

The ABR will then determine if such router advertised a Prefix-SID for the prefix and use it when advertising the Prefix-SID to other connected areas.

If no Prefix-SID was advertised for the prefix in the backbone area by the ABR that contributes to the best path to the prefix, the originating ABR will use the Prefix-SID advertised by any other router when propagating the Prefix-SID for the prefix to other areas.

8.3. Segment Routing for External Prefixes

AS-External-LSAs are flooded domain wide. When an ASBR, which supports SR, originates an E-AS-External-LSA, it SHOULD also include a Prefix-SID Sub-TLV, as described in Section 6. The Prefix-SID value will be set to the SID that has been reserved for that prefix.

When an NSSA [RFC3101] ABR translates an E-NSSA-LSA into an E-AS-External-LSA, it SHOULD also advertise the Prefix-SID for the prefix. The NSSA ABR determines its best path to the prefix advertised in the translated E-NSSA-LSA and finds the advertising router associated with that path. If the advertising router has advertised a Prefix-SID for the prefix, then the NSSA ABR uses it when advertising the Prefix-SID for the E-AS-External-LSA. Otherwise, the Prefix-SID advertised by any other router will be used.

8.4. Advertisement of Adj-SID

The Adjacency Segment Routing Identifier (Adj-SID) is advertised using the Adj-SID Sub-TLV as described in Section 7.

8.4.1. Advertisement of Adj-SID on Point-to-Point Links

An Adj-SID MAY be advertised for any adjacency on a P2P link that is in neighbor state 2-Way or higher. If the adjacency on a P2P link transitions from the FULL state, then the Adj-SID for that adjacency MAY be removed from the area. If the adjacency transitions to a state lower than 2-Way, then the Adj-SID advertisement MUST be withdrawn from the area.

8.4.2. Adjacency SID on Broadcast or NBMA Interfaces

Broadcast, NBMA, or hybrid [RFC6845] networks in OSPFv3 are represented by a star topology where the DR is the central point to which all other routers on the broadcast, NBMA, or hybrid network connect. As a result, routers on the broadcast, NBMA, or hybrid network advertise only their adjacency to the DR. Routers that do not act as DR do not form or advertise adjacencies with each other. They do, however, maintain 2-Way adjacency state with each other and are directly reachable.

When Segment Routing is used, each router on the broadcast, NBMA, or hybrid network MAY advertise the Adj-SID for its adjacency to the DR using the Adj-SID Sub-TLV as described in Section 7.1.

SR-capable routers MAY also advertise a LAN-Adj-SID for other neighbors (e.g., BDR, DR-OTHER) on the broadcast, NBMA, or hybrid network using the LAN-Adj-SID Sub-TLV as described in Section 7.2.

9. IANA Considerations

This specification updates several existing OSPFv3 registries.

9.1. OSPFv3 Extended-LSA TLV Registry

Following values are allocated:

- o 9 - OSPFv3 Extended Prefix Range TLV

9.2. OSPFv3 Extended-LSA Sub-TLV registry

- o 4 - Prefix SID Sub-TLV
- o 5 - Adj-SID Sub-TLV
- o 6 - LAN Adj-SID Sub-TLV
- o 7 - SID/Label Sub-TLV

10. Security Considerations

With the OSPFv3 segment routing extensions defined herein, OSPFv3 will now program the MPLS data plane [RFC3031]. Previously, LDP [RFC5036] or another label distribution mechanism was required to advertise MPLS labels and program the MPLS data plane.

In general, the same types of attacks that can be carried out on the IP control plane can be carried out on the MPLS control plane resulting in traffic being misrouted in the respective data planes. However, the latter can be more difficult to detect and isolate.

Existing security extensions as described in [RFC5340] and [RFC8362] apply to these segment routing extensions. While OSPFv3 is under a single administrative domain, there can be deployments where potential attackers have access to one or more networks in the OSPFv3 routing domain. In these deployments, stronger authentication mechanisms such as those specified in [RFC4552] or [RFC7166] SHOULD be used.

Implementations MUST assure that malformed TLV and Sub-TLV defined in this document are detected and do not provide a vulnerability for attackers to crash the OSPFv3 router or routing process. Reception of a malformed TLV or Sub-TLV SHOULD be counted and/or logged for further analysis. Logging of malformed TLVs and Sub-TLVs SHOULD be rate-limited to prevent a Denial of Service (DoS) attack (distributed or otherwise) from overloading the OSPFv3 control plane.

11. Contributors

The following people gave a substantial contribution to the content of this document and should be considered as co-authors:

Clarence Filsfils
Cisco Systems, Inc.
Brussels
Belgium

Email: cfilsfil@cisco.com

Hannes Gredler
RtBrick Inc.
Austria

Email: hannes@rtbrick.com

Rob Shakir
Google, Inc.
1600 Amphitheatre Parkway
Mountain View, CA 94043
US

Email: robjs@google.com

Wim Henderickx
Nokia
Copernicuslaan 50
Antwerp 2018
BE

Email: wim.henderickx@nokia.com

Jeff Tantsura
Nuage Networks
US

Email: jefftant.ietf@gmail.com

Thanks to Acee Lindem for his substantial contribution to the content of this document.

We would like to thank Anton Smirnov for his contribution as well.

12. References

12.1. Normative References

- [ALGOREG] "IGP Algorithm Types", <<https://www.iana.org/assignments/igp-parameters/igp-parameters.xhtml#igp-algorithm-types>>.
- [I-D.ietf-ospf-segment-routing-extensions]
Psenak, P., Previdi, S., Filsfils, C., Gredler, H., Shakir, R., Henderickx, W., and J. Tantsura, "OSPF Extensions for Segment Routing", draft-ietf-ospf-segment-routing-extensions-27 (work in progress), December 2018.
- [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop]
Bashandy, A., Filsfils, C., Previdi, S., Decraene, B., and S. Litkowski, "Segment Routing interworking with LDP", draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop-15 (work in progress), September 2018.
- [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls]
Bashandy, A., Filsfils, C., Previdi, S., Decraene, B., Litkowski, S., and R. Shakir, "Segment Routing with MPLS data plane", draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls-18 (work in progress), December 2018.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC3031] Rosen, E., Viswanathan, A., and R. Callon, "Multiprotocol Label Switching Architecture", RFC 3031, DOI 10.17487/RFC3031, January 2001, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3031>>.
- [RFC3101] Murphy, P., "The OSPF Not-So-Stubby Area (NSSA) Option", RFC 3101, DOI 10.17487/RFC3101, January 2003, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3101>>.
- [RFC5036] Andersson, L., Ed., Minei, I., Ed., and B. Thomas, Ed., "LDP Specification", RFC 5036, DOI 10.17487/RFC5036, October 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5036>>.
- [RFC5340] Coltun, R., Ferguson, D., Moy, J., and A. Lindem, "OSPF for IPv6", RFC 5340, DOI 10.17487/RFC5340, July 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5340>>.

- [RFC5462] Andersson, L. and R. Asati, "Multiprotocol Label Switching (MPLS) Label Stack Entry: "EXP" Field Renamed to "Traffic Class" Field", RFC 5462, DOI 10.17487/RFC5462, February 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5462>>.
- [RFC6845] Sheth, N., Wang, L., and J. Zhang, "OSPF Hybrid Broadcast and Point-to-Multipoint Interface Type", RFC 6845, DOI 10.17487/RFC6845, January 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6845>>.
- [RFC7770] Lindem, A., Ed., Shen, N., Vasseur, JP., Aggarwal, R., and S. Shaffer, "Extensions to OSPF for Advertising Optional Router Capabilities", RFC 7770, DOI 10.17487/RFC7770, February 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7770>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8362] Lindem, A., Roy, A., Goethals, D., Reddy Vallem, V., and F. Baker, "OSPFv3 Link State Advertisement (LSA) Extensibility", RFC 8362, DOI 10.17487/RFC8362, April 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8362>>.
- [RFC8402] Filsfils, C., Ed., Previdi, S., Ed., Ginsberg, L., Decraene, B., Litkowski, S., and R. Shakir, "Segment Routing Architecture", RFC 8402, DOI 10.17487/RFC8402, July 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8402>>.

12.2. Informative References

- [RFC4552] Gupta, M. and N. Melam, "Authentication/Confidentiality for OSPFv3", RFC 4552, DOI 10.17487/RFC4552, June 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4552>>.
- [RFC7166] Bhatia, M., Manral, V., and A. Lindem, "Supporting Authentication Trailer for OSPFv3", RFC 7166, DOI 10.17487/RFC7166, March 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7166>>.
- [RFC7855] Previdi, S., Ed., Filsfils, C., Ed., Decraene, B., Litkowski, S., Horneffer, M., and R. Shakir, "Source Packet Routing in Networking (SPRING) Problem Statement and Requirements", RFC 7855, DOI 10.17487/RFC7855, May 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7855>>.

Authors' Addresses

Peter Psenak (editor)
Cisco Systems, Inc.
Eurovea Centre, Central 3
Pribinova Street 10
Bratislava 81109
Slovakia

Email: ppsenak@cisco.com

Stefano Previdi (editor)
Individual

Email: stefano.previdi@net

Open Shortest Path First IGP
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: June 6, 2019

P. Psenak, Ed.
S. Previdi, Ed.
C. Filsfils
Cisco Systems, Inc.
H. Gredler
RtBrick Inc.
R. Shakir
Google, Inc.
W. Henderickx
Nokia
J. Tantsura
Apstra, Inc.
December 3, 2018

OSPF Extensions for Segment Routing
draft-ietf-ospf-segment-routing-extensions-27

Abstract

Segment Routing (SR) allows a flexible definition of end-to-end paths within IGP topologies by encoding paths as sequences of topological sub-paths, called "segments". These segments are advertised by the link-state routing protocols (IS-IS and OSPF).

This draft describes the OSPFv2 extensions required for Segment Routing.

Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <https://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on June 6, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<https://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|----|
| 1. Introduction | 3 |
| 2. Segment Routing Identifiers | 3 |
| 2.1. SID/Label Sub-TLV | 4 |
| 3. Segment Routing Capabilities | 4 |
| 3.1. SR-Algorithm TLV | 4 |
| 3.2. SID/Label Range TLV | 6 |
| 3.3. SR Local Block TLV | 8 |
| 3.4. SRMS Preference TLV | 10 |
| 4. OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLV | 11 |
| 5. Prefix SID Sub-TLV | 13 |
| 6. Adjacency Segment Identifier (Adj-SID) | 16 |
| 6.1. Adj-SID Sub-TLV | 17 |
| 6.2. LAN Adj-SID Sub-TLV | 18 |
| 7. Elements of Procedure | 19 |
| 7.1. Intra-area Segment routing in OSPFv2 | 19 |
| 7.2. Inter-area Segment routing in OSPFv2 | 20 |
| 7.3. Segment Routing for External Prefixes | 21 |
| 7.4. Advertisement of Adj-SID | 22 |
| 7.4.1. Advertisement of Adj-SID on Point-to-Point Links | 22 |
| 7.4.2. Adjacency SID on Broadcast or NBMA Interfaces | 22 |
| 8. IANA Considerations | 22 |
| 8.1. OSPF Router Information (RI) TLVs Registry | 22 |
| 8.2. OSPFv2 Extended Prefix Opaque LSA TLVs Registry | 23 |
| 8.3. OSPFv2 Extended Prefix TLV Sub-TLVs Registry | 23 |
| 8.4. OSPFv2 Extended Link TLV Sub-TLVs Registry | 23 |
| 8.5. IGP Algorithm Type Registry | 23 |
| 9. Implementation Status | 24 |
| 10. Security Considerations | 25 |
| 11. Contributors | 26 |

| | |
|--|----|
| 12. Acknowledgements | 26 |
| 13. References | 26 |
| 13.1. Normative References | 26 |
| 13.2. Informative References | 27 |
| Authors' Addresses | 28 |

1. Introduction

Segment Routing (SR) allows a flexible definition of end-to-end paths within IGP topologies by encoding paths as sequences of topological sub-paths, called "segments". These segments are advertised by the link-state routing protocols (IS-IS and OSPF). Prefix segments represent an ECMP-aware shortest-path to a prefix (or a node), as per the state of the IGP topology. Adjacency segments represent a hop over a specific adjacency between two nodes in the IGP. A prefix segment is typically a multi-hop path while an adjacency segment, in most cases, is a one-hop path. SR's control-plane can be applied to both IPv6 and MPLS data-planes, and does not require any additional signalling (other than IGP extensions). The IPv6 data plane is out of the scope of this specification - it is not applicable to OSPFv2 which only supports the IPv4 address-family. When used in MPLS networks, SR paths do not require any LDP or RSVP-TE signalling. However, SR can interoperate in the presence of LSPs established with RSVP or LDP.

There are additional segment types, e.g., Binding SID defined in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing].

This draft describes the OSPF extensions required for Segment Routing.

Segment Routing architecture is described in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing].

Segment Routing use cases are described in [RFC7855].


2. Segment Routing Identifiers

Segment Routing defines various types of Segment Identifiers (SIDs): Prefix-SID, Adjacency-SID, LAN Adjacency SID, and Binding SID.

Extended Prefix/Link Opaque LSAs defined in [RFC7684] are used for advertisements of the various SID types.

2.1. SID/Label Sub-TLV

The SID/Label Sub-TLV appears in multiple TLVs or Sub-TLVs defined later in this document. It is used to advertise the SID or label associated with a prefix or adjacency. The SID/Label Sub-TLV has following format:



where:

Type: 1

Length: Variable, 3 or 4 octet

SID/Label: If length is set to 3, then the 20 rightmost bits represent a label. If length is set to 4, then the value represents a 32-bit SID.

The receiving router MUST ignore the SID/Label Sub-TLV if the length is other than 3 or 4.

3. Segment Routing Capabilities

Segment Routing requires some additional router capabilities to be advertised to other routers in the area.


These SR capabilities are advertised in the Router Information Opaque LSA (defined in [RFC7770]). The TLVs defined below are applicable to both OSPFv2 and OSPFv3; see also [I-D.ietf-ospf-ospfv3-segment-routing-extensions]

3.1. SR-Algorithm TLV

The SR-Algorithm TLV is a top-level TLV of the Router Information Opaque LSA (defined in [RFC7770]).

The SR-Algorithm TLV is optional. It SHOULD only be advertised once in the Router Information Opaque LSA. If the SR-Algorithm TLV is not advertised by the node, such node is considered as not being segment routing capable.

An SR Router can use various algorithms when calculating reachability to OSPF routers or prefixes in an OSPF area. Examples of these algorithms are metric based Shortest Path First (SPF), various flavors of Constrained SPF, etc. The SR-Algorithm TLV allows a router to advertise the algorithms currently used by the router to other routers in an OSPF area. The SR-Algorithm TLV has following format:



where:

Type: 8

Variable, in octets, dependent on number of algorithms advertised.

Algorithm: Single octet identifying the algorithm. The following values are defined by this document:

0: Shortest Path First (SPF) algorithm based on link metric. This is the standard shortest path algorithm as computed by the OSPF protocol. Consistent with the deployed practice for link-state protocols, Algorithm 0 permits any node to overwrite the SPF path with a different path based on its local policy. If the SR-Algorithm TLV is advertised, Algorithm 0 MUST be included.

1: Strict Shortest Path First (SPF) algorithm based on link metric. The algorithm is identical to Algorithm 0 but Algorithm 1 requires that all nodes along the path will honor the SPF routing decision. Local policy at the node claiming support for Algorithm 1 MUST NOT alter the SPF paths computed by Algorithm 1.

When multiple SR-Algorithm TLVs are received from a given router, the receiver MUST use the first occurrence of the TLV in the Router Information LSA. If the SR-Algorithm TLV appears in multiple Router Information LSAs that have different flooding scopes, the SR-Algorithm TLV in the Router Information LSA with the area-scoped flooding scope MUST be used. If the SR-Algorithm TLV appears in

multiple Router Information LSAs that have the same flooding scope, the SR-Algorithm TLV in the Router Information (RI) LSA with the numerically smallest Instance ID MUST be used and subsequent instances of the SR-Algorithm TLV MUST be ignored.


The RI LSA can be advertised at any of the defined opaque flooding scopes (link, area, or Autonomous System (AS)). For the purpose of SR-Algorithm TLV advertisement, area-scoped flooding is REQUIRED.

3.2. SID/Label Range TLV

Prefix SIDs MAY be advertised in a form of an index as described in Section 5. Such index defines the offset in the SID/Label space advertised by the router. The SID/Label Range TLV is used to advertise such SID/Label space.

The SID/Label Range TLV is a top-level TLV of the Router Information Opaque LSA (defined in [RFC7770]).

The SID/Label Range TLV MAY appear multiple times and has the following format:



where:

Type: 9

Length: Variable, in octets, dependent on Sub-TLVs.

Range Size: 3-octet SID/label range size (i.e., the number of SIDs or labels in the range including the first SID/label). It MUST be greater than 0.

Reserved: SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and MUST be ignored on reception.

Initially, the only supported Sub-TLV is the SID/Label Sub-TLV as defined in Section 2.1. The SID/Label Sub-TLV MUST be included in the SID/Label Range TLV. The SID/Label advertised in the SID/Label Sub-TLV represents the first SID/Label in the advertised range.

Only a single SID/Label Sub-TLV MAY be advertised in SID/Label Range TLV. If more than one SID/Label Sub-TLVs are present, the SID/Label Range TLV MUST be ignored.

Multiple occurrences of the SID/Label Range TLV MAY be advertised, in order to advertise multiple ranges. In such case:

- o The originating router MUST encode each range into a different SID/Label Range TLV.
- o The originating router decides the order in which the set of SID/Label Range TLVs are advertised inside the Router Information Opaque LSA. The originating router MUST ensure the order is the same after a graceful restart (using checkpointing, non-volatile storage, or any other mechanism) in order to assure the SID/label range and SID index correspondence is preserved across graceful restarts.
- o The receiving router MUST adhere to the order in which the ranges are advertised when calculating a SID/label from a SID index.
- o The originating router MUST NOT advertise overlapping ranges.
- o When a router receives multiple overlapping ranges, it MUST conform to the procedures defined in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-mps].

The following example illustrates the advertisement of multiple ranges:

The originating router advertises the following ranges:

```
Range 1: Range Size: 100   SID/Label Sub-TLV: 100
Range 1: Range Size: 100   SID/Label Sub-TLV: 1000
Range 1: Range Size: 100   SID/Label Sub-TLV: 500
```

The receiving routers concatenate the ranges and build the Segment Routing Global Block (SRGB) as follows:

```
SRGB = [100, 199]
       [1000, 1099]
       [500, 599]
```

The indexes span multiple ranges:

```
index=0 means label 100
...
index 99 means label 199
index 100 means label 1000
index 199 means label 1099
...
index 200 means label 500
...
```


The RI LSA can be advertised at any of the defined flooding scopes (link, area, or autonomous system (AS)). For the purpose of SID/Label Range TLV advertisement, area-scoped flooding is REQUIRED.

3.3. SR Local Block TLV

The SR Local Block TLV (SRLB TLV) contains the range of labels the node has reserved for local SIDs. SIDs from the SRLB MAY be used for Adjacency-SIDs, but also by components other than the OSPF protocol. As an example, an application or a controller can instruct the router to allocate a specific local SID. Some controllers or applications can use the control plane to discover the available set of local SIDs on a particular router. In such cases, the SRLB is advertised in the control plane. The requirement to advertise the SRLB is further described in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls]. The SRLB TLV is used to advertise the SRLB.

The SRLB TLV is a top-level TLV of the Router Information Opaque LSA (defined in [RFC7770]).

The SRLB TLV MAY appear multiple times in the Router Information Opaque LSA and has the following format:



where:

Type: 14

Length: Variable, in octets, dependent on Sub-TLVs.

Range Size: 3-octet SID/label range size (i.e., the number of SIDs or labels in the range including the first SID/label). It MUST be greater than 0.

Reserved: SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and MUST be ignored on reception.

Initially, the only supported Sub-TLV is the SID/Label Sub-TLV as defined in Section 2.1. The SID/Label Sub-TLV MUST be included in the SRLB TLV. The SID/Label advertised in the SID/Label Sub-TLV represents the first SID/Label in the advertised range.

Only a single SID/Label Sub-TLV MAY be advertised in the SRLB TLV. If more than one SID/Label Sub-TLVs are present, the SRLB TLV MUST be ignored.

The originating router MUST NOT advertise overlapping ranges.

Each time a SID from the SRLB is allocated, it SHOULD also be reported to all components (e.g., controller or applications) in order for these components to have an up-to-date view of the current SRLB allocation. This is required to avoid collisions between allocation instructions.

Within the context of OSPF, the reporting of local SIDs is done through OSPF Sub-TLVs such as the Adjacency-SID (Section 6). However, the reporting of allocated local SIDs can also be done through other means and protocols which are outside the scope of this document.

A router advertising the SRLB TLV MAY also have other label ranges, outside of the SRLB, used for its local allocation purposes which are not advertised in the SRLB TLV. For example, it is possible that an Adjacency-SID is allocated using a local label that is not part of the SRLB.


The RI LSA can be advertised at any of the defined flooding scopes (link, area, or autonomous system (AS)). For the purpose of SRLB TLV advertisement, area-scoped flooding is REQUIRED.

3.4. SRMS Preference TLV

The Segment Routing Mapping Server Preference TLV (SRMS Preference TLV) is used to advertise a preference associated with the node that acts as an SR Mapping Server. The role of an SRMS is described in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop]. SRMS preference is defined in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop].

The SRMS Preference TLV is a top-level TLV of the Router Information Opaque LSA (defined in [RFC7770]).

The SRMS Preference TLV MAY only be advertised once in the Router Information Opaque LSA and has the following format:



where:

Type: 15

Length: 4 octets

Preference: 1 octet. SRMS preference value from 0 to 255.

Reserved: SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and MUST be ignored on reception.

When multiple SRMS Preference TLVs are received from a given router, the receiver MUST use the first occurrence of the TLV in the Router Information LSA. If the SRMS Preference TLV appears in multiple Router Information LSAs that have different flooding scopes, the SRMS Preference TLV in the Router Information LSA with the narrowest

flooding scope MUST be used. If the SRMS Preference TLV appears in multiple Router Information LSAs that have the same flooding scope, the SRMS Preference TLV in the Router Information LSA with the numerically smallest Instance ID MUST be used and subsequent instances of the SRMS Preference TLV MUST be ignored.


The RI LSA can be advertised at any of the defined flooding scopes (link, area, or autonomous system (AS)). For the purpose of the SRMS Preference TLV advertisement, AS-scoped flooding SHOULD be used. This is because SRMS servers can be located in a different area than consumers of the SRMS advertisements. If the SRMS advertisements from the SRMS server are only used inside the SRMS server's area, area-scoped flooding MAY be used.

4. OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLV

In some cases it is useful to advertise attributes for a range of prefixes. The Segment Routing Mapping Server, which is described in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop], is an example where we need a single advertisement to advertise SIDs for multiple prefixes from a contiguous address range.

The OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLV, which is a top level TLV of the Extended Prefix LSA described in [RFC7684] is defined for this purpose.

Multiple OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLVs MAY be advertised in each OSPF Extended Prefix Opaque LSA, but all prefix ranges included in a single OSPF Extended Prefix Opaque LSA MUST have the same flooding scope. The OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLV has the following format:



where:

Type: 2

Length: Variable, in octets, dependent on Sub-TLVs.

Prefix length: Length of prefix in bits.

AF: Address family for the prefix. Currently, the only supported value is 0 for IPv4 unicast. The inclusion of address family in this TLV allows for future extension.

Range size: Represents the number of prefixes that are covered by the advertisement. The Range Size MUST NOT exceed the number of prefixes that could be satisfied by the prefix length without including the IPv4 multicast address range (224.0.0.0/3).

Flags: Single octet field. The following flags are defined:

```

  0  1  2  3  4  5  6  7
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+
| IA |   |   |   |   |   |   |   |
+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+---+

```

where:

IA-Flag: Inter-Area flag. If set, advertisement is of inter-area type. An ABR that is advertising the OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLV between areas MUST set this bit.

This bit is used to prevent redundant flooding of Prefix Range TLVs between areas as follows:

An ABR only propagates an inter-area Prefix Range advertisement from the backbone area to connected non-backbone areas if the advertisement is considered to be the best one. The following rules are used to select the best range from the set of advertisements for the same Prefix Range:

An ABR always prefers intra-area Prefix Range advertisements over inter-area advertisements.


An ABR does not consider inter-area Prefix Range advertisements coming from non-backbone areas.

Reserved: SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and MUST be ignored on reception.

Address Prefix: For the address family IPv4 unicast, the prefix itself is encoded as a 32-bit value. The default route is represented by a prefix of length 0. Prefix encoding for other address families is beyond the scope of this specification.

5. Prefix SID Sub-TLV

The Prefix SID Sub-TLV is a Sub-TLV of the OSPF Extended Prefix TLV described in [RFC7684] and the OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLV described in Section 4. It MAY appear more than once in the parent TLV and has the following format:




where:

Type: 2

Length: 7 or 8 octets, dependent on the V-flag

Flags: Single octet field. The following flags are defined:



where:

NP-Flag: No-PHP flag. If set, then the penultimate hop MUST NOT pop the Prefix-SID before delivering packets to the node that advertised the Prefix-SID.

M-Flag: Mapping Server Flag. If set, the SID was advertised by a Segment Routing Mapping Server as described in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop].

E-Flag: Explicit-Null Flag. If set, any upstream neighbor of the Prefix-SID originator MUST replace the Prefix-SID with the Explicit-NULL label (0 for IPv4) before forwarding the packet.

V-Flag: Value/Index Flag. If set, then the Prefix-SID carries an absolute value. If not set, then the Prefix-SID carries an index.

L-Flag: Local/Global Flag. If set, then the value/index carried by the Prefix-SID has local significance. If not set, then the value/index carried by this Sub-TLV has global significance.

Other bits: Reserved. These MUST be zero when sent and are ignored when received.

Reserved: SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and MUST be ignored on reception.

MT-ID: Multi-Topology ID (as defined in [RFC4915]).

Algorithm: Single octet identifying the algorithm the Prefix-SID is associated with as defined in Section 3.1.

A router receiving a Prefix-SID from a remote node and with an algorithm value that such remote node has not advertised in the SR-Algorithm Sub-TLV (Section 3.1) MUST ignore the Prefix-SID Sub-TLV.

SID/Index/Label: According to the V and L flags, it contains:

V-flag is set to 0 and L-flag is set to 0: The SID/Index/Label field is a 4 octet index defining the offset in the SID/Label space advertised by this router

V-flag is set to 1 and L-flag is set to 1: The SID/Index/Label field is a 3 octet local label where the 20 rightmost bits are used for encoding the label value.

All other combinations of V-flag and L-flag are invalid and any SID advertisement received with an invalid setting for V and L flags MUST be ignored.

If an OSPF router advertises multiple Prefix-SIDs for the same prefix, topology and algorithm, all of them MUST be ignored.

When calculating the outgoing label for the prefix, the router MUST take into account, as described below, the E, NP and M flags

advertised by the next-hop router if that router advertised the SID for the prefix. This MUST be done regardless of whether the next-hop router contributes to the best path to the prefix.

The NP-Flag (No-PHP) MUST be set and the E-flag MUST be clear for Prefix-SIDs allocated to inter-area prefixes that are originated by the ABR based on intra-area or inter-area reachability between areas, unless the advertised prefix is directly attached to the ABR.

The NP-Flag (No-PHP) MUST be set and the E-flag MUST be clear for Prefix-SIDs allocated to redistributed prefixes, unless the redistributed prefix is directly attached to the ASBR.

If the NP-Flag is not set, then any upstream neighbor of the Prefix-SID originator MUST pop the Prefix-SID. This is equivalent to the penultimate hop popping mechanism used in the MPLS dataplane. If the NP-flag is not set, then the received E-flag is ignored.

If the NP-flag is set then:

If the E-flag is not set, then any upstream neighbor of the Prefix-SID originator MUST keep the Prefix-SID on top of the stack. This is useful when the originator of the Prefix-SID need to stitch the incoming packet into a continuing MPLS LSP to the final destination. This could occur at an Area Border Router (prefix propagation from one area to another) or at an AS Boundary Router (prefix propagation from one domain to another).

If the E-flag is set, then any upstream neighbor of the Prefix-SID originator MUST replace the Prefix-SID with an Explicit-NULL label. This is useful, e.g., when the originator of the Prefix-SID is the final destination for the related prefix and the originator wishes to receive the packet with the original EXP bits.

When the M-Flag is set, the NP-flag and the E-flag MUST be ignored at reception.

As the Mapping Server does not specify the originator of a prefix advertisement, it is not possible to determine PHP behavior solely based on the Mapping Server advertisement. However, PHP behavior SHOULD be done in following cases:

The Prefix is intra-area type and the downstream neighbor is the originator of the prefix.

The Prefix is inter-area type and downstream neighbor is an ABR, which is advertising prefix reachability and is also generating

the Extended Prefix TLV with the A-flag set for this prefix as described in section 2.1 of [RFC7684].

The Prefix is external type and downstream neighbor is an ASBR, which is advertising prefix reachability and is also generating the Extended Prefix TLV with the A-flag set for this prefix as described in section 2.1 of [RFC7684].

When a Prefix-SID is advertised in an Extended Prefix Range TLV, then the value advertised in the Prefix SID Sub-TLV is interpreted as a starting SID/Label value.

Example 1: If the following router addresses (loopback addresses) need to be mapped into the corresponding Prefix SID indexes:

```
Router-A: 192.0.2.1/32, Prefix-SID: Index 1
Router-B: 192.0.2.2/32, Prefix-SID: Index 2
Router-C: 192.0.2.3/32, Prefix-SID: Index 3
Router-D: 192.0.2.4/32, Prefix-SID: Index 4
```

then the Prefix field in the Extended Prefix Range TLV would be set to 192.0.2.1, Prefix Length would be set to 32, Range Size would be set to 4, and the Index value in the Prefix-SID Sub-TLV would be set to 1.

Example 2: If the following prefixes need to be mapped into the corresponding Prefix-SID indexes:

```
192.0.2.0/30, Prefix-SID: Index 51
192.0.2.4/30, Prefix-SID: Index 52
192.0.2.8/30, Prefix-SID: Index 53
192.0.2.12/30, Prefix-SID: Index 54
192.0.2.16/30, Prefix-SID: Index 55
192.0.2.20/30, Prefix-SID: Index 56
192.0.2.24/30, Prefix-SID: Index 57
```


then the Prefix field in the Extended Prefix Range TLV would be set to 192.0.2.0, Prefix Length would be set to 30, Range Size would be 7, and the Index value in the Prefix-SID Sub-TLV would be set to 51.

6. Adjacency Segment Identifier (Adj-SID)

An Adjacency Segment Identifier (Adj-SID) represents a router adjacency in Segment Routing.

6.1. Adj-SID Sub-TLV

Adj-SID is an optional Sub-TLV of the Extended Link TLV defined in [RFC7684]. It MAY appear multiple times in the Extended Link TLV. The Adj-SID Sub-TLV has the following format:



where:

Type: 2

Length: 7 or 8 octets, dependent on the V flag.

Flags: Single octet field containing the following flags:



where:

B-Flag: Backup Flag. If set, the Adj-SID refers to an adjacency that is eligible for protection (e.g., using IPFRR or MPLS-FRR) as described in section 3.5 of [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing].

The V-Flag: Value/Index Flag. If set, then the Adj-SID carries an absolute value. If not set, then the Adj-SID carries an index.

The L-Flag: Local/Global Flag. If set, then the value/index carried by the Adj-SID has local significance. If not set, then the value/index carried by this Sub-TLV has global significance.

The G-Flag: Group Flag. When set, the G-Flag indicates that the Adj-SID refers to a group of adjacencies (and therefore MAY be assigned to other adjacencies as well).

P-Flag. Persistent flag. When set, the P-Flag indicates that the Adj-SID is persistently allocated, i.e., the Adj-SID value remains consistent across router restart and/or interface flap.

Other bits: Reserved. These MUST be zero when sent and are ignored when received.

Reserved: SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and MUST be ignored on reception.

MT-ID: Multi-Topology ID (as defined in [RFC4915]).

Weight: Weight used for load-balancing purposes. The use of the weight is defined in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing].

SID/Index/Label: as described in Section 5.

An SR capable router MAY allocate an Adj-SID for each of its adjacencies and set the B-Flag when the adjacency is eligible for protection by an FRR mechanism (IP or MPLS) as described in section 3.5 of [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing].


An SR capable router MAY allocate more than one Adj-SID to an adjacency

An SR capable router MAY allocate the same Adj-SID to different adjacencies

When the P-flag is not set, the Adj-SID MAY be persistent. When the P-flag is set, the Adj-SID MUST be persistent.

6.2. LAN Adj-SID Sub-TLV

LAN Adj-SID is an optional Sub-TLV of the Extended Link TLV defined in [RFC7684]. It MAY appear multiple times in the Extended-Link TLV. It is used to advertise a SID/Label for an adjacency to a non-DR router on a broadcast, NBMA, or hybrid [RFC6845] network.



where:

Type: 3

Length: 11 or 12 octets, dependent on V-flag.

Flags: same as in Section 6.1

Reserved: SHOULD be set to 0 on transmission and MUST be ignored on reception.

MT-ID: Multi-Topology ID (as defined in [RFC4915]).

Weight: Weight used for load-balancing purposes. The use of the weight is defined in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing].

Neighbor ID: The Router ID of the neighbor for which the LAN-Adj-SID is advertised.

SID/Index/Label: as described in Section 5.

When the P-flag is not set, the Adj-SID MAY be persistent. When the P-flag is set, the Adj-SID MUST be persistent.

7. Elements of Procedure

7.1. Intra-area Segment routing in OSPFv2

An OSPFv2 router that supports segment routing MAY advertise Prefix-SIDs for any prefix to which it is advertising reachability (e.g., a loopback IP address as described in Section 5).

A Prefix-SID can also be advertised by the SR Mapping Servers (as described in [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop]). A Mapping Server advertises Prefix-SIDs for remote prefixes that exist in the OSPFv2 routing domain. Multiple Mapping Servers can advertise

Prefix-SIDs for the same prefix, in which case the same Prefix-SID MUST be advertised by all of them. The flooding scope of the OSPF Extended Prefix Opaque LSA that is generated by the SR Mapping Server could be either area-scoped or AS-scoped and is determined based on the configuration of the SR Mapping Server.

An SR Mapping Server MUST use the OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLV when advertising SIDs for prefixes. Prefixes of different route-types can be combined in a single OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLV advertised by an SR Mapping Server. Because the OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLV doesn't include a Route-Type field, as in the OSPF Extended Prefix TLV, it is possible to include adjacent prefixes from different Route-Types in the OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLV.

Area-scoped OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLVs are propagated between areas. Similar to propagation of prefixes between areas, an ABR only propagates the OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLV that it considers to be the best from the set it received. The rules used to pick the best OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLV are described in Section 4.

When propagating an OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLV between areas, ABRs MUST set the IA-Flag, that is used to prevent redundant flooding of the OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLV between areas as described in Section 4.

7.2. Inter-area Segment routing in OSPFv2

In order to support SR in a multi-area environment, OSPFv2 MUST propagate Prefix-SID information between areas. The following procedure is used to propagate Prefix SIDs between areas.

When an OSPF ABR advertises a Type-3 Summary LSA from an intra-area prefix to all its connected areas, it will also originate an Extended Prefix Opaque LSA, as described in [RFC7684]. The flooding scope of the Extended Prefix Opaque LSA type will be set to area-local scope. The route-type in the OSPF Extended Prefix TLV is set to inter-area. The Prefix-SID Sub-TLV will be included in this LSA and the Prefix-SID value will be set as follows:

The ABR will look at its best path to the prefix in the source area and find the advertising router associated with the best path to that prefix.

The ABR will then determine if such router advertised a Prefix-SID for the prefix and use it when advertising the Prefix-SID to other connected areas.

If no Prefix-SID was advertised for the prefix in the source area by the router that contributes to the best path to the prefix, the originating ABR will use the Prefix-SID advertised by any other router when propagating the Prefix-SID for the prefix to other areas.

When an OSPF ABR advertises Type-3 Summary LSAs from an inter-area route to all its connected areas, it will also originate an Extended Prefix Opaque LSA, as described in [RFC7684]. The flooding scope of the Extended Prefix Opaque LSA type will be set to area-local scope. The route-type in OSPF Extended Prefix TLV is set to inter-area. The Prefix-SID Sub-TLV will be included in this LSA and the Prefix-SID will be set as follows:

The ABR will look at its best path to the prefix in the backbone area and find the advertising router associated with the best path to that prefix.

The ABR will then determine if such router advertised a Prefix-SID for the prefix and use it when advertising the Prefix-SID to other connected areas.

If no Prefix-SID was advertised for the prefix in the backbone area by the ABR that contributes to the best path to the prefix, the originating ABR will use the Prefix-SID advertised by any other router when propagating the Prefix-SID for the prefix to other areas.

7.3. Segment Routing for External Prefixes

Type-5 LSAs are flooded domain wide. When an ASBR, which supports SR, generates Type-5 LSAs, it SHOULD also originate Extended Prefix Opaque LSAs, as described in [RFC7684]. The flooding scope of the Extended Prefix Opaque LSA type is set to AS-wide scope. The route-type in the OSPF Extended Prefix TLV is set to external. The Prefix-SID Sub-TLV is included in this LSA and the Prefix-SID value will be set to the SID that has been reserved for that prefix.

When an NSSA [RFC3101] ABR translates Type-7 LSAs into Type-5 LSAs, it SHOULD also advertise the Prefix-SID for the prefix. The NSSA ABR determines its best path to the prefix advertised in the translated Type-7 LSA and finds the advertising router associated with that path. If the advertising router has advertised a Prefix-SID for the prefix, then the NSSA ABR uses it when advertising the Prefix-SID for the Type-5 prefix. Otherwise, the Prefix-SID advertised by any other router will be used.

7.4. Advertisement of Adj-SID

The Adjacency Segment Routing Identifier (Adj-SID) is advertised using the Adj-SID Sub-TLV as described in Section 6.

7.4.1. Advertisement of Adj-SID on Point-to-Point Links

An Adj-SID MAY be advertised for any adjacency on a P2P link that is in neighbor state 2-Way or higher. If the adjacency on a P2P link transitions from the FULL state, then the Adj-SID for that adjacency MAY be removed from the area. If the adjacency transitions to a state lower than 2-Way, then the Adj-SID advertisement MUST be withdrawn from the area.

7.4.2. Adjacency SID on Broadcast or NBMA Interfaces

Broadcast, NBMA, or hybrid [RFC6845] networks in OSPF are represented by a star topology where the Designated Router (DR) is the central point to which all other routers on the broadcast, NBMA, or hybrid network connect. As a result, routers on the broadcast, NBMA, or hybrid network advertise only their adjacency to the DR. Routers that do not act as DR do not form or advertise adjacencies with each other. They do, however, maintain 2-Way adjacency state with each other and are directly reachable.

When Segment Routing is used, each router on the broadcast, NBMA, or hybrid network MAY advertise the Adj-SID for its adjacency to the DR using the Adj-SID Sub-TLV as described in Section 6.1.

SR capable routers MAY also advertise a LAN-Adj-SID for other neighbors (e.g., BDR, DR-OTHER) on the broadcast, NBMA, or hybrid network using the LAN-ADJ-SID Sub-TLV as described in Section 6.2.

8. IANA Considerations

This specification updates several existing OSPF registries.

8.1. OSPF Router Information (RI) TLVs Registry

- o 8 (IANA Preallocated) - SR-Algorithm TLV
- o 9 (IANA Preallocated) - SID/Label Range TLV
- o 14 - SR Local Block TLV
- o 15 - SRMS Preference TLV

8.2. OSPFv2 Extended Prefix Opaque LSA TLVs Registry

Following values are allocated:

- o 2 - OSPF Extended Prefix Range TLV

8.3. OSPFv2 Extended Prefix TLV Sub-TLVs Registry

Following values are allocated:

- o 1 - SID/Label Sub-TLV
- o 2 - Prefix SID Sub-TLV

8.4. OSPFv2 Extended Link TLV Sub-TLVs Registry

Following initial values are allocated:

- o 1 - SID/Label Sub-TLV
- o 2 - Adj-SID Sub-TLV
- o 3 - LAN Adj-SID/Label Sub-TLV

8.5. IGP Algorithm Type Registry

IANA is requested to set up a registry called "IGP Algorithm Type" under a new category of "Interior Gateway Protocol (IGP) Parameters" IANA registries. The registration policy for this registry is "Standards Action" ([RFC8126] and [RFC7120]).

Values in this registry come from the range 0-255.

The initial values in the IGP Algorithm Type registry are:

0: Shortest Path First (SPF) algorithm based on link metric. This is the standard shortest path algorithm as computed by the IGP protocol. Consistent with the deployed practice for link-state protocols, Algorithm 0 permits any node to overwrite the SPF path with a different path based on its local policy.

1: Strict Shortest Path First (SPF) algorithm based on link metric. The algorithm is identical to Algorithm 0 but Algorithm 1 requires that all nodes along the path will honor the SPF routing decision. Local policy at the node claiming support for Algorithm 1 MUST NOT alter the SPF paths computed by Algorithm 1.

9. Implementation Status

An implementation survey with seven questions related to the implementer's support of OSPFv2 Segment Routing was sent to the OSPF WG list and several known implementers. This section contains responses from three implementers who completed the survey. No external means were used to verify the accuracy of the information submitted by the respondents. The respondents are considered experts on the products they reported on. Additionally, responses were omitted from implementers who indicated that they have not implemented the function yet.

This section will be removed before publication as an RFC.

Responses from Nokia (former Alcatel-Lucent):

Link to a web page describing the implementation:

https://infoproducts.alcatel-lucent.com/cgi-bin/dbaccessfilename.cgi/3HE10799AAAATQZZA01_V1_7450%20ESS%207750%20SR%20and%207950%20XRS%20Unicast%20Routing%20Protocols%20Guide%20R14.0.R1.pdf

The implementation's level of maturity: Production.

Coverage: We have implemented all sections and have support for the latest draft.

Licensing: Part of the software package that needs to be purchased.

Implementation experience: Great spec. We also performed interoperability testing with Cisco's OSPF Segment Routing implementation.

Contact information: wim.henderickx@nokia.com

Responses from Cisco Systems:

Link to a web page describing the implementation:

<http://www.segment-routing.net/home/tutorial>

The implementation's level of maturity: Production.

Coverage: All sections have been implemented according to the latest draft.

Licensing: Part of a commercial software package.

Implementation experience: Many aspects of the draft are result of the actual implementation experience, as the draft evolved from its

initial version to the current one. Interoperability testing with Alcatel-Lucent was performed, which confirmed the draft's ability to serve as a reference for the implementors.

Contact information: ppsenak@cisco.com

Responses from Juniper:

The implementation's name and/or a link to a web page describing the implementation:

Feature name is OSPF SPRING

The implementation's level of maturity: To be released in 16.2 (second half of 2016)

Coverage: All sections implemented except Sections 4, and 6.

Licensing: JUNOS Licensing needed.

Implementation experience: NA

Contact information: shraddha@juniper.net

10. Security Considerations

With the OSPFv2 segment routing extensions defined herein, OSPFv2 will now program the MPLS data plane [RFC3031] in addition to the IP data plane. Previously, LDP [RFC5036] or another label distribution mechanism was required to advertise MPLS labels and program the MPLS data plane.

In general, the same types of attacks that can be carried out on the IP control plane can be carried out on the MPLS control plane resulting in traffic being misrouted in the respective data planes. However, the latter can be more difficult to detect and isolate.

Existing security extensions as described in [RFC2328] and [RFC7684] apply to these segment routing extensions. While OSPF is under a single administrative domain, there can be deployments where potential attackers have access to one or more networks in the OSPF routing domain. In these deployments, stronger authentication mechanisms such as those specified in [RFC7474] SHOULD be used.

Implementations MUST assure that malformed TLV and Sub-TLV defined in this document are detected and do not provide a vulnerability for attackers to crash the OSPFv2 router or routing process. Reception of malformed TLV or Sub-TLV SHOULD be counted and/or logged for

further analysis. Logging of malformed TLVs and Sub-TLVs SHOULD be rate-limited to prevent a Denial of Service (DoS) attack (distributed or otherwise) from overloading the OSPF control plane.

11. Contributors

The following people gave a substantial contribution to the content of this document: Acee Lindem, Ahmed Bashandy, Martin Horneffer, Bruno Decraene, Stephane Litkowski, Igor Milojevic, Rob Shakir and Saku Ytti.

12. Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Anton Smirnov for his contribution.

Thanks to Acee Lindem for the detail review of the draft, corrections, as well as discussion about details of the encoding.

13. References

13.1. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing]
Filsfils, C., Previdi, S., Ginsberg, L., Decraene, B., Litkowski, S., and R. Shakir, "Segment Routing Architecture", draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing-15 (work in progress), January 2018.
- [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop]
Bashandy, A., Filsfils, C., Previdi, S., Decraene, B., and S. Litkowski, "Segment Routing interworking with LDP", draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing-ldp-interop-15 (work in progress), September 2018.
- [I-D.ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls]
Bashandy, A., Filsfils, C., Previdi, S., Decraene, B., Litkowski, S., and R. Shakir, "Segment Routing with MPLS data plane", draft-ietf-spring-segment-routing-mpls-15 (work in progress), October 2018.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC2328] Moy, J., "OSPF Version 2", STD 54, RFC 2328, DOI 10.17487/RFC2328, April 1998, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2328>>.

- [RFC3101] Murphy, P., "The OSPF Not-So-Stubby Area (NSSA) Option", RFC 3101, DOI 10.17487/RFC3101, January 2003, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3101>>.
- [RFC4915] Psenak, P., Mirtorabi, S., Roy, A., Nguyen, L., and P. Pillay-Esnault, "Multi-Topology (MT) Routing in OSPF", RFC 4915, DOI 10.17487/RFC4915, June 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4915>>.
- [RFC6845] Sheth, N., Wang, L., and J. Zhang, "OSPF Hybrid Broadcast and Point-to-Multipoint Interface Type", RFC 6845, DOI 10.17487/RFC6845, January 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6845>>.
- [RFC7120] Cotton, M., "Early IANA Allocation of Standards Track Code Points", BCP 100, RFC 7120, DOI 10.17487/RFC7120, January 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7120>>.
- [RFC7684] Psenak, P., Gredler, H., Shakir, R., Henderickx, W., Tantsura, J., and A. Lindem, "OSPFv2 Prefix/Link Attribute Advertisement", RFC 7684, DOI 10.17487/RFC7684, November 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7684>>.
- [RFC7770] Lindem, A., Ed., Shen, N., Vasseur, JP., Aggarwal, R., and S. Shaffer, "Extensions to OSPF for Advertising Optional Router Capabilities", RFC 7770, DOI 10.17487/RFC7770, February 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7770>>.
- [RFC8126] Cotton, M., Leiba, B., and T. Narten, "Guidelines for Writing an IANA Considerations Section in RFCs", BCP 26, RFC 8126, DOI 10.17487/RFC8126, June 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8126>>.

13.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-ospf-ospfv3-segment-routing-extensions]
Psenak, P. and S. Previdi, "OSPFv3 Extensions for Segment Routing", draft-ietf-ospf-ospfv3-segment-routing-extensions-18 (work in progress), November 2018.
- [RFC7474] Bhatia, M., Hartman, S., Zhang, D., and A. Lindem, Ed., "Security Extension for OSPFv2 When Using Manual Key Management", RFC 7474, DOI 10.17487/RFC7474, April 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7474>>.

[RFC7855] Previdi, S., Ed., Filsfils, C., Ed., Decraene, B., Litkowski, S., Horneffer, M., and R. Shakir, "Source Packet Routing in Networking (SPRING) Problem Statement and Requirements", RFC 7855, DOI 10.17487/RFC7855, May 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7855>>.

Authors' Addresses

Peter Psenak (editor)
Cisco Systems, Inc.
Apollo Business Center
Mlynske nivy 43
Bratislava 821 09
Slovakia

Email: ppsenak@cisco.com

Stefano Previdi (editor)
Cisco Systems, Inc.
Via Del Serafico, 200
Rome 00142
Italy

Email: stefano@previdi.net

Clarence Filsfils
Cisco Systems, Inc.
Brussels
Belgium

Email: cfilsfil@cisco.com

Hannes Gredler
RtBrick Inc.

Email: hannes@rtbrick.com

Rob Shakir
Google, Inc.
1600 Amphitheatre Parkway
Mountain View, CA 94043
US

Email: robjs@google.com

Wim Henderickx
Nokia
Copernicuslaan 50
Antwerp 2018
BE

Email: wim.henderickx@nokia.com

Jeff Tantsura
Apstra, Inc.

Email: jefftant.ietf@gmail.com

Internet
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: December 24, 2019

D. Yeung
Arccus
Y. Qu
Huawei
J. Zhang
Juniper Networks
I. Chen
The MITRE Corporation
A. Lindem
Cisco Systems
June 22, 2019

YANG Data Model for OSPF Protocol
draft-ietf-ospf-yang-22

Abstract

This document defines a YANG data model that can be used to configure and manage OSPF. The model is based on YANG1.1 as defined in RFC 7950 and conforms to the Network Management Datastore Architecture (NDMA) as described in RFC 8342.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 24, 2019.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2019 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of

publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

| | |
|---|-----|
| 1. Overview | 2 |
| 1.1. Requirements Language | 3 |
| 1.2. Tree Diagrams | 3 |
| 2. Design of Data Model | 3 |
| 2.1. OSPF Operational State | 3 |
| 2.2. Overview | 4 |
| 2.3. OSPFv2 and OSPFv3 | 5 |
| 2.4. Optional Features | 5 |
| 2.5. OSPF Router Configuration/Operational State | 7 |
| 2.6. OSPF Area Configuration/Operational State | 10 |
| 2.7. OSPF Interface Configuration/Operational State | 16 |
| 2.8. OSPF notification | 19 |
| 2.9. OSPF RPC Operations | 22 |
| 3. OSPF YANG Module | 23 |
| 4. Security Considerations | 115 |
| 5. IANA Considerations | 116 |
| 6. Acknowledgements | 116 |
| 7. References | 117 |
| 7.1. Normative References | 117 |
| 7.2. Informative References | 122 |
| Appendix A. Contributors' Addresses | 124 |
| Authors' Addresses | 124 |

1. Overview

YANG [RFC6020][RFC7950] is a data definition language used to define the contents of a conceptual data store that allows networked devices to be managed using NETCONF [RFC6241]. YANG is proving relevant beyond its initial confines, as bindings to other interfaces (e.g., ReST) and encodings other than XML (e.g., JSON) are being defined. Furthermore, YANG data models can be used as the basis for implementation of other interfaces, such as CLI and programmatic APIs.

This document defines a YANG data model that can be used to configure and manage OSPF and it is an augmentation to the core routing data model. It fully conforms to the Network Management Datastore Architecture (NDMA) [RFC8342]. A core routing data model is defined in [RFC8349], and it provides the basis for the development of data

models for routing protocols. The interface data model is defined in [RFC8343] and is used for referencing interfaces from the routing protocol. The key-chain data model used for OSPF authentication is defined in [RFC8177] and provides both a reference to configured key-chains and an enumeration of cryptographic algorithms.

Both OSPFv2 [RFC2328] and OSPFv3 [RFC5340] are supported. In addition to the core OSPF protocol, features described in other OSPF RFCs are also supported. These includes demand circuit [RFC1793], traffic engineering [RFC3630], multiple address family [RFC5838], graceful restart [RFC3623] [RFC5187], NSSA [RFC3101], and OSPFv2 or OSPFv3 as a PE-CE Protocol [RFC4577], [RFC6565]. These non-core features are optional in the OSPF data model.

1.1. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "NOT RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in BCP 14 [RFC2119] [RFC8174] when, and only when, they appear in all capitals, as shown here.

1.2. Tree Diagrams

This document uses the graphical representation of data models defined in [RFC8340].

2. Design of Data Model

Although the basis of OSPF configuration elements like routers, areas, and interfaces remains the same, the detailed configuration model varies among router vendors. Differences are observed in terms of how the protocol instance is tied to the routing domain, how multiple protocol instances are be instantiated among others.

The goal of this document is to define a data model that provides a common user interface to the OSPFv2 and OSPFv3 protocols. There is very little information that is designated as "mandatory", providing freedom for vendors to adapt this data model to their respective product implementations.

2.1. OSPF Operational State

The OSPF operational state is included in the same tree as OSPF configuration consistent with the Network Management Datastore Architecture [RFC8342]. Consequently, only the routing container in the ietf-routing model [RFC8349] is augmented. The routing-state container is not augmented.

2.2. Overview

The OSPF YANG module defined in this document has all the common building blocks for the OSPF protocol.

The OSPF YANG module augments the /routing/control-plane-protocols/control-plane-protocol path defined in the ietf-routing module. The ietf-ospf model defines a single instance of OSPF which may be instantiated as an OSPFv2 or OSPFv3 instance. Multiple instances are instantiated as multiple control-plane protocols instances.

```

module: ietf-ospf
  augment /rt:routing/rt:control-plane-protocols/
    rt:control-plane-protocol:
      +--rw ospf
         .
         .
         +--rw operation-mode?          identityref
         +--rw af?                      identityref
         .
         .
         +--rw areas
            +--rw area* [area-id]
               +--rw area-id          area-id-type
               .
               +--rw virtual-links
                  +--rw virtual-link* [transit-area-id router-id]
                  .
                  .
                  +--rw sham-links {pe-ce-protocol}?
                     +--rw sham-link* [local-id remote-id]
                     .
                     .
                  +--rw interfaces
                     +--rw interface* [name]
                     .
                     .
            +--rw topologies {multi-topology}?
               +--rw topology* [name]
               .
               .

```

The ospf module is intended to match to the vendor specific OSPF configuration construct that is identified by the local identifier 'name'.

The `ospf` container includes one OSPF protocol instance. The instance includes OSPF router level configuration and operational state.

The `area` and `area/interface` containers respectively define the OSPF configuration and operational state for OSPF areas and interfaces.

The `topologies` container defines the OSPF configuration and operational state for OSPF topologies when the multi-topology feature is supported.

2.3. OSPFv2 and OSPFv3

The data model defined herein supports both OSPFv2 and OSPFv3.

The field `'version'` is used to indicate the OSPF version and is mandatory. Based on the configured version, the data model varies to accommodate the differences between OSPFv2 and OSPFv3.

2.4. Optional Features

Optional features are beyond the basic OSPF configuration and it is the responsibility of each vendor to decide whether to support a given feature on a particular device.

This model defines the following optional features:

1. `multi-topology`: Support Multi-Topology Routing (MTR) [RFC4915].
2. `multi-area-adj`: Support OSPF multi-area adjacency [RFC5185].
3. `explicit-router-id`: Support explicit per-instance Router-ID specification.
4. `demand-circuit`: Support OSPF demand circuits [RFC1793].
5. `mtu-ignore`: Support disabling OSPF Database Description packet MTU mismatch checking.
6. `lls`: Support OSPF link-local signaling (LLS) [RFC5613].
7. `prefix-suppression`: Support OSPF prefix advertisement suppression [RFC6860].
8. `tll-security`: Support OSPF Time to Live (TTL) security check support [RFC5082].
9. `nsr`: Support OSPF Non-Stop Routing (NSR).

10. graceful-restart: Support Graceful OSPF Restart [RFC3623], [RFC5187].
11. auto-cost: Support OSPF interface cost calculation according to reference bandwidth [RFC2328].
12. max-ecmp: Support configuration of the maximum number of Equal-Cost Multi-Path (ECMP) paths.
13. max-lsa: Support configuration of the maximum number of LSAs the OSPF instance will accept [RFC1765].
14. te-rid: Support configuration of the Traffic Engineering (TE) Router-ID, i.e., the Router Address described in Section 2.4.1 of [RFC3630] or the Router IPv6 Address TLV described in Section 3 of [RFC5329].
15. ldp-igp-sync: Support LDP IGP synchronization [RFC5443].
16. ospfv2-authentication-trailer: Support OSPFv2 Authentication trailer as specified in [RFC5709] or [RFC7474].
17. ospfv3-authentication-ipsec: Support IPsec for OSPFv3 authentication [RFC4552].
18. ospfv3-authentication-trailer: Support OSPFv3 Authentication trailer as specified in [RFC7166].
19. fast-reroute: Support IP Fast Reroute (IP-FRR) [RFC5714].
20. node-flag: Support node-flag for OSPF prefixes. [RFC7684].
21. node-tag: Support node admin tag for OSPF instances [RFC7777].
22. lfa: Support Loop-Free Alternates (LFAs) [RFC5286].
23. remote-lfa: Support Remote Loop-Free Alternates (R-LFA) [RFC7490].
24. stub-router: Support RFC 6987 OSPF Stub Router advertisement [RFC6987].
25. pe-ce-protocol: Support OSPF as a PE-CE protocol [RFC4577], [RFC6565].
26. ietf-spf-delay: Support IETF SPF delay algorithm [RFC8405].

27. bfd: Support BFD detection of OSPF neighbor reachability [RFC5880], [RFC5881], and [I-D.ietf-bfd-yang].
28. hybrid-interface: Support OSPF Hybrid Broadcast and Point-to-Point Interfaces [RFC6845].
29. two-part-metric: Support OSPF Two-Part Metric [RFC8042].

It is expected that vendors will support additional features through vendor-specific augmentations.

2.5. OSPF Router Configuration/Operational State

The `ospf` container is the top-level container in this data model. It represents an OSPF protocol instance and contains the router level configuration and operational state. The operational state includes the instance statistics, IETF SPF delay statistics, AS-Scoped Link State Database, local RIB, SPF Log, and the LSA log.

```

module: ietf-ospf
  augment /rt:routing/rt:control-plane-protocols/
    rt:control-plane-protocol:
      +--rw ospf
      .
      .
      +--rw af iana-rt-types:address-family
      +--rw enable? boolean {admin-control}?
      +--rw explicit-router-id? rt-types:router-id
      | {explicit-router-id}?
      +--rw preference
      |
      | +--rw (scope)?
      | | +--:(single-value)
      | | | +--rw all? uint8
      | | +--:(multi-values)
      | | +--rw (granularity)?
      | | | +--:(detail)
      | | | | +--rw intra-area? uint8
      | | | | +--rw inter-area? uint8
      | | | +--:(coarse)
      | | | | +--rw internal? uint8
      | | | +--rw external? uint8
      +--rw nsr {nsr}?
      | +--rw enable? boolean
      +--rw graceful-restart {graceful-restart}?
      | +--rw enable? boolean
      | +--rw helper-enable? boolean
      | +--rw restart-interval? uint16
      | +--rw helper-strict-lsa-checking? boolean
  
```

```

+--rw auto-cost {auto-cost}?
|   +--rw enable?                boolean
|   +--rw reference-bandwidth?   uint32
+--rw spf-control
|   +--rw paths?                  uint16 {max-ecmp}?
|   +--rw ietf-spf-delay {ietf-spf-delay}?
|       +--rw initial-delay?     uint16
|       +--rw short-delay?       uint16
|       +--rw long-delay?        uint16
|       +--rw hold-down?         uint16
|       +--rw time-to-learn?     uint16
|       +--ro current-state?     enumeration
|       +--ro remaining-time-to-learn? uint16
|       +--ro remaining-hold-down? uint16
|       +--ro last-event-received? yang:timestamp
|       +--ro next-spf-time?     yang:timestamp
|       +--ro last-spf-time?     yang:timestamp
+--rw database-control
|   +--rw max-lsa?               uint32 {max-lsa}?
+--rw stub-router {stub-router}?
|   +--rw (trigger)?
|       +--:(always)
|           +--rw always!
+--rw mpls
|   +--rw te-rid {te-rid}?
|       |   +--rw ipv4-router-id?   inet:ipv4-address
|       |   +--rw ipv6-router-id?   inet:ipv6-address
|       +--rw ldp
|           +--rw igp-sync?         boolean {ldp-igp-sync}?
+--rw fast-reroute {fast-reroute}?
|   +--rw lfa {lfa}?
+--ro protected-routes
|   +--ro af-stats* [af prefix alternate]
|       +--ro af                    iana-rt-types:address-family
|       +--ro prefix                 string
|       +--ro alternate              string
|       +--ro alternate-type?        enumeration
|       +--ro best?                  boolean
|       +--ro non-best-reason?       string
|       +--ro protection-available?  bits
|       +--ro alternate-metric1?     uint32
|       +--ro alternate-metric2?     uint32
|       +--ro alternate-metric3?     uint32
+--ro unprotected-routes
|   +--ro af-stats* [af prefix]
|       +--ro af                    iana-rt-types:address-family
|       +--ro prefix                 string
+--ro protection-statistics* [frr-protection-method]

```



```

.
+--ro spf-log
|   +--ro event* [id]
|   |   +--ro id                uint32
|   |   +--ro spf-type?         enumeration
|   |   +--ro schedule-timestamp? yang:timestamp
|   |   +--ro start-timestamp?  yang:timestamp
|   |   +--ro end-timestamp?    yang:timestamp
|   |   +--ro trigger-lsa*
|   |   |   +--ro area-id?      area-id-type
|   |   |   +--ro link-id?     union
|   |   |   +--ro type?        uint16
|   |   |   +--ro lsa-id?      yang:dotted-quad
|   |   |   +--ro adv-router?  yang:dotted-quad
|   |   |   +--ro seq-num?    uint32
+--ro lsa-log
|   +--ro event* [id]
|   |   +--ro id                uint32
|   |   +--ro lsa
|   |   |   +--ro area-id?      area-id-type
|   |   |   +--ro link-id?     union
|   |   |   +--ro type?        uint16
|   |   |   +--ro lsa-id?      yang:dotted-quad
|   |   |   +--ro adv-router?  yang:dotted-quad
|   |   |   +--ro seq-num?    uint32
|   |   +--ro received-timestamp? yang:timestamp
|   |   +--ro reason?          identityref
.
.

```

2.6. OSPF Area Configuration/Operational State

The area container contains OSPF area configuration and the list of interface containers representing all the OSPF interfaces in the area. The area operational state includes the area statistics and the Area Link State Database (LSDB).

```

module: ietf-ospf
  augment /rt:routing/rt:control-plane-protocols/
    rt:control-plane-protocol:
      +--rw ospf
      .
      .
      +--rw areas
      |   +--rw area* [area-id]
      |   |   +--rw area-id                area-id-type
      |   |   +--rw area-type?            identityref
      |   |   +--rw summary?              boolean

```



```

+--ro neighbors
  +--ro neighbor* [neighbor-router-id]
    +--ro neighbor-router-id
      rt-types:router-id
    +--ro address?
      inet:ip-address
    +--ro dr-router-id?
      rt-types:router-id
    +--ro dr-ip-addr?
      inet:ip-address
    +--ro bdr-router-id?
      rt-types:router-id
    +--ro bdr-ip-addr?
      inet:ip-address
    +--ro state?
      nbr-state-type
    +--ro dead-timer?
      uint32
    +--ro statistics
      +--ro nbr-event-count?
        yang:counter32
      +--ro nbr-retrans-qlen?
        yang:gauge32
+--ro database
  +--ro link-scope-lsa-type* [lsa-type]
  +--ro lsa-type
    uint16
  +--ro link-scope-lsas
.
.
+--rw sham-links {pe-ce-protocol}?
  +--rw sham-link* [local-id remote-id]
    +--rw local-id
      inet:ip-address
    +--rw remote-id
      inet:ip-address
    +--rw hello-interval?
      uint16
    +--rw dead-interval?
      uint32
    +--rw retransmit-interval?
      uint16
    +--rw transmit-delay?
      uint16
    +--rw lls?
      boolean {lls}?
    +--rw ttl-security {ttl-security}?
      | +--rw enable?
      |   boolean
      | +--rw hops?
      |   uint8
    +--rw enable?
      boolean
      {admin-control}?
    +--rw authentication
      | +--rw (auth-type-selection)?
      |   +--:(ospfv2-auth)
      |     +--rw ospfv2-auth-trailer-rfc?
      |       |
      |       |   ospfv2-auth-trailer-rfc-version
      |       |   {ospfv2-authentication-trailer}?
      |     +--rw (ospfv2-auth-specification)?
      |       +--:(auth-key-chain) {key-chain}?
      |         +--rw ospfv2-key-chain?
      |           key-chain:key-chain-ref
      |       +--:(auth-key-explicit)
      |         +--rw ospfv2-key-id?
      |           uint32

```

```

    |         +---rw ospfv2-key?          string
    |         +---rw ospfv2-crypto-algorithm?
    |             identityref
+---:(ospfv3-auth-ipsec)
    |         {ospfv3-authentication-ipsec}?
    |         +---rw sa?                  string
+---:(ospfv3-auth-trailer)
    |         {ospfv3-authentication-trailer}?
+---rw (ospfv3-auth-specification)?
    |         +---:(auth-key-chain) {key-chain}?
    |         |         +---rw ospfv3-key-chain?
    |         |         |         key-chain:key-chain-ref
+---:(auth-key-explicit)
    |         +---rw ospfv3-sa-id?        uint16
    |         +---rw ospfv3-key?         string
    |         +---rw ospfv3-crypto-algorithm?
    |             identityref
+---rw cost?                uint16
+---rw mtu-ignore?         boolean
    |         {mtu-ignore}?
+---rw prefix-suppression? boolean
    |         {prefix-suppression}?
+---rw two-part-metric?   boolean
    |         {two-part-metric}?
+---ro state?             if-state-type
+---ro hello-timer?       uint32
+---ro wait-timer?       uint32
+---ro dr-router-id?     rt-types:router-id
+---ro dr-ip-addr?       inet:ip-address
+---ro bdr-router-id?    rt-types:router-id
+---ro bdr-ip-addr?     inet:ip-address
+---ro statistics
    |         +---ro if-event-count?      yang:counter32
    |         +---ro link-scope-lsa-count? yang:gauge32
    |         +---ro link-scope-lsa-cksum-sum?
    |             uint32
    |         +---ro database
    |             +---ro link-scope-lsa-type*
    |                 +---ro lsa-type?    uint16
    |                 +---ro lsa-count?   yang:gauge32
    |                 +---ro lsa-cksum-sum? int32
+---ro neighbors
    |         +---ro neighbor* [neighbor-router-id]
    |             +---ro neighbor-router-id
    |                 rt-types:router-id
    |         +---ro address?            inet:ip-address
    |         +---ro dr-router-id?       rt-types:router-id
    |         +---ro dr-ip-addr?         inet:ip-address

```



```

    +--rw identifier          inet:ip-address
    +--rw cost?               uint16
    +--rw poll-interval?     uint16
    +--rw priority?          uint8
+--rw node-flag?            boolean
                             {node-flag}?
+--rw bfd {bfd}?
  | +--rw enable?           boolean
+--rw fast-reroute {fast-reroute}?
  | +--rw lfa {lfa}?
  |   +--rw candidate-enable?  boolean
  |   +--rw enable?            boolean
  |   +--rw remote-lfa {remote-lfa}?
  |     +--rw enable?          boolean
+--rw hello-interval?       uint16
+--rw dead-interval?        uint32
+--rw retransmit-interval?  uint16
+--rw transmit-delay?       uint16
+--rw lls?                   boolean {lls}?
+--rw ttl-security {ttl-security}?
  | +--rw enable?           boolean
  | +--rw hops?             uint8
+--rw enable?                boolean
                             {admin-control}?
+--rw authentication
  | +--rw (auth-type-selection)?
  |   +--:(ospfv2-auth)
  |     +--rw ospfv2-auth-trailer-rfc?
  |       | ospfv2-auth-trailer-rfc-version
  |       | {ospfv2-authentication-trailer}?
  |     +--rw (ospfv2-auth-specification)?
  |       +--:(auth-key-chain) {key-chain}?
  |         +--rw ospfv2-key-chain?
  |           key-chain:key-chain-ref
  |       +--:(auth-key-explicit)
  |         +--rw ospfv2-key-id?      uint32
  |         +--rw ospfv2-key?         string
  |         +--rw ospfv2-crypto-algorithm?
  |           identityref
  |     +--:(ospfv3-auth-ipsec)
  |       | {ospfv3-authentication-ipsec}?
  |       +--rw sa?                   string
  |     +--:(ospfv3-auth-trailer)
  |       | {ospfv3-authentication-trailer}?
  |     +--rw (ospfv3-auth-specification)?
  |       +--:(auth-key-chain) {key-chain}?
  |         +--rw ospfv3-key-chain?
  |           key-chain:key-chain-ref

```

```

        +--:(auth-key-explicit)
            +--rw ospfv3-sa-id?          uint16
            +--rw ospfv3-key?           string
            +--rw ospfv3-crypto-algorithm?
                identityref
+--rw cost?                            uint16
+--rw mtu-ignore?                      boolean
|                                     {mtu-ignore}?
+--rw prefix-suppression?             boolean
|                                     {prefix-suppression}?
+--ro state?                          if-state-type
+--ro hello-timer?                   uint32
+--ro wait-timer?                    uint32
+--ro dr-router-id?                  rt-types:router-id
+--ro dr-ip-addr?                    inet:ip-address
+--ro bdr-router-id?                 rt-types:router-id
+--ro bdr-ip-addr?                   inet:ip-address
+--ro statistics
    +--ro if-event-count?             yang:counter32
    +--ro link-scope-lsa-count?       yang:gauge32
    +--ro link-scope-lsa-cksum-sum?
        uint32
    +--ro database
        +--ro link-scope-lsa-type*
            +--ro lsa-type?           uint16
            +--ro lsa-count?          yang:gauge32
            +--ro lsa-cksum-sum?     int32
+--ro neighbors
    +--ro neighbor* [neighbor-router-id]
        +--ro neighbor-router-id
            rt-types:router-id
        +--ro address?               inet:ip-address
        +--ro dr-router-id?          rt-types:router-id
        +--ro dr-ip-addr?            inet:ip-address
        +--ro bdr-router-id?         rt-types:router-id
        +--ro bdr-ip-addr?           inet:ip-address
        +--ro state?                 nbr-state-type
        +--ro dead-timer?            uint32
        +--ro statistics
            +--ro nbr-event-count?
                yang:counter32
            +--ro nbr-retrans-qlen?
                yang:gauge32
+--ro database
.  +--ro link-scope-lsa-type* [lsa-type]
.  +--ro lsa-type                uint16
.  +--ro link-scope-lsas
.

```



```

+         [rt:name=current()/../routing-protocol-name]/
+         ospf:ospf/af
+---ro (if-link-type-selection)?
|   +---:(interface)
|   |   +---ro interface
|   |   |   +---ro interface?    if:interface-ref
|   |   +---:(virtual-link)
|   |   |   +---ro virtual-link
|   |   |   |   +---ro transit-area-id?    area-id-type
|   |   |   |   +---ro neighbor-router-id?  rt-types:router-id
|   |   +---:(sham-link)
|   |   |   +---ro sham-link
|   |   |   |   +---ro area-id?            area-id-type
|   |   |   |   +---ro local-ip-addr?     inet:ip-address
|   |   |   |   +---ro remote-ip-addr?    inet:ip-address
+---ro packet-source?          yang:dotted-quad
+---ro packet-type?           packet-type
+---ro error?                 enumeration
+---n nbr-state-change
|   +---ro routing-protocol-name?
|   +   -> /rt:routing/control-plane-protocols/
|   +   control-plane-protocol/name
+---ro af?
|   +   -> /rt:routing/control-plane-protocols/
|   +   control-plane-protocol
|   +   [rt:name=current()/../routing-protocol-name]/
|   +   ospf:ospf/af
+---ro (if-link-type-selection)?
|   +---:(interface)
|   |   +---ro interface
|   |   |   +---ro interface?    if:interface-ref
|   |   +---:(virtual-link)
|   |   |   +---ro virtual-link
|   |   |   |   +---ro transit-area-id?    area-id-type
|   |   |   |   +---ro neighbor-router-id?  rt-types:router-id
|   |   +---:(sham-link)
|   |   |   +---ro sham-link
|   |   |   |   +---ro area-id?            area-id-type
|   |   |   |   +---ro local-ip-addr?     inet:ip-address
|   |   |   |   +---ro remote-ip-addr?    inet:ip-address
+---ro neighbor-router-id?     rt-types:router-id
+---ro neighbor-ip-addr?      yang:dotted-quad
+---ro state?                 nbr-state-type
+---n nbr-restart-helper-status-change
|   +---ro routing-protocol-name?
|   +   -> /rt:routing/control-plane-protocols/
|   +   control-plane-protocol/name
+---ro af?

```

```

+     -> /rt:routing/control-plane-protocols/
+     control-plane-protocol
+     [rt:name=current()/../routing-protocol-name]/
+     ospf:ospf/af
+---ro (if-link-type-selection)?
|   +---:(interface)
|   |   +---ro interface
|   |   |   +---ro interface?   if:interface-ref
|   |   +---:(virtual-link)
|   |   |   +---ro virtual-link
|   |   |   |   +---ro transit-area-id?   area-id-type
|   |   |   |   +---ro neighbor-router-id?   rt-types:router-id
|   |   +---:(sham-link)
|   |   |   +---ro sham-link
|   |   |   |   +---ro area-id?   area-id-type
|   |   |   |   +---ro local-ip-addr?   inet:ip-address
|   |   |   |   +---ro remote-ip-addr?   inet:ip-address
|   +---ro neighbor-router-id?   rt-types:router-id
|   +---ro neighbor-ip-addr?   yang:dotted-quad
|   +---ro status?   restart-helper-status-type
|   +---ro age?   uint32
|   +---ro exit-reason?   restart-exit-reason-type
+---n if-rx-bad-packet
+---ro routing-protocol-name?
+     -> /rt:routing/control-plane-protocols/
+     control-plane-protocol/name
+---ro af?
+     -> /rt:routing/control-plane-protocols/
+     control-plane-protocol
+     [rt:name=current()/../routing-protocol-name]/
+     ospf:ospf/af
+---ro (if-link-type-selection)?
|   +---:(interface)
|   |   +---ro interface
|   |   |   +---ro interface?   if:interface-ref
|   |   +---:(virtual-link)
|   |   |   +---ro virtual-link
|   |   |   |   +---ro transit-area-id?   area-id-type
|   |   |   |   +---ro neighbor-router-id?   rt-types:router-id
|   |   +---:(sham-link)
|   |   |   +---ro sham-link
|   |   |   |   +---ro area-id?   area-id-type
|   |   |   |   +---ro local-ip-addr?   inet:ip-address
|   |   |   |   +---ro remote-ip-addr?   inet:ip-address
|   +---ro packet-source?   yang:dotted-quad
|   +---ro packet-type?   packet-type
+---n lsdbs-approaching-overflow
|   +---ro routing-protocol-name?

```

```

+      -> /rt:routing/control-plane-protocols/
+          control-plane-protocol/name
+---ro af?
+      -> /rt:routing/control-plane-protocols/
+          control-plane-protocol
+          [rt:name=current()/../routing-protocol-name]/
+          ospf:ospf/af
+---ro ext-lsdb-limit?          uint32
+---n lsdb-overflow
+---ro routing-protocol-name?
+      -> /rt:routing/control-plane-protocols/
+          control-plane-protocol/name
+---ro af?
+      -> /rt:routing/control-plane-protocols/
+          control-plane-protocol
+          [rt:name=current()/../routing-protocol-name]/
+          ospf:ospf/af
+---ro ext-lsdb-limit?          uint32
+---n nssa-translator-status-change
+---ro routing-protocol-name?
+      -> /rt:routing/control-plane-protocols/
+          control-plane-protocol/name
+---ro af?
+      -> /rt:routing/control-plane-protocols/
+          control-plane-protocol
+          [rt:name=current()/../routing-protocol-name]/
+          ospf:ospf/af
+---ro area-id?                area-id-type
+---ro status?                  nssa-translator-state-type
+---n restart-status-change
+---ro routing-protocol-name?
+      -> /rt:routing/control-plane-protocols/
+          control-plane-protocol/name
+---ro af?
+      -> /rt:routing/control-plane-protocols/
+          control-plane-protocol
+          [rt:name=current()/../routing-protocol-name]/
+          ospf:ospf/af
+---ro status?                  restart-status-type
+---ro restart-interval?        uint16
+---ro exit-reason?             restart-exit-reason-type

```

2.9. OSPF RPC Operations

The "ietf-ospf" module defines two RPC operations:

- o clear-database: reset the content of a particular OSPF Link State Database.

- o clear-neighbor: Reset a particular OSPF neighbor or group of neighbors associated with an OSPF interface.

```

rpcs:
  +---x clear-neighbor
  |   +---w input
  |   |   +---w routing-protocol-name
  |   |   +   -> /rt:routing/control-plane-protocols/
  |   |   +   control-plane-protocol/name
  |   |   +---w interface?           if:interface-ref
  +---x clear-database
  |   +---w input
  |   |   +---w routing-protocol-name
  |   |   |   -> /rt:routing/control-plane-protocols/
  |   |   |   control-plane-protocol/name

```

3. OSPF YANG Module

The following RFCs and drafts are not referenced in the document text but are referenced in the `ietf-ospf.yang` module: [RFC0905], [RFC4576], [RFC4973], [RFC5250], [RFC5309], [RFC5642], [RFC5881], [RFC6991], [RFC7770], [RFC8294], and [RFC8476].

```

<CODE BEGINS> file "ietf-ospf@2019-06-22.yang"
module ietf-ospf {
  yang-version 1.1;
  namespace "urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-ospf";

  prefix ospf;

  import ietf-inet-types {
    prefix "inet";
    reference "RFC 6991 - Common YANG Data Types";
  }

  import ietf-yang-types {
    prefix "yang";
    reference "RFC 6991 - Common YANG Data Types";
  }

  import ietf-interfaces {
    prefix "if";
    reference "RFC 8343 - A YANG Data Model for Interface
              Management (NDMA Version)";
  }

  import ietf-routing-types {
    prefix "rt-types";
  }

```

```
    reference "RFC 8294 - Common YANG Data Types for the
      Routing Area";
  }

import iana-routing-types {
  prefix "iana-rt-types";
  reference "RFC 8294 - Common YANG Data Types for the
    Routing Area";
}

import ietf-routing {
  prefix "rt";
  reference "RFC 8349 - A YANG Data Model for Routing
    Management (NMDA Version)";
}

import ietf-key-chain {
  prefix "key-chain";
  reference "RFC 8177 - YANG Data Model for Key Chains";
}

import ietf-bfd-types {
  prefix "bfd-types";
  reference "RFC YYYY - YANG Data Model for Bidirectional
    Forwarding Detection (BFD). Please replace YYYY with
    published RFC number for draft-ietf-bfd-yang-17.";
}

organization
  "IETF LSR - Link State Routing Working Group";

contact
  "WG Web: <http://datatracker.ietf.org/group/lsr/>
  WG List: <mailto:lsr@ietf.org>

  Editor: Derek Yeung
  <mailto:derek@arrcus.com>
  Author: Acee Lindem
  <mailto:acee@cisco.com>
  Author: Yingzhen Qu
  <mailto:yingzhen.qu@huawei.com>
  Author: Jeffrey Zhang
  <mailto:zhang@juniper.net>
  Author: Ing-Wher Chen
  <mailto:ingwherchen@mitre.org>";

description
  "This YANG module defines the generic configuration and
```

operational state for the OSPF protocol common to all vendor implementations. It is intended that the module will be extended by vendors to define vendor-specific OSPF configuration parameters and policies, for example, route maps or route policies.

This YANG model conforms to the Network Management Datastore Architecture (NDMA) as described in RFC 8242.

Copyright (c) 2018 IETF Trust and the persons identified as authors of the code. All rights reserved.

Redistribution and use in source and binary forms, with or without modification, is permitted pursuant to, and subject to the license terms contained in, the Simplified BSD License set forth in Section 4.c of the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>).

This version of this YANG module is part of RFC XXXX; see the RFC itself for full legal notices.";

```
revision 2019-06-22 {
  description
    "Initial revision.";
  reference
    "RFC XXXX: A YANG Data Model for OSPF.";
}

feature multi-topology {
  description
    "Support Multiple-Topology Routing (MTR).";
  reference "RFC 4915 - Multi-Topology Routing";
}

feature multi-area-adj {
  description
    "OSPF multi-area adjacency support as in RFC 5185.";
  reference "RFC 5185 - Multi-Area Adjacency";
}

feature explicit-router-id {
  description
    "Set Router-ID per instance explicitly.";
}

feature demand-circuit {
  description
    "OSPF demand circuit support as in RFC 1793.";
```

```
    reference "RFC 1793 - OSPF Demand Circuits";
  }

  feature mtu-ignore {
    description
      "Disable OSPF Database Description packet MTU
      mismatch checking.";
  }

  feature lls {
    description
      "OSPF link-local signaling (LLS) as in RFC 5613.";
    reference "RFC 5613 - OSPF Link-Local Signaling";
  }

  feature prefix-suppression {
    description
      "OSPF prefix suppression support as in RFC 6860.";
    reference "RFC 6860 - Hide Transit-Only Networks in OSPF";
  }

  feature two-part-metric {
    description
      "OSPF 2-part metric support as described in RFC 8042.";
    reference "RFC 8042 - OSPF Two-Part Metric";
  }

  feature ttl-security {
    description
      "OSPF Time to Live (TTL) security check support.";
    reference "RFC 5082 - The Generalized TTL Security
      Mechanism (GTSM)";
  }

  feature nsr {
    description
      "Non-Stop-Routing (NSR) support.";
  }

  feature graceful-restart {
    description
      "Graceful OSPF Restart as defined in RFC 3623 and
      RFC 5187.";
    reference "RFC 3623 - Graceful OSPF Restart
      RFC 5187 - OSPFv3 Graceful Restart";
  }

  feature auto-cost {
```

```
    description
      "Calculate OSPF interface cost according to
       reference bandwidth.";
      reference "RFC 2328 - OSPF Version 2";
  }

  feature max-ecmp {
    description
      "Setting maximum number of ECMP paths.";
  }

  feature max-lsa {
    description
      "Setting the maximum number of LSAs the OSPF instance
       will accept.";
      reference "RFC 1765 - OSPF Database Overload";
  }

  feature te-rid {
    description
      "Support configuration of the Traffic Engineering (TE)
       Router-ID, i.e., the Router Address described in Section
       2.4.1 of RFC3630 or the Router IPv6 Address TLV described
       in Section 3 of RFC5329.";
      reference "RFC 3630 - Traffic Engineering (TE) Extensions
        to OSPF Version 2
        RFC 5329 - Traffic Engineering (TE) Extensions
        to OSPF Version 3";
  }

  feature ldp-igp-sync {
    description
      "LDP IGP synchronization.";
      reference "RFC 5443 - LDP IGP Synchronization";
  }

  feature ospfv2-authentication-trailer {
    description
      "Use OSPFv2 authentication trailer for OSPFv2
       authentication.";
      reference "RFC 5709 - Supporting Authentication
        Trailer for OSPFv2
        RFC 7474 - Security Extension for OSPFv2 When
        Using Manual Key Management";
  }

  feature ospfv3-authentication-ipsec {
    description
```

```
        "Use IPsec for OSPFv3 authentication.";
        reference "RFC 4552 - Authentication/Confidentiality
                for OSPFv3";
    }

    feature ospfv3-authentication-trailer {
        description
            "Use OSPFv3 authentication trailer for OSPFv3
            authentication.";
        reference "RFC 7166 - Supporting Authentication
                Trailer for OSPFv3";
    }

    feature fast-reroute {
        description
            "Support for IP Fast Reroute (IP-FRR).";
        reference "RFC 5714 - IP Fast Reroute Framework";
    }

    feature key-chain {
        description
            "Support of keychain for authentication.";
        reference "RFC8177 - YANG Data Model for Key Chains";
    }

    feature node-flag {
        description
            "Support for node-flag for OSPF prefixes.";
        reference "RFC 7684 - OSPFv2 Prefix/Link Advertisement";
    }

    feature node-tag {
        description
            "Support for node admin tag for OSPF routing instances.";
        reference "RFC 7777 - Advertising Node Administrative
                Tags in OSPF";
    }

    feature lfa {
        description
            "Support for Loop-Free Alternates (LFAs).";
        reference "RFC 5286 - Basic Specification for IP Fast
                Reroute: Loop-Free Alternates";
    }

    feature remote-lfa {
        description
            "Support for Remote Loop-Free Alternates (R-LFA).";
    }
```

```
    reference "RFC 7490 - Remote Loop-Free Alternate (LFA)
              Fast Reroute (FRR)";
  }

  feature stub-router {
    description
      "Support for RFC 6987 OSPF Stub Router Advertisement.";
    reference "RFC 6987 - OSPF Stub Router Advertisement";
  }

  feature pe-ce-protocol {
    description
      "Support for OSPF as a PE-CE protocol";
    reference "RFC 4577 - OSPF as the Provider/Customer Edge
              Protocol for BGP/MPLS IP Virtual Private
              Networks (VPNs)
              RFC 6565 - OSPFv3 as a Provider Edge to Customer
              Edge (PE-CE) Routing Protocol";
  }

  feature ietf-spf-delay {
    description
      "Support for IETF SPF delay algorithm.";
    reference "RFC 8405 - SPF Back-off algorithm for link
              state IGP";
  }

  feature bfd {
    description
      "Support for BFD detection of OSPF neighbor reachability.";
    reference "RFC 5880 - Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD)
              RFC 5881 - Bidirectional Forwarding Detection
              (BFD) for IPv4 and IPv6 (Single Hop)";
  }

  feature hybrid-interface {
    description
      "Support for OSPF Hybrid interface type.";
    reference "RFC 6845 - OSPF Hybrid Broadcast and
              Point-to-Multipoint Interface Type";
  }

  identity ospf {
    base "rt:routing-protocol";
    description "Any OSPF protocol version";
  }

  identity ospfv2 {
```

```
    base "ospf";
    description "OSPFv2 protocol";
}

identity ospfv3 {
    base "ospf";
    description "OSPFv3 protocol";
}

identity operation-mode {
    description
        "OSPF operation mode.";
}

identity area-type {
    description "Base identity for OSPF area type.";
}

identity normal-area {
    base area-type;
    description "OSPF normal area.";
}

identity stub-nssa-area {
    base area-type;
    description "OSPF stub or NSSA area.";
}

identity stub-area {
    base stub-nssa-area;
    description "OSPF stub area.";
}

identity nssa-area {
    base stub-nssa-area;
    description "OSPF Not-So-Stubby Area (NSSA).";
    reference "RFC 3101 - The OSPF Not-So-Stubby Area
        (NSSA) Option";
}

identity ospf-lsa-type {
    description
        "Base identity for OSPFv2 and OSPFv3
        Link State Advertisement (LSA) types";
}

identity ospfv2-lsa-type {
    base ospf-lsa-type;
```

```
    description
      "OSPFv2 LSA types";
  }

  identity ospfv2-router-lsa {
    base ospfv2-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv2 Router LSA - Type 1";
  }

  identity ospfv2-network-lsa {
    base ospfv2-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv2 Network LSA - Type 2";
  }

  identity ospfv2-summary-lsa-type {
    base ospfv2-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv2 Summary LSA types";
  }

  identity ospfv2-network-summary-lsa {
    base ospfv2-summary-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv2 Network Summary LSA - Type 3";
  }

  identity ospfv2-asbr-summary-lsa {
    base ospfv2-summary-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv2 AS Boundary Router (ASBR) Summary LSA - Type 4";
  }

  identity ospfv2-external-lsa-type {
    base ospfv2-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv2 External LSA types";
  }

  identity ospfv2-as-external-lsa {
    base ospfv2-external-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv2 AS External LSA - Type 5";
  }

  identity ospfv2-nssa-lsa {
    base ospfv2-external-lsa-type;
```

```
    description
      "OSPFv2 Not-So-Stubby-Area (NSSA) LSA - Type 7";
  }

  identity ospfv2-opaque-lsa-type {
    base ospfv2-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv2 Opaque LSA types";
  }

  identity ospfv2-link-scope-opaque-lsa {
    base ospfv2-opaque-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv2 Link-Scoped Opaque LSA - Type 9";
  }

  identity ospfv2-area-scope-opaque-lsa {
    base ospfv2-opaque-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv2 Area-Scoped Opaque LSA - Type 10";
  }

  identity ospfv2-as-scope-opaque-lsa {
    base ospfv2-opaque-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv2 AS-Scoped Opaque LSA - Type 11";
  }

  identity ospfv2-unknown-lsa-type {
    base ospfv2-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv2 Unknown LSA type";
  }

  identity ospfv3-lsa-type {
    base ospfv3-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv3 LSA types.";
  }

  identity ospfv3-router-lsa {
    base ospfv3-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv3 Router LSA - Type 0x2001";
  }

  identity ospfv3-network-lsa {
    base ospfv3-lsa-type;
  }
```

```
    description
      "OSPFv3 Network LSA - Type 0x2002";
  }

  identity ospfv3-summary-lsa-type {
    base ospfv3-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv3 Summary LSA types";
  }

  identity ospfv3-inter-area-prefix-lsa {
    base ospfv3-summary-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv3 Inter-area Prefix LSA - Type 0x2003";
  }

  identity ospfv3-inter-area-router-lsa {
    base ospfv3-summary-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv3 Inter-area Router LSA - Type 0x2004";
  }

  identity ospfv3-external-lsa-type {
    base ospfv3-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv3 External LSA types";
  }

  identity ospfv3-as-external-lsa {
    base ospfv3-external-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv3 AS-External LSA - Type 0x4005";
  }

  identity ospfv3-nssa-lsa {
    base ospfv3-external-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv3 Not-So-Stubby-Area (NSSA) LSA - Type 0x2007";
  }

  identity ospfv3-link-lsa {
    base ospfv3-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv3 Link LSA - Type 0x0008";
  }

  identity ospfv3-intra-area-prefix-lsa {
    base ospfv3-lsa-type;
  }
```

```
    description
      "OSPFv3 Intra-area Prefix LSA - Type 0x2009";
  }

  identity ospfv3-router-information-lsa {
    base ospfv3-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv3 Router Information LSA - Types 0x800C,
      0xA00C, and 0xC00C";
  }

  identity ospfv3-unknown-lsa-type {
    base ospfv3-lsa-type;
    description
      "OSPFv3 Unknown LSA type";
  }

  identity lsa-log-reason {
    description
      "Base identity for an LSA log reason.";
  }

  identity lsa-refresh {
    base lsa-log-reason;
    description
      "Identity used when the LSA is logged
      as a result of receiving a refresh LSA.";
  }

  identity lsa-content-change {
    base lsa-log-reason;
    description
      "Identity used when the LSA is logged
      as a result of a change in the content
      of the LSA.";
  }

  identity lsa-purge {
    base lsa-log-reason;
    description
      "Identity used when the LSA is logged
      as a result of being purged.";
  }

  typedef ospf-metric {
    type uint32 {
      range "0 .. 16777215";
    }
  }
```

```
    description
      "OSPF Metric - 24-bit unsigned integer.";
  }

  typedef opaque-id {
    type uint32 {
      range "0 .. 16777215";
    }
    description
      "Opaque ID - 24-bit unsigned integer.";
  }

  typedef area-id-type {
    type yang:dotted-quad;
    description
      "Area ID type.";
  }

  typedef route-type {
    type enumeration {
      enum intra-area {
        description "OSPF intra-area route.";
      }
      enum inter-area {
        description "OSPF inter-area route.";
      }
      enum external-1 {
        description "OSPF type 1 external route.";
      }
      enum external-2 {
        description "OSPF type 2 external route.";
      }
      enum nssa-1 {
        description "OSPF type 1 NSSA route.";
      }
      enum nssa-2 {
        description "OSPF type 2 NSSA route.";
      }
    }
    description "OSPF route type.";
  }

  typedef if-state-type {
    type enumeration {
      enum down {
        value "1";
        description
          "Interface down state.";
      }
    }
  }
```

```
    }
    enum loopback {
      value "2";
      description
        "Interface loopback state.";
    }
    enum waiting {
      value "3";
      description
        "Interface waiting state.";
    }
    enum point-to-point {
      value "4";
      description
        "Interface point-to-point state.";
    }
    enum dr {
      value "5";
      description
        "Interface Designated Router (DR) state.";
    }
    enum backup {
      value "6";
      description
        "Interface Backup Designated Router (BDR) state.";
    }
    enum dr-other {
      value "7";
      description
        "Interface Other Designated Router state.";
    }
  }
  description
    "OSPF interface state type.";
}

typedef router-link-type {
  type enumeration {
    enum point-to-point-link {
      value "1";
      description
        "Point-to-Point link to Router";
    }
    enum transit-network-link {
      value "2";
      description
        "Link to transit network identified by
        Designated-Router (DR)";
    }
  }
}
```

```
    }
    enum stub-network-link {
      value "3";
      description
        "Link to stub network identified by subnet";
    }
    enum virtual-link {
      value "4";
      description
        "Virtual link across transit area";
    }
  }
  description
    "OSPF Router Link Type.";
}

typedef nbr-state-type {
  type enumeration {
    enum down {
      value "1";
      description
        "Neighbor down state.";
    }
    enum attempt {
      value "2";
      description
        "Neighbor attempt state.";
    }
    enum init {
      value "3";
      description
        "Neighbor init state.";
    }
    enum 2-way {
      value "4";
      description
        "Neighbor 2-Way state.";
    }
    enum exstart {
      value "5";
      description
        "Neighbor exchange start state.";
    }
    enum exchange {
      value "6";
      description
        "Neighbor exchange state.";
    }
  }
}
```

```
    enum loading {
      value "7";
      description
        "Neighbor loading state.";
    }
    enum full {
      value "8";
      description
        "Neighbor full state.";
    }
  }
  description
    "OSPF neighbor state type.";
}

typedef restart-helper-status-type {
  type enumeration {
    enum not-helping {
      value "1";
      description
        "Restart helper status not helping.";
    }
    enum helping {
      value "2";
      description
        "Restart helper status helping.";
    }
  }
  description
    "Restart helper status type.";
}

typedef restart-exit-reason-type {
  type enumeration {
    enum none {
      value "1";
      description
        "Restart not attempted.";
    }
    enum in-progress {
      value "2";
      description
        "Restart in progress.";
    }
    enum completed {
      value "3";
      description
        "Restart successfully completed.";
    }
  }
}
```

```
    }
    enum timed-out {
      value "4";
      description
        "Restart timed out.";
    }
    enum topology-changed {
      value "5";
      description
        "Restart aborted due to topology change.";
    }
  }
  description
    "Describes the outcome of the last attempt at a
    graceful restart, either by itself or acting
    as a helper.";
}

typedef packet-type {
  type enumeration {
    enum hello {
      value "1";
      description
        "OSPF Hello packet.";
    }
    enum database-description {
      value "2";
      description
        "OSPF Database Description packet.";
    }
    enum link-state-request {
      value "3";
      description
        "OSPF Link State Request packet.";
    }
    enum link-state-update {
      value "4";
      description
        "OSPF Link State Update packet.";
    }
    enum link-state-ack {
      value "5";
      description
        "OSPF Link State Acknowledgement packet.";
    }
  }
  description
    "OSPF packet type.";
```

```
    }

    typedef nssa-translator-state-type {
      type enumeration {
        enum enabled {
          value "1";
          description
            "NSSA translator enabled state.";
        }
        enum elected {
          description
            "NSSA translator elected state.";
        }
        enum disabled {
          value "3";
          description
            "NSSA translator disabled state.";
        }
      }
      description
        "OSPF NSSA translator state type.";
    }

    typedef restart-status-type {
      type enumeration {
        enum not-restarting {
          value "1";
          description
            "Router is not restarting.";
        }
        enum planned-restart {
          description
            "Router is going through planned restart.";
        }
        enum unplanned-restart {
          value "3";
          description
            "Router is going through unplanned restart.";
        }
      }
      description
        "OSPF graceful restart status type.";
    }

    typedef fletcher-checksum16-type {
      type string {
        pattern '(0x)?[0-9a-fA-F]{4}';
      }
    }
```

```
    description
      "Fletcher 16-bit checksum in hex-string format 0xXXXX.";
    reference "RFC 905 - ISO Transport Protocol specification
      ISO DP 8073";
  }

typedef ospfv2-auth-trailer-rfc-version {
  type enumeration {
    enum rfc5709 {
      description
        "Support OSPF Authentication Trailer as
        described in RFC 5709";
      reference "RFC 5709 - OSPFv2 HMAC-SHA Cryptographic
        Authentication";
    }

    enum rfc7474 {
      description
        "Support OSPF Authentication Trailer as
        described in RFC 7474";
      reference
        "RFC 7474 - Security Extension for OSPFv2
        When Using Manual Key Management Authentication";
    }
  }
  description
    "OSPFv2 Authentication Trailer Support";
}

grouping tlv {
  description
    "Type-Length-Value (TLV)";
  leaf type {
    type uint16;
    description "TLV type.";
  }
  leaf length {
    type uint16;
    description "TLV length (octets).";
  }
  leaf value {
    type yang:hex-string;
    description "TLV value.";
  }
}

grouping unknown-tlvs {
```

```
description
  "Unknown TLVs grouping - Used for unknown TLVs or
  unknown sub-TLVs.";
container unknown-tlvs {
  description "All unknown TLVs.";
  list unknown-tlv {
    description "Unknown TLV.";
    uses tlv;
  }
}
}

grouping node-tag-tlv {
  description "OSPF Node Admin Tag TLV grouping.";
  list node-tag {
    leaf tag {
      type uint32;
      description
        "Node admin tag value.";
    }
  }
  description
    "List of tags.";
}

grouping router-capabilities-tlv {
  description "OSPF Router Capabilities TLV grouping.";
  reference "RFC 7770 - OSPF Router Capabilities";
  leaf informational-flags {
    type bits {
      bit graceful-restart-capability {
        description
          "When set, the router is capable of restarting
          gracefully.";
        reference "RFC 3623 - Graceful OSPF Restart
          RFC 5187 - OSPFv3 Graceful Restart";
      }
      bit graceful-restart-helper {
        description
          "When set, the router is capable of acting as
          a graceful restart helper.";
        reference "RFC 3623 - Graceful OSPF Restart
          RFC 5187 - OSPFv3 Graceful Restart";
      }
    }
  }
  bit stub-router {
    description
      "When set, the router is capable of acting as
      an OSPF Stub Router.";
  }
}
```

```
    reference "RFC 6987 - OSPF Stub Router Advertisement";
  }
  bit traffic-engineering {
    description
      "When set, the router is capable of OSPF traffic
      engineering.";
    reference "RFC 3630 - Traffic Engineering (TE) Extensions
      to OSPF Version 2
      RFC 5329 - Traffic Engineering (TE) Extensions
      to OSPF Version 3";
  }
  bit p2p-over-lan {
    description
      "When set, the router is capable of OSPF Point-to-Point
      over LAN.";
    reference "RFC 5309 - Point-to-Point Operation over LAN
      in Link State Routing Protocols";
  }
  bit experimental-te {
    description
      "When set, the router is capable of OSPF experimental
      traffic engineering.";
    reference
      "RFC 4973 - OSPF-xTE OSPF Experimental Traffic
      Engineering";
  }
  bit two-part-metric {
    description
      "When set, the router is capable of supporting OSPF
      2-part metrics.";
    reference
      "RFC 8042 - OSPF Two-Part Metric";
  }
}
description
  "OSPF Router Informational Flag Definitions.";
}
list informational-capabilities {
  leaf informational-flag {
    type uint32;
    description
      "Informational flag.";
  }
  description
    "List of capabilities.";
}
list functional-capabilities {
  leaf informational-flag {
```

```
        type uint32;
        description
            "Functional flag.";
    }
    description
        "List of functional capabilities.";
}

grouping dynamic-hostname-tlv {
    description "Dynamic Hostname TLV";
    reference "RFC 5642 - Dynamic Hostnames for OSPF";
    leaf hostname {
        type string {
            length "1..255";
        }
        description "Dynamic Hostname";
    }
}

grouping sbfd-discriminator-tlv {
    description "Seamless BFD Discriminator TLV";
    reference "RFC 7884 - S-BFD Discriminators in OSPF";
    list sbfd-discriminators {
        leaf sbfd-discriminator {
            type uint32;
            description "Individual S-BFD Discriminator.";
        }
        description
            "List of S-BFD Discriminators";
    }
}

grouping maximum-sid-depth-tlv {
    description "Maximum SID Depth (MSD) TLV";
    reference
        "RFC 8476 - Signaling Maximum Segment Depth (MSD)
        using OSPF";
    list msd-type {
        leaf msd-type {
            type uint8;
            description "Maximum Segment Depth (MSD) type";
        }
        leaf msd-value {
            type uint8;
            description
                "Maximum Segment Depth (MSD) value for the type";
        }
    }
}
```

```
        description
            "List of Maximum Segment Depth (MSD) tuples";
    }
}

grouping network-to-router-metric-tlv {
    description "Network to Router Metric TLV";
    reference
        "RFC 8042 - OSPF Two-Part Metric";
    leaf mt-id {
        type uint8;
        description
            "The MT-ID for the topology enabled on
            the link.";
    }
    leaf metric {
        type uint16;
        description "Metric for the topology.";
    }
}

grouping ospf-router-lsa-flags {
    leaf flags {
        type bits {
            bit V {
                description
                    "When set, the router is an endpoint of one or
                    more virtual links.";
            }
            bit E {
                description
                    "When set, the router is an AS Boundary Router
                    (ASBR).";
            }
            bit B {
                description
                    "When set, the router is an Area Border
                    Router (ABR).";
            }
            bit Nt {
                description
                    "When set, the router is an NSSA border router
                    that is unconditionally translating NSSA LSAs
                    into AS-external LSAs.";
            }
        }
        description "Router LSA Flags.";
    }
}
```

```
    description
      "Router LSA Flags - Currently common for OSPFv2 and
      OSPFv3 but it may diverge with future augmentations.";
  }

  grouping ospfv2-router-link {
    description "OSPFv2 router link.";
    leaf link-id {
      type union {
        type inet:ipv4-address;
        type yang:dotted-quad;
      }
      description "Router-LSA Link ID";
    }
    leaf link-data {
      type union {
        type inet:ipv4-address;
        type uint32;
      }
      description "Router-LSA Link data.";
    }
    leaf type {
      type router-link-type;
      description "Router-LSA Link type.";
    }
  }
}

grouping ospfv2-lsa-body {
  description "OSPFv2 LSA body.";
  container router {
    when "derived-from-or-self(..../header/type, "
      + "'ospf:ospfv2-router-lsa')" {
      description
        "Only applies to Router-LSAs.";
    }
    description
      "Router LSA.";
    uses ospf-router-lsa-flags;
    leaf num-of-links {
      type uint16;
      description "Number of links in Router LSA.";
    }
  }
  container links {
    description "All router Links.";
    list link {
      description "Router LSA link.";
      uses ospfv2-router-link;
      container topologies {
```



```
    "Summary LSA.";
  leaf network-mask {
    type inet:ipv4-address;
    description
      "The IP address mask for the network";
  }
  container topologies {
    description "All topologies for the summary LSA.";
    list topology {
      description
        "Topology specific information.";
      leaf mt-id {
        type uint8;
        description
          "The MT-ID for the topology enabled for
            the summary.";
      }
      leaf metric {
        type ospf-metric;
        description "Metric for the topology.";
      }
    }
  }
}
container external {
  when "derived-from ../../header/type, "
    + "'ospfv2-external-lsa-type'" {
    description
      "Only applies to AS-external LSAs and NSSA LSAs.";
  }
  description
    "External LSA.";
  leaf network-mask {
    type inet:ipv4-address;
    description
      "The IP address mask for the network";
  }
  container topologies {
    description "All topologies for the external.";
    list topology {
      description
        "Topology specific information.";
      leaf mt-id {
        type uint8;
        description
          "The MT-ID for the topology enabled for the
            external or NSSA prefix.";
      }
    }
  }
}
```

```
leaf flags {
  type bits {
    bit E {
      description
        "When set, the metric specified is a Type 2
        external metric.";
    }
  }
  description "Flags.";
}
leaf metric {
  type ospf-metric;
  description "Metric for the topology.";
}
leaf forwarding-address {
  type inet:ipv4-address;
  description
    "Forwarding address.";
}
leaf external-route-tag {
  type uint32;
  description
    "Route tag for the topology.";
}
}
}
}
container opaque {
  when "derived-from(..../header/type, "
    + "'ospfv2-opaque-lsa-type')" {
    description
      "Only applies to Opaque LSAs.";
  }
  description
    "Opaque LSA.";

  uses unknown-tlvs;

  container router-capabilities-tlv {
    description
      "Informational and functional router capabilities";
    uses router-capabilities-tlv;
  }

  container node-tag-tlvs {
    description
      "All node tag TLVs.";
    list node-tag-tlv {
```

```
        description
            "Node tag TLV.";
        uses node-tag-tlv;
    }
}

container dynamic-hostname-tlv {
    description "OSPF Dynamic Hostname";
    uses dynamic-hostname-tlv;
}

container sbfd-discriminator-tlv {
    description "OSPF S-BFD Discriminators";
    uses sbfd-discriminator-tlv;
}

container maximum-sid-depth-tlv {
    description "OSPF Maximum SID Depth (MSD) values";
    uses maximum-sid-depth-tlv;
}

container router-address-tlv {
    description
        "Router address TLV.";
    leaf router-address {
        type inet:ipv4-address;
        description
            "Router address.";
    }
}

container link-tlvs {
    description "All link TLVs in the LSA.";
    list link-tlv {
        description "Link TLV.";
        leaf link-type {
            type router-link-type;
            mandatory true;
            description "Link type.";
        }
        leaf link-id {
            type union {
                type inet:ipv4-address;
                type yang:dotted-quad;
            }
            mandatory true;
            description "Link ID.";
        }
    }
}
```

```
container local-if-ipv4-addrs {
  description "All local interface IPv4 addresses.";
  leaf-list local-if-ipv4-addr {
    type inet:ipv4-address;
    description
      "List of local interface IPv4 addresses.";
  }
}
container remote-if-ipv4-addrs {
  description "All remote interface IPv4 addresses.";
  leaf-list remote-if-ipv4-addr {
    type inet:ipv4-address;
    description
      "List of remote interface IPv4 addresses.";
  }
}
leaf te-metric {
  type uint32;
  description "TE metric.";
}
leaf max-bandwidth {
  type rt-types:bandwidth-ieee-float32;
  description "Maximum bandwidth.";
}
leaf max-reservable-bandwidth {
  type rt-types:bandwidth-ieee-float32;
  description "Maximum reservable bandwidth.";
}
container unreserved-bandwidths {
  description "All unreserved bandwidths.";
  list unreserved-bandwidth {
    leaf priority {
      type uint8 {
        range "0 .. 7";
      }
      description "Priority from 0 to 7.";
    }
    leaf unreserved-bandwidth {
      type rt-types:bandwidth-ieee-float32;
      description "Unreserved bandwidth.";
    }
  }
  description
    "List of unreserved bandwidths for different
    priorities.";
}
leaf admin-group {
  type uint32;
}
```

```
        description
            "Administrative group/Resource Class/Color.";
    }
    leaf network-to-router-te-metric {
        type uint32;
        description "Network to Router TE metric.";
        reference
            "RFC 8042 - OSPF Two-Part Metric";
    }
    uses unknown-tlvs;
}

container extended-prefix-tlvs {
    description "All extended prefix TLVs in the LSA.";
    list extended-prefix-tlv {
        description "Extended prefix TLV.";
        leaf route-type {
            type enumeration {
                enum unspecified {
                    value "0";
                    description "Unspecified.";
                }
                enum intra-area {
                    value "1";
                    description "OSPF intra-area route.";
                }
                enum inter-area {
                    value "3";
                    description "OSPF inter-area route.";
                }
                enum external {
                    value "5";
                    description "OSPF External route.";
                }
                enum nssa {
                    value "7";
                    description "OSPF NSSA external route.";
                }
            }
            description "Route type.";
        }
        leaf flags {
            type bits {
                bit A {
                    description
                        "Attach flag.";
                }
            }
        }
    }
}
```

```
        bit N {
            description
                "Node flag.";
        }
    }
    description "Prefix Flags.";
}
leaf prefix {
    type inet:ip-prefix;
    description "Address prefix.";
}
uses unknown-tlvs;
}
}

container extended-link-tlvs {
    description "All extended link TLVs in the LSA.";
    list extended-link-tlv {
        description "Extended link TLV.";
        uses ospfv2-router-link;
        container maximum-sid-depth-tlv {
            description "OSPF Maximum SID Depth (MSD) values";
            uses maximum-sid-depth-tlv;
        }
        container network-to-router-metric-tlv {
            description
                "OSPF two-part metric network-to-router metric";
            uses network-to-router-metric-tlv;
        }
        uses unknown-tlvs;
    }
}
}
}

grouping ospfv3-lsa-options {
    description "OSPFv3 LSA options";
    leaf options {
        type bits {
            bit AF {
                description
                    "When set, the router supports OSPFv3 Address
                    Families as in RFC5838.";
            }
            bit DC {
                description
                    "When set, the router supports demand circuits.";
            }
        }
    }
}
```

```
    bit R {
      description
        "When set, the originator is an active router.";
    }
    bit N {
      description
        "If set, the router is attached to an NSSA";
    }
    bit E {
      description
        "This bit describes the way AS-external LSAs
         are flooded";
    }
    bit V6 {
      description
        "If clear, the router/link should be excluded
         from IPv6 routing calculation";
    }
  }
  mandatory true;
  description "OSPFv3 LSA options.";
}

grouping ospfv3-lsa-prefix {
  description
    "OSPFv3 LSA prefix.";

  leaf prefix {
    type inet:ip-prefix;
    description
      "LSA Prefix.";
  }
  leaf prefix-options {
    type bits {
      bit NU {
        description
          "When set, the prefix should be excluded
           from IPv6 unicast calculations.";
      }
      bit LA {
        description
          "When set, the prefix is actually an IPv6 interface
           address of the Advertising Router.";
      }
    }
    bit P {
      description
        "When set, the NSSA area prefix should be
```

```
        translated to an AS External LSA and advertised
        by the translating NSSA Border Router.";
    }
    bit DN {
        description
            "When set, the inter-area-prefix LSA or
            AS-external LSA prefix has been advertised as an
            L3VPN prefix.";
    }
}
mandatory true;
description "Prefix options.";
}
}

grouping ospfv3-lsa-external {
    description
        "AS-External and NSSA LSA.";
    leaf metric {
        type ospf-metric;
        description "Metric";
    }

    leaf flags {
        type bits {
            bit E {
                description
                    "When set, the metric specified is a Type 2
                    external metric.";
            }
            bit F {
                description
                    "When set, a Forwarding Address is included
                    in the LSA.";
            }
            bit T {
                description
                    "When set, an External Route Tag is included
                    in the LSA.";
            }
        }
        description "Flags.";
    }

    leaf referenced-ls-type {
        type identityref {
            base ospf:ospfv3-lsa-type;
        }
    }
}
```

```
    description "Referenced Link State type.";
  }
  leaf unknown-referenced-ls-type {
    type uint16;
    description
      "Value for an unknown Referenced Link State type.";
  }

  uses ospfv3-lsa-prefix;

  leaf forwarding-address {
    type inet:ipv6-address;
    description
      "Forwarding address.";
  }

  leaf external-route-tag {
    type uint32;
    description
      "Route tag.";
  }
  leaf referenced-link-state-id {
    type yang:dotted-quad;
    description
      "Referenced Link State ID.";
  }
}

grouping ospfv3-lsa-body {
  description "OSPFv3 LSA body.";
  container router {
    when "derived-from-or-self(..../header/type, "
      + "'ospfv3-router-lsa')" {
      description
        "Only applies to Router LSAs.";
    }
    description "Router LSA.";
    uses ospf-router-lsa-flags;
    uses ospfv3-lsa-options;

    container links {
      description "All router link.";
      list link {
        description "Router LSA link.";
        leaf interface-id {
          type uint32;
          description "Interface ID for link.";
        }
      }
    }
  }
}
```



```
        type ospf-metric;
        description "Inter-Area Prefix Metric";
    }
    uses ospfv3-lsa-prefix;
    description "Prefix LSA.";
}
container inter-area-router {
    when "derived-from-or-self(..../header/type, "
        + "'ospfv3-inter-area-router-lsa')" {
        description
            "Only applies to Inter-Area-Router LSAs.";
    }
    uses ospfv3-lsa-options;
    leaf metric {
        type ospf-metric;
        description "AS Boundary Router (ASBR) Metric.";
    }
    leaf destination-router-id {
        type rt-types:router-id;
        description
            "The Router ID of the ASBR described by the LSA.";
    }
    description "Inter-Area-Router LSA.";
}
container as-external {
    when "derived-from-or-self(..../header/type, "
        + "'ospfv3-as-external-lsa')" {
        description
            "Only applies to AS-external LSAs.";
    }

    uses ospfv3-lsa-external;

    description "AS-External LSA.";
}
container nssa {
    when "derived-from-or-self(..../header/type, "
        + "'ospfv3-nssa-lsa')" {
        description
            "Only applies to NSSA LSAs.";
    }
    uses ospfv3-lsa-external;

    description "NSSA LSA.";
}
container link {
    when "derived-from-or-self(..../header/type, "
        + "'ospfv3-link-lsa')" {
```

```
        description
            "Only applies to Link LSAs.";
    }
    leaf rtr-priority {
        type uint8;
        description "Router Priority for the interface.";
    }
    uses ospfv3-lsa-options;

    leaf link-local-interface-address {
        type inet:ipv6-address;
        description
            "The originating router's link-local
             interface address for the link.";
    }

    leaf num-of-prefixes {
        type uint32;
        description "Number of prefixes.";
    }

    container prefixes {
        description "All prefixes for the link.";
        list prefix {
            description
                "List of prefixes associated with the link.";
            uses ospfv3-lsa-prefix;
        }
    }
    description "Link LSA.";
}
container intra-area-prefix {
    when "derived-from-or-self(..../header/type, "
        + "'ospfv3-intra-area-prefix-lsa')" {
        description
            "Only applies to Intra-Area-Prefix LSAs.";
    }
    description "Intra-Area-Prefix LSA.";

    leaf referenced-ls-type {
        type identityref {
            base ospf:ospfv3-lsa-type;
        }
        description "Referenced Link State type.";
    }
    leaf unknown-referenced-ls-type {
        type uint16;
        description
```

```
        "Value for an unknown Referenced Link State type.";
    }
    leaf referenced-link-state-id {
        type yang:dotted-quad;
        description
            "Referenced Link State ID.";
    }
    leaf referenced-adv-router {
        type rt-types:router-id;
        description
            "Referenced Advertising Router.";
    }
}

leaf num-of-prefixes {
    type uint16;
    description "Number of prefixes.";
}

container prefixes {
    description "All prefixes in this LSA.";
    list prefix {
        description "List of prefixes in this LSA.";
        uses ospfv3-lsa-prefix;
        leaf metric {
            type ospf-metric;
            description "Prefix Metric.";
        }
    }
}

}

}

container router-information {
    when "derived-from-or-self(..../header/type, "
        + "'ospfv3-router-information-lsa')" {
        description
            "Only applies to Router Information LSAs (RFC7770).";
    }
}

container router-capabilities-tlv {
    description
        "Informational and functional router capabilities";
    uses router-capabilities-tlv;
}

container node-tag-tlvs {
    description
        "All node tag tlvs.";
    list node-tag-tlv {
        description
            "Node tag tlv.";
        uses node-tag-tlv;
    }
}
```

```
    }
    container dynamic-hostname-tlv {
      description "OSPF Dynamic Hostname";
      uses dynamic-hostname-tlv;
    }
    container sbfd-discriminator-tlv {
      description "OSPF S-BFD Discriminators";
      uses sbfd-discriminator-tlv;
    }
    description "Router Information LSA.";
    reference "RFC 7770 -Extensions for Advertising Router
              Capabilities";
  }
}

grouping lsa-header {
  description
    "Common LSA for OSPFv2 and OSPFv3";
  leaf age {
    type uint16;
    mandatory true;
    description "LSA age.";
  }
  leaf type {
    type identityref {
      base ospf-lsa-type;
    }
    mandatory true;
    description "LSA type";
  }
  leaf adv-router {
    type rt-types:router-id;
    mandatory true;
    description "LSA advertising router.";
  }
  leaf seq-num {
    type uint32;
    mandatory true;
    description "LSA sequence number.";
  }
  leaf checksum {
    type fletcher-checksum16-type;
    mandatory true;
    description "LSA checksum.";
  }
  leaf length {
    type uint16;
    mandatory true;
  }
}
```

```
        description "LSA length including the header.";
    }
}

grouping ospfv2-lsa {
    description
        "OSPFv2 LSA - LSAs are uniquely identified by
        the <LSA Type, Link-State ID, Advertising Router>
        tuple with the sequence number differentiating
        LSA instances.";
    container header {
        must "(derived-from(type, "
            + "'ospfv2-opaque-lsa-type') and "
            + "opaque-id and opaque-type) or "
            + "(not(derived-from(type, "
            + "'ospfv2-opaque-lsa-type')) "
            + "and not(opaque-id) and not(opaque-type))" {
            description
                "Opaque type and ID only apply to Opaque LSAs.";
        }
    }
    description
        "Decoded OSPFv2 LSA header data.";
    leaf option {
        type bits {
            bit MT {
                description
                    "When set, the router supports multi-topology as
                    in RFC 4915.";
            }
            bit DC {
                description
                    "When set, the router supports demand circuits.";
            }
            bit P {
                description
                    "Only used in type-7 LSA. When set, an NSSA
                    border router should translate the type-7 LSA
                    to a type-5 LSA.";
            }
            bit MC {
                description
                    "When set, the router supports MOSPF.";
            }
            bit E {
                description
                    "This bit describes the way AS-external LSAs
                    are flooded.";
            }
        }
    }
}
```

```
    bit O {
      description
        "When set, the router is opaque-capable as in
         RFC 5250.";
    }
    bit DN {
      description
        "When a type 3, 5 or 7 LSA is sent from a PE to a CE,
         the DN bit must be set. See RFC 4576.";
    }
  }
  mandatory true;
  description "LSA options.";
}
leaf lsa-id {
  type yang:dotted-quad;
  mandatory true;
  description "Link-State ID.";
}

leaf opaque-type {
  type uint8;
  description "Opaque type.";
}

leaf opaque-id {
  type opaque-id;
  description "Opaque ID.";
}

uses lsa-header;
}
container body {
  description
    "Decoded OSPFv2 LSA body data.";
  uses ospfv2-lsa-body;
}
}

grouping ospfv3-lsa {
  description
    "Decoded OSPFv3 LSA.";
  container header {
    description
      "Decoded OSPFv3 LSA header data.";
    leaf lsa-id {
      type uint32;
      mandatory true;
    }
  }
}
```

```
        description "OSPFv3 LSA ID.";
    }
    uses lsa-header;
}
container body {
    description
        "Decoded OSPF LSA body data.";
    uses ospfv3-lsa-body;
}
}
grouping lsa-common {
    description
        "Common fields for OSPF LSA representation.";
    leaf decoded-completed {
        type boolean;
        description
            "The OSPF LSA body is fully decoded.";
    }
    leaf raw-data {
        type yang:hex-string;
        description
            "The complete LSA in network byte
            order hexadecimal as received or originated.";
    }
}
}

grouping lsa {
    description
        "OSPF LSA.";
    uses lsa-common;
    choice version {
        description
            "OSPFv2 or OSPFv3 LSA body.";
        container ospfv2 {
            description "OSPFv2 LSA";
            uses ospfv2-lsa;
        }
        container ospfv3 {
            description "OSPFv3 LSA";
            uses ospfv3-lsa;
        }
    }
}

grouping lsa-key {
    description
        "OSPF LSA key.";
    leaf lsa-id {
```

```
    type union {
      type yang:dotted-quad;
      type uint32;
    }
    description
      "Link-State ID.";
  }
  leaf adv-router {
    type rt-types:router-id;
    description
      "Advertising router.";
  }
}

grouping instance-stat {
  description "Per-instance statistics";
  leaf originate-new-lsa-count {
    type yang:counter32;
    description "The number of new LSAs originated.";
  }
  leaf rx-new-lsas-count {
    type yang:counter32;
    description "The number of LSAs received.";
  }
  leaf as-scope-lsa-count {
    type yang:gauge32;
    description "The number of AS-scope LSAs.";
  }
  leaf as-scope-lsa-chksum-sum {
    type uint32;
    description
      "The sum of the LSA checksums for AS-scope LSAs.";
  }
}
container database {
  description "Container for per AS-scope LSA statistics.";
  list as-scope-lsa-type {
    description "List of AS-scope LSA statistics";
    leaf lsa-type {
      type uint16;
      description "AS-Scope LSA type.";
    }
    leaf lsa-count {
      type yang:gauge32;
      description "The number of LSAs of the LSA type.";
    }
  }
  leaf lsa-cksum-sum {
    type uint32;
    description

```

```
        "The sum of the LSA checksums of the LSA type.";
    }
}
}
uses instance-fast-reroute-state;
}

grouping area-stat {
  description "Per-area statistics.";
  leaf spf-runs-count {
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of times the intra-area SPF has run.";
  }
  leaf abr-count {
    type yang:gauge32;
    description
      "The total number of Area Border Routers (ABRs)
      reachable within this area.";
  }
  leaf asbr-count {
    type yang:gauge32;
    description
      "The total number of AS Boundary Routers (ASBRs).";
  }
  leaf ar-nssa-translator-event-count {
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of NSSA translator-state changes.";
  }
  leaf area-scope-lsa-count {
    type yang:gauge32;
    description
      "The number of area-scope LSAs in the area.";
  }
  leaf area-scope-lsa-cksum-sum {
    type uint32;
    description "The sum of the area-scope LSAs checksums.";
  }
  container database {
    description "Container for area-scope LSA type statistics.";
    list area-scope-lsa-type {
      description "List of area-scope LSA statistics";
      leaf lsa-type {
        type uint16;
        description "Area-scope LSA type.";
      }
      leaf lsa-count {
```

```
        type yang:gauge32;
        description "The number of LSAs of the LSA type.";
    }
    leaf lsa-cksum-sum {
        type uint32;
        description
            "The sum of the LSA checksums of the LSA type.";
    }
}
}
}

grouping interface-stat {
    description "Per-interface statistics";
    leaf if-event-count {
        type yang:counter32;
        description
            "The number of times this interface has changed its
            state or an error has occurred.";
    }
    leaf link-scope-lsa-count {
        type yang:gauge32;
        description "The number of link-scope LSAs.";
    }
    leaf link-scope-lsa-cksum-sum {
        type uint32;
        description "The sum of link-scope LSA checksums.";
    }
    container database {
        description "Container for link-scope LSA type statistics.";
        list link-scope-lsa-type {
            description "List of link-scope LSA statistics";
            leaf lsa-type {
                type uint16;
                description "Link scope LSA type.";
            }
            leaf lsa-count {
                type yang:gauge32;
                description "The number of LSAs of the LSA type.";
            }
            leaf lsa-cksum-sum {
                type uint32;
                description
                    "The sum of the LSA checksums of the LSA type.";
            }
        }
    }
}
}
```

```
grouping neighbor-stat {
  description "Per-neighbor statistics.";
  leaf nbr-event-count {
    type yang:counter32;
    description
      "The number of times this neighbor has changed
       state or an error has occurred.";
  }
  leaf nbr-retrans-qlen {
    type yang:gauge32;
    description
      "The current length of the retransmission queue.";
  }
}

grouping instance-fast-reroute-config {
  description
    "This group defines global configuration of IP
     Fast ReRoute (FRR).";
  container fast-reroute {
    if-feature fast-reroute;
    description
      "This container may be augmented with global
       parameters for IP-FRR.";
    container lfa {
      if-feature lfa;
      description
        "This container may be augmented with
         global parameters for Loop-Free Alternatives (LFA).
         Container creation has no effect on LFA activation.";
    }
  }
}

grouping instance-fast-reroute-state {
  description "IPFRR state data grouping";

  container protected-routes {
    if-feature fast-reroute;
    config false;
    description "Instance protection statistics";

    list address-family-stats {
      key "address-family prefix alternate";
      description
        "Per Address Family protected prefix information";

      leaf address-family {
```

```
    type iana-rt-types:address-family;
    description
      "Address-family";
  }
  leaf prefix {
    type inet:ip-prefix;
    description
      "Protected prefix.";
  }
  leaf alternate {
    type inet:ip-address;
    description
      "Alternate next hop for the prefix.";
  }
  leaf alternate-type {
    type enumeration {
      enum equal-cost {
        description
          "ECMP alternate.";
      }
      enum lfa {
        description
          "LFA alternate.";
      }
      enum remote-lfa {
        description
          "Remote LFA alternate.";
      }
      enum tunnel {
        description
          "Tunnel based alternate
            (like RSVP-TE or GRE).";
      }
      enum ti-lfa {
        description
          "TI-LFA alternate.";
      }
      enum mrt {
        description
          "MRT alternate.";
      }
      enum other {
        description
          "Unknown alternate type.";
      }
    }
  }
  description
    "Type of alternate.";
```

```
    }
  leaf best {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Indicates if the alternate is the preferred.";
  }
  leaf non-best-reason {
    type string {
      length "1..255";
    }
    description
      "Information field to describe why the alternate
       is not best.";
  }
  leaf protection-available {
    type bits {
      bit node-protect {
        position 0;
        description
          "Node protection available.";
      }
      bit link-protect {
        position 1;
        description
          "Link protection available.";
      }
      bit srlg-protect {
        position 2;
        description
          "SRLG protection available.";
      }
      bit downstream-protect {
        position 3;
        description
          "Downstream protection available.";
      }
      bit other {
        position 4;
        description
          "Other protection available.";
      }
    }
    description "Protection provided by the alternate.";
  }
  leaf alternate-metric1 {
    type uint32;
    description
      "Metric from Point of Local Repair (PLR) to
```

```
        destination through the alternate path.";
    }
    leaf alternate-metric2 {
        type uint32;
        description
            "Metric from PLR to the alternate node";
    }
    leaf alternate-metric3 {
        type uint32;
        description
            "Metric from alternate node to the destination";
    }
}

container unprotected-routes {
    if-feature fast-reroute;
    config false;
    description "List of prefixes that are not protected";

    list address-family-stats {
        key "address-family prefix";
        description
            "Per Address Family (AF) unprotected prefix statistics.";

        leaf address-family {
            type iana-rt-types:address-family;
            description "Address-family";
        }
        leaf prefix {
            type inet:ip-prefix;
            description "Unprotected prefix.";
        }
    }
}

list protection-statistics {
    key frr-protection-method;
    config false;
    description "List protection method statistics";

    leaf frr-protection-method {
        type string;
        description "Protection method used.";
    }
    list address-family-stats {
        key address-family;
        description "Per Address Family protection statistics.";
    }
}
```

```
leaf address-family {
  type iana-rt-types:address-family;
  description "Address-family";
}
leaf total-routes {
  type uint32;
  description "Total prefixes.";
}
leaf unprotected-routes {
  type uint32;
  description
    "Total prefixes that are not protected.";
}
leaf protected-routes {
  type uint32;
  description
    "Total prefixes that are protected.";
}
leaf linkprotected-routes {
  type uint32;
  description
    "Total prefixes that are link protected.";
}
leaf nodeprotected-routes {
  type uint32;
  description
    "Total prefixes that are node protected.";
}
}
}
}

grouping interface-fast-reroute-config {
  description
    "This group defines interface configuration of IP-FRR.";
  container fast-reroute {
    if-feature fast-reroute;
    container lfa {
      if-feature lfa;
      leaf candidate-enable {
        type boolean;
        default true;
        description
          "Enable the interface to be used as backup.";
      }
      leaf enable {
        type boolean;
        default false;
      }
    }
  }
}
```

```
        description
            "Activates LFA - Per-prefix LFA computation
             is assumed.";
    }
    container remote-lfa {
        if-feature remote-lfa;
        leaf enable {
            type boolean;
            default false;
            description
                "Activates Remote LFA (R-LFA).";
        }
        description
            "Remote LFA configuration.";
    }
    description
        "LFA configuration.";
}
description
    "Interface IP Fast-reroute configuration.";
}
}

grouping interface-physical-link-config {
    description
        "Interface cost configuration that only applies to
         physical interfaces (non-virtual) and sham links.";
    leaf cost {
        type uint16 {
            range "1..65535";
        }
        description
            "Interface cost.";
    }
    leaf mtu-ignore {
        if-feature mtu-ignore;
        type boolean;
        description
            "Enable/Disable bypassing the MTU mismatch check in
             Database Description packets.";
    }
    leaf prefix-suppression {
        if-feature prefix-suppression;
        type boolean;
        description
            "Suppress advertisement of the prefixes associated
             with the interface.";
    }
}
```

```
leaf two-part-metric {
  if-feature two-part-metric;
  type boolean;
  description
    "Support advertisement and computation of the 2-part
    metric.";
}
}

grouping interface-common-config {
  description
    "Common configuration for all types of interfaces,
    including virtual links and sham links.";

  leaf hello-interval {
    type rt-types:timer-value-seconds16;
    description
      "Interval between hello packets (seconds). It must
      be the same for all routers on the same network.
      Different networks, implementations, and deployments
      will use different hello-intervals. A sample value
      for a LAN network would be 10 seconds.";
  }

  leaf dead-interval {
    type rt-types:timer-value-seconds32;
    must "../dead-interval > ../hello-interval" {
      error-message "The dead interval must be "
        + "larger than the hello interval";
    }
    description
      "The value MUST be greater than 'hello-interval'.";
  }
  description
    "Interval after which a neighbor is declared down
    (seconds) if hello packets are not received. It is
    typically 3 or 4 times the hello-interval. A typical
    value for LAN networks is 40 seconds.";
}

leaf retransmit-interval {
  type uint16 {
    range "1..3600";
  }
  units seconds;
  description
    "Interval between retransmitting unacknowledged Link
    State Advertisements (LSAs) (seconds). This should
    be well over the round-trip transmit delay for
```

```
        any two routers on the network. A sample value
        would be 5 seconds.";
    }

    leaf transmit-delay {
        type rt-types:timer-value-seconds16;
        description
            "Estimated time needed to transmit Link State Update
            (LSU) packets on the interface (seconds). LSAs have
            their age incremented by this amount on advertised
            on the interface. A sample value would be 1 second.";
    }

    leaf lls {
        if-feature lls;
        type boolean;
        description
            "Enable/Disable link-local signaling (LLS) support.";
    }

    container ttl-security {
        if-feature ttl-security;
        description "Time to Live (TTL) security check.";
        leaf enable {
            type boolean;
            description
                "Enable/Disable TTL security check.";
        }
        leaf hops {
            type uint8 {
                range "1..254";
            }
            description
                "Maximum number of hops that an OSPF packet may
                have traversed before reception.";
        }
    }
}

leaf enable {
    type boolean;
    default true;
    description
        "Enable/disable OSPF protocol on the interface.";
}

container authentication {
    description "Authentication configuration.";
    choice auth-type-selection {
        description
```

```
    "Options for OSPFv2/OSPFv3 authentication
    configuration.";
case ospfv2-auth {
  when "derived-from-or-self(..../..../..../..../rt:type, "
    + "'ospf:ospfv2')" {
    description "Applied to OSPFv2 only.";
  }
  leaf ospfv2-auth-trailer-rfc {
    if-feature ospfv2-authentication-trailer;
    type ospfv2-auth-trailer-rfc-version;
    description
      "Version of OSPFv2 authentication trailer support -
      RFC 5709 or RFC 7474";
  }
  choice ospfv2-auth-specification {
    description
      "Key chain or explicit key parameter specification";
    case auth-key-chain {
      if-feature key-chain;
      leaf ospfv2-key-chain {
        type key-chain:key-chain-ref;
        description
          "key-chain name.";
      }
    }
    case auth-key-explicit {
      leaf ospfv2-key-id {
        type uint32;
        description
          "Key Identifier";
      }
      leaf ospfv2-key {
        type string;
        description
          "OSPFv2 authentication key. The
          length of the key may be dependent on the
          cryptographic algorithm. In cases where it is
          not, a key length of at least 32 octets should
          be supported to allow for interoperability
          with strong keys.";
      }
      leaf ospfv2-crypto-algorithm {
        type identityref {
          base key-chain:crypto-algorithm;
        }
        description
          "Cryptographic algorithm associated with key.";
      }
    }
  }
}
```

```
    }
  }
}
case ospfv3-auth-ipsec {
  when "derived-from-or-self(..../..../..../..../rt:type, "
    + "'ospf:ospfv3')" {
    description "Applied to OSPFv3 only.";
  }
  if-feature ospfv3-authentication-ipsec;
  leaf sa {
    type string;
    description
      "Security Association (SA) name.";
  }
}
case ospfv3-auth-trailer {
  when "derived-from-or-self(..../..../..../..../rt:type, "
    + "'ospf:ospfv3')" {
    description "Applied to OSPFv3 only.";
  }
  if-feature ospfv3-authentication-trailer;
  choice ospfv3-auth-specification {
    description
      "Key chain or explicit key parameter specification";
    case auth-key-chain {
      if-feature key-chain;
      leaf ospfv3-key-chain {
        type key-chain:key-chain-ref;
        description
          "key-chain name.";
      }
    }
  }
  case auth-key-explicit {
    leaf ospfv3-sa-id {
      type uint16;
      description
        "Security Association (SA) Identifier";
    }
  }
  leaf ospfv3-key {
    type string;
    description
      "OSPFv2 authentication key. The
      length of the key may be dependent on the
      cryptographic algorithm. In cases where it is
      not, a key length of at least 32 octets should
      be supported to allow for interoperability
      with strong keys.";
  }
}
```

```
        leaf ospfv3-crypto-algorithm {
            type identityref {
                base key-chain:crypto-algorithm;
            }
            description
                "Cryptographic algorithm associated with key.";
        }
    }
}

grouping interface-config {
    description "Configuration for real interfaces.";

    leaf interface-type {
        type enumeration {
            enum "broadcast" {
                description
                    "Specify OSPF broadcast multi-access network.";
            }
            enum "non-broadcast" {
                description
                    "Specify OSPF Non-Broadcast Multi-Access
                    (NBMA) network.";
            }
            enum "point-to-multipoint" {
                description
                    "Specify OSPF point-to-multipoint network.";
            }
            enum "point-to-point" {
                description
                    "Specify OSPF point-to-point network.";
            }
            enum "hybrid" {
                if-feature hybrid-interface;
                description
                    "Specify OSPF hybrid broadcast/P2MP network.";
            }
        }
        description
            "Interface type.";
    }

    leaf passive {
        type boolean;
    }
}
```

```
    description
      "Enable/Disable passive interface - a passive interface's
       prefix will be advertised but no neighbor adjacencies
       will be formed on the interface.";
  }

  leaf demand-circuit {
    if-feature demand-circuit;
    type boolean;
    description
      "Enable/Disable demand circuit.";
  }

  leaf priority {
    type uint8;
    description
      "Configure OSPF router priority. On multi-access network
       this value is for Designated Router (DR) election. The
       priority is ignored on other interface types. A value
       of 0 indicates the router is not eligible to become
       Designated Router or Backup Designated Router (BDR).";
  }

  container multi-areas {
    if-feature multi-area-adj;
    description "Container for multi-area config.";
    list multi-area {
      key multi-area-id;
      description
        "Configure OSPF multi-area adjacency.";
      leaf multi-area-id {
        type area-id-type;
        description
          "Multi-area adjacency area ID.";
      }
      leaf cost {
        type uint16;
        description
          "Interface cost for multi-area adjacency.";
      }
    }
  }

  container static-neighbors {
    description "Statically configured neighbors.";

    list neighbor {
      key "identifier";
```

```
description
  "Specify a static OSPF neighbor.";

leaf identifier {
  type inet:ip-address;
  description
    "Neighbor Router ID, IPv4 address, or IPv6 address.";
}

leaf cost {
  type uint16 {
    range "1..65535";
  }
  description
    "Neighbor cost. Different implementations have different
    default costs with some defaulting to a cost inversely
    proportional to the interface speed. Others will
    default to 1 equating the cost to a hop count." ;
}

leaf poll-interval {
  type rt-types:timer-value-seconds16;
  description
    "Neighbor poll interval (seconds) for sending OSPF
    hello packets to discover the neighbor on NBMA
    networks. This interval dictates the granularity for
    discovery of new neighbors. A sample would be 2 minutes
    for a legacy Packet Data Network (PDN) X.25 network.";
}

leaf priority {
  type uint8 {
    range "1..255";
  }
  description "Neighbor priority for DR election.";
}
}

leaf node-flag {
  if-feature node-flag;
  type boolean;
  default false;
  description
    "Set prefix as identifying the advertising router.";
  reference "RFC 7684 - OSPFv2 Prefix/Link Attribute
    Advertisement";
}

container bfd {
```

```
        if-feature bfd;
        description "BFD Client Configuration.";
        uses bfd-types:client-cfg-parms;
        reference "draft-ietf-bfd-yang-xx.txt:
                YANG Data Model for Bidirectional Forwarding
                Detection (BFD)";
    }

    uses interface-fast-reroute-config;
    uses interface-common-config;
    uses interface-physical-link-config;
}

grouping neighbor-state {
    description
        "OSPF neighbor operational state.";

    leaf address {
        type inet:ip-address;
        config false;
        description
            "Neighbor address.";
    }
    leaf dr-router-id {
        type rt-types:router-id;
        config false;
        description "Neighbor's Designated Router (DR) Router ID.";
    }

    leaf dr-ip-addr {
        type inet:ip-address;
        config false;
        description "Neighbor's Designated Router (DR) IP address.";
    }

    leaf bdr-router-id {
        type rt-types:router-id;
        config false;
        description
            "Neighbor's Backup Designated Router (BDR) Router ID.";
    }

    leaf bdr-ip-addr {
        type inet:ip-address;
        config false;
        description
            "Neighbor's Backup Designated Router (BDR) IP Address.";
    }
}
```

```
leaf state {
  type nbr-state-type;
  config false;
  description
    "OSPF neighbor state.";
}
leaf cost {
  type uint32;
  config false;
  description "Cost to reach neighbor for Point-to-Multipoint
    and Hybrid networks";
}
leaf dead-timer {
  type rt-types:timer-value-seconds32;
  config false;
  description "This timer tracks the remaining time before
    the neighbor is declared dead.";
}
container statistics {
  config false;
  description "Per-neighbor statistics";
  uses neighbor-stat;
}
}

grouping interface-common-state {
  description
    "OSPF interface common operational state.";
  reference "RFC2328 Section 9";

  leaf state {
    type if-state-type;
    config false;
    description "Interface state.";
  }

  leaf hello-timer {
    type rt-types:timer-value-seconds16;
    config false;
    description "This timer tracks the remaining time before
      the next hello packet is sent on the
      interface.";
  }

  leaf wait-timer {
    type rt-types:timer-value-seconds32;
    config false;
    description "This timer tracks the remaining time before
```

```
        the interface exits the Waiting state.";
    }

    leaf dr-router-id {
        type rt-types:router-id;
        config false;
        description "Designated Router (DR) Router ID.";
    }

    leaf dr-ip-addr {
        type inet:ip-address;
        config false;
        description "Designated Router (DR) IP address.";
    }

    leaf bdr-router-id {
        type rt-types:router-id;
        config false;
        description "Backup Designated Router (BDR) Router ID.";
    }

    leaf bdr-ip-addr {
        type inet:ip-address;
        config false;
        description "Backup Designated Router (BDR) IP Address.";
    }

    container statistics {
        config false;
        description "Per-interface statistics";
        uses interface-stat;
    }

    container neighbors {
        config false;
        description "All neighbors for the interface.";
        list neighbor {
            key "neighbor-router-id";
            description
                "List of interface OSPF neighbors.";
            leaf neighbor-router-id {
                type rt-types:router-id;
                description
                    "Neighbor Router ID.";
            }
            uses neighbor-state;
        }
    }
}
```



```
grouping virtual-link-config {
  description
    "OSPF virtual link configuration state.";

  uses interface-common-config;
}

grouping virtual-link-state {
  description
    "OSPF virtual link operational state.";

  leaf cost {
    type uint16 {
      range "1..65535";
    }
    config false;
    description
      "Virtual link interface cost.";
  }
  uses interface-common-state;
}

grouping sham-link-config {
  description
    "OSPF sham link configuration state.";

  uses interface-common-config;
  uses interface-physical-link-config;
}

grouping sham-link-state {
  description
    "OSPF sham link operational state.";
  uses interface-common-state;
}

grouping address-family-area-config {
  description
    "OSPF address-family specific area config state.";

  container ranges {
    description "Container for summary ranges";

    list range {
      key "prefix";
      description
        "Summarize routes matching address/mask -
        Applicable to Area Border Routers (ABRs) only.";
    }
  }
}
```

```
    leaf prefix {
      type inet:ip-prefix;
      description
        "IPv4 or IPv6 prefix";
    }
    leaf advertise {
      type boolean;
      description
        "Advertise or hide.";
    }
    leaf cost {
      type ospf-metric {
        range "0..16777214";
      }
      description
        "Advertised cost of summary route.";
    }
  }
}

grouping area-common-config {
  description
    "OSPF area common configuration state.";

  leaf summary {
    when "derived-from(..../area-type,'ospf:stub-nssa-area')" {
      description
        "Summary advertisement into the stub/NSSA area.";
    }
    type boolean;
    description
      "Enable/Disable summary advertisement into the stub or
      NSSA area.";
  }
  leaf default-cost {
    when "derived-from(..../area-type,'ospf:stub-nssa-area')" {
      description
        "Cost for LSA default route advertised into the
        stub or NSSA area.";
    }
    type ospf-metric;
    description
      "Set the summary default route cost for a
      stub or NSSA area.";
  }
}
```

```
grouping area-config {
  description
    "OSPF area configuration state.";

  leaf area-type {
    type identityref {
      base area-type;
    }
    default normal-area;
    description
      "Area type.";
  }

  uses area-common-config;
  uses address-family-area-config;
}

grouping area-state {
  description
    "OSPF area operational state.";

  container statistics {
    config false;
    description "Per-area statistics";
    uses area-stat;
  }

  container database {
    config false;
    description "Area-scope Link State Database.";
    list area-scope-lsa-type {
      key "lsa-type";
      description "List OSPF area-scope LSAs.";
      leaf lsa-type {
        type uint16;
        description "OSPF area-scope LSA type.";
      }
    }
    container area-scope-lsas {
      description
        "All area-scope LSAs of an area-scope
        LSA type.";
      list area-scope-lsa {
        key "lsa-id adv-router";
        description "List of OSPF area-scope LSAs";
        uses lsa-key;
        uses lsa {
          refine "version/ospfv2/ospfv2" {
            must "derived-from-or-self( "
```



```
        leaf metric {
            type uint32;
            description "Metric for this route.";
        }
        leaf route-type {
            type route-type;
            description "Route type for this route.";
        }
        leaf route-tag {
            type uint32;
            description "Route tag for this route.";
        }
    }
}

grouping ietf-spf-delay {
    leaf initial-delay {
        type rt-types:timer-value-milliseconds;
        description
            "Delay used while in QUIET state (milliseconds).";
    }
    leaf short-delay {
        type rt-types:timer-value-milliseconds;
        description
            "Delay used while in SHORT_WAIT state (milliseconds).";
    }
    leaf long-delay {
        type rt-types:timer-value-milliseconds;
        description
            "Delay used while in LONG_WAIT state (milliseconds).";
    }
    leaf hold-down {
        type rt-types:timer-value-milliseconds;
        description
            "Timer used to consider an IGP stability period
            (milliseconds).";
    }
    leaf time-to-learn {
        type rt-types:timer-value-milliseconds;
        description
            "Duration used to learn all the IGP events
            related to a single component failure (milliseconds).";
    }
    leaf current-state {
        type enumeration {
            enum "quiet" {
                description "QUIET state";
            }
        }
    }
}
```

```
    }
    enum "short-wait" {
      description "SHORT_WAIT state";
    }
    enum "long-wait" {
      description "LONG_WAIT state";
    }
  }
  config false;
  description
    "Current SPF back-off algorithm state.";
}
leaf remaining-time-to-learn {
  type rt-types:timer-value-seconds16;
  config false;
  description
    "Remaining time until time-to-learn timer fires.";
}
leaf remaining-hold-down {
  type rt-types:timer-value-seconds16;
  config false;
  description
    "Remaining time until hold-down timer fires.";
}
leaf last-event-received {
  type yang:timestamp;
  config false;
  description
    "Time of last SPF triggering event.";
}
leaf next-spf-time {
  type yang:timestamp;
  config false;
  description
    "Time when next SPF has been scheduled.";
}
leaf last-spf-time {
  type yang:timestamp;
  config false;
  description
    "Time of last SPF computation.";
}
description
  "Grouping for IETF SPF delay configuration and state";
}

grouping node-tag-config {
  description
```

```
    "OSPF node tag config state.";
  container node-tags {
    if-feature node-tag;
    list node-tag {
      key tag;
      leaf tag {
        type uint32;
        description
          "Node tag value.";
      }
      description
        "List of tags.";
    }
    description
      "Container for node admin tags.";
  }
}

grouping instance-config {
  description
    "OSPF instance config state.";

  leaf enable {
    type boolean;
    default true;
    description
      "Enable/Disable the protocol.";
  }

  leaf explicit-router-id {
    if-feature explicit-router-id;
    type rt-types:router-id;
    description
      "Defined in RFC 2328. A 32-bit number
       that uniquely identifies the router.";
  }

  container preference {
    description "Route preference config state.";
    choice scope {
      description
        "Options for expressing preference
         as single or multiple values.";
      case single-value {
        leaf all {
          type uint8;
          description
            "Preference for intra-area, inter-area, and
```

```
        external routes.";
    }
}
case multi-values {
  choice granularity {
    description
      "Options for expressing preference
      for intra-area and inter-area routes.";
    case detail {
      leaf intra-area {
        type uint8;
        description
          "Preference for intra-area routes.";
      }
      leaf inter-area {
        type uint8;
        description
          "Preference for inter-area routes.";
      }
    }
    case coarse {
      leaf internal {
        type uint8;
        description
          "Preference for both intra-area and
          inter-area routes.";
      }
    }
  }
}
leaf external {
  type uint8;
  description
    "Preference for AS external routes.";
}
}
}

container nsr {
  if-feature nsr;
  description
    "Non-Stop Routing (NSR) config state.";
  leaf enable {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Enable/Disable NSR.";
  }
}
}
```

```
container graceful-restart {
  if-feature graceful-restart;
  description
    "Graceful restart config state.";
  reference "RFC 3623 - OSPF Graceful Restart
            RFC 5187 - OSPFv3 Graceful Restart";
  leaf enable {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Enable/Disable graceful restart as defined in RFC 3623
       for OSPFv2 and RFC 5187 for OSPFv3.";
  }
  leaf helper-enable {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Enable graceful restart helper support for restarting
       routers (RFC 3623 Section 3).";
  }
  leaf restart-interval {
    type uint16 {
      range "1..1800";
    }
    units seconds;
    default "120";
    description
      "Interval to attempt graceful restart prior
       to failing (RFC 3623 Section B.1) (seconds)";
  }
  leaf helper-strict-lsa-checking {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Terminate graceful restart when an LSA topology change
       is detected (RFC 3623 Section B.2).";
  }
}

container auto-cost {
  if-feature auto-cost;
  description
    "Interface Auto-cost configuration state.";
  leaf enable {
    type boolean;
    description
      "Enable/Disable interface auto-cost.";
  }
  leaf reference-bandwidth {
    when "../enable = 'true'" {
      description "Only when auto cost is enabled";
    }
  }
}
```

```
    }
    type uint32 {
      range "1..4294967";
    }
    units Mbits;
    description
      "Configure reference bandwidth used to automatically
       determine interface cost (Mbits). The cost is the
       reference bandwidth divided by the interface speed
       with 1 being the minimum cost.";
  }
}

container spf-control {
  leaf paths {
    if-feature max-ecmp;
    type uint16 {
      range "1..32";
    }
    description
      "Maximum number of Equal-Cost Multi-Path (ECMP) paths.";
  }
  container ietf-spf-delay {
    if-feature ietf-spf-delay;
    uses ietf-spf-delay;
    description
      "IETF SPF delay algorithm configuration.";
  }
  description "SPF calculation control.";
}

container database-control {
  leaf max-lsa {
    if-feature max-lsa;
    type uint32 {
      range "1..4294967294";
    }
    description
      "Maximum number of LSAs OSPF the router will accept.";
  }
  description "Database maintenance control.";
}

container stub-router {
  if-feature stub-router;
  description "Set maximum metric configuration";

  choice trigger {
```

```
description
  "Specific triggers which will enable stub
  router state.";
container always {
  presence
    "Enables unconditional stub router support";
  description
    "Unconditional stub router state (advertise
    transit links with max metric";
}
}
}

container mpls {
  description
    "OSPF MPLS config state.";
  container te-rid {
    if-feature te-rid;
    description
      "Stable OSPF Router IP Address used for Traffic
      Engineering (TE)";
    leaf ipv4-router-id {
      type inet:ipv4-address;
      description
        "Explicitly configure the TE IPv4 Router ID.";
    }
    leaf ipv6-router-id {
      type inet:ipv6-address;
      description
        "Explicitly configure the TE IPv6 Router ID.";
    }
  }
}
container ldp {
  description
    "OSPF MPLS LDP config state.";
  leaf igp-sync {
    if-feature ldp-igp-sync;
    type boolean;
    description
      "Enable LDP IGP synchronization.";
  }
}
}
uses instance-fast-reroute-config;
uses node-tag-config;
}

grouping instance-state {
```

```

description
  "OSPF instance operational state.";

leaf router-id {
  type rt-types:router-id;
  config false;
  description
    "Defined in RFC 2328. A 32-bit number
     that uniquely identifies the router.";
}

uses local-rib;

container statistics {
  config false;
  description "Per-instance statistics";
  uses instance-stat;
}

container database {
  config false;
  description "AS-scope Link State Database.";
  list as-scope-lsa-type {
    key "lsa-type";
    description "List OSPF AS-scope LSAs.";
    leaf lsa-type {
      type uint16;
      description "OSPF AS scope LSA type.";
    }
    container as-scope-lsas {
      description "All AS-scope of LSA of this LSA type.";
      list as-scope-lsa {
        key "lsa-id adv-router";
        description "List of OSPF AS-scope LSAs";
        uses lsa-key;
        uses lsa {
          refine "version/ospfv2/ospfv2" {
            must "derived-from-or-self( "
              + "../.../.../.../.../..."
              + "rt:type, 'ospf:ospfv2')" {
              description "OSPFv2 LSA.";
            }
          }
          refine "version/ospfv3/ospfv3" {
            must "derived-from-or-self( "
              + "../.../.../.../.../..."
              + "rt:type, 'ospf:ospfv3')" {
              description "OSPFv3 LSA.";
            }
          }
        }
      }
    }
  }
}

```



```
    }
  }

  grouping multi-topology-area-config {
    description
      "OSPF multi-topology area configuration state.";

    uses multi-topology-area-common-config;
    uses address-family-area-config;
  }

  grouping multi-topology-area-state {
    description
      "OSPF multi-topology area operational state.";
  }

  grouping multi-topology-config {
    description
      "OSPF multi-topology configuration state.";
  }

  grouping multi-topology-state {
    description
      "OSPF multi-topology operational state.";

    uses local-rib;
  }

  grouping multi-topology-interface-config {
    description
      "OSPF multi-topology configuration state.";

    leaf cost {
      type uint32;
      description
        "Interface cost for this topology.";
    }
  }

  grouping multi-topology-interface-state {
    description
      "OSPF multi-topology operational state.";
  }

  grouping ospfv3-interface-config {
    description
      "OSPFv3 interface specific configuration state.";
```

```
    leaf instance-id {
      type uint8 {
        range "0 .. 31";
      }
      description
        "OSPFv3 instance ID.";
    }
  }

  grouping ospfv3-interface-state {
    description
      "OSPFv3 interface specific operational state.";

    leaf interface-id {
      type uint16;
      config false;
      description
        "OSPFv3 interface ID.";
    }
  }

  grouping lsa-identifiers {
    description
      "The parameters that uniquely identify an LSA.";
    leaf area-id {
      type area-id-type;
      description
        "Area ID";
    }
    leaf type {
      type uint16;
      description
        "LSA type.";
    }
    leaf lsa-id {
      type yang:dotted-quad;
      description "Link-State ID.";
    }
    leaf adv-router {
      type rt-types:router-id;
      description
        "LSA advertising router.";
    }
    leaf seq-num {
      type uint32;
      description
        "LSA sequence number.";
    }
  }
}
```

```
}

grouping spf-log {
  description
    "Grouping for SPF log.";
  container spf-log {
    config false;
    description
      "This container lists the SPF log.";
    list event {
      key id;
      description
        "List of SPF log entries represented
        as a wrapping buffer.";
      leaf id {
        type uint32;
        description
          "Event identifier - Purely internal value.";
      }
      leaf spf-type {
        type enumeration {
          enum full {
            description
              "SPF computation was a Full SPF.";
          }
          enum intra {
            description
              "SPF computation was only for intra-area routes.";
          }
          enum inter {
            description
              "SPF computation was only for inter-area
              summary routes.";
          }
          enum external {
            description
              "SPF computation was only for AS external routes.";
          }
        }
      }
      description
        "The SPF computation type for the SPF log entry.";
    }
    leaf schedule-timestamp {
      type yang:timestamp;
      description
        "This is the timestamp when the computation was
        scheduled.";
    }
  }
}
```

```
    leaf start-timestamp {
      type yang:timestamp;
      description
        "This is the timestamp when the computation was
        started.";
    }
    leaf end-timestamp {
      type yang:timestamp;
      description
        "This the timestamp when the computation was
        completed.";
    }
    list trigger-lsa {
      description
        "The list of LSAs that triggered the computation.";
      uses lsa-identifiers;
    }
  }
}

grouping lsa-log {
  description
    "Grouping for the LSA log.";
  container lsa-log {
    config false;
    description
      "This container lists the LSA log.
      Local LSA modifications are also included
      in the list.";
    list event {
      key id;
      description
        "List of LSA log entries represented
        as a wrapping buffer.";
      leaf id {
        type uint32;
        description
          "Event identifier - purely internal value.";
      }
      container lsa {
        description
          "This container describes the logged LSA.";
        uses lsa-identifiers;
      }
      leaf received-timestamp {
        type yang:timestamp;
        description

```

```
        "This is the timestamp when the LSA was received.
        In case of local LSA update, the timestamp refers
        to the LSA origination time.";
    }
    leaf reason {
        type identityref {
            base lsa-log-reason;
        }
        description
            "This reason for the LSA log entry.";
    }
}
}
}

augment "/rt:routing/rt:control-plane-protocols/"
    + "rt:control-plane-protocol" {
    when "derived-from(rt:type, 'ospf:ospf-protocol')" {
        description
            "This augmentation is only valid for a routing protocol
            instance of OSPF (type 'ospfv2' or 'ospfv3').";
    }
    description "OSPF protocol ietf-routing module
        control-plane-protocol augmentation.";

    container ospf {
        description
            "OSPF protocol Instance";

        uses ospf-config;
        uses ospf-state;

        leaf address-family {
            type iana-rt-types:address-family;
            description
                "Address-family of the instance.";
        }

        uses instance-config;
        uses instance-state;

        container areas {
            description "All areas.";
            list area {
                key "area-id";
                description
                    "List of OSPF areas";
                leaf area-id {
```

```

    type area-id-type;
    description
      "Area ID";
  }

  uses area-config;
  uses area-state;

  container virtual-links {
    when "derived-from-or-self(..area-type, 'normal-area') "
      + "and ../area-id = '0.0.0.0'" {
      description
        "Virtual links must be in backbone area.";
    }
    description "All virtual links.";
    list virtual-link {
      key "transit-area-id router-id";
      description
        "OSPF virtual link";
      leaf transit-area-id {
        type leafref {
          path "../..../..../area/area-id";
        }
        must "derived-from-or-self("
          + "../..../..../area[area-id=current()]/area-type, "
          + "'normal-area') and "
          + "../..../..../area[area-id=current()]/area-id != "
          + "'0.0.0.0'" {
          error-message "Virtual link transit area must "
            + "be non-zero.";
          description
            "Virtual-link transit area must be
              non-zero area.";
        }
        description
          "Virtual link transit area ID.";
      }
      leaf router-id {
        type rt-types:router-id;
        description
          "Virtual Link remote endpoint Router ID.";
      }
    }

    uses virtual-link-config;
    uses virtual-link-state;
  }
}
container sham-links {

```

```

    if-feature pe-ce-protocol;
    description "All sham links.";
    list sham-link {
      key "local-id remote-id";
      description
        "OSPF sham link";
      leaf local-id {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description
          "Address of the local sham Link endpoint.";
      }
      leaf remote-id {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description
          "Address of the remote sham Link endpoint.";
      }
      uses sham-link-config;
      uses sham-link-state;
    }
  }
  container interfaces {
    description "All interfaces.";
    list interface {
      key "name";
      description
        "List of OSPF interfaces.";
      leaf name {
        type if:interface-ref;
        description
          "Interface name reference.";
      }
      uses interface-config;
      uses interface-state;
    }
  }
}

augment "/rt:routing/rt:control-plane-protocols/"
  + "rt:control-plane-protocol/ospf:ospf" {
  when "derived-from(..rt:type, 'ospf:ospf-protocol')" {
    description
      "This augmentation is only valid for OSPF
      (type 'ospfv2' or 'ospfv3').";
  }
  if-feature multi-topology;
}

```

```

description
  "OSPF multi-topology instance configuration
  state augmentation.";
container topologies {
  description "All topologies.";
  list topology {
    key "name";
    description
      "OSPF topology - The OSPF topology address-family
      must coincide with the routing-instance
      address-family.";
    leaf name {
      type leafref {
        path "../..../..../..../rt:ribs/rt:rib/rt:name";
      }
      description "RIB name corresponding to the OSPF
        topology.";
    }
  }

  uses multi-topology-config;
  uses multi-topology-state;

  container areas {
    description "All areas in the topology.";
    list area {
      key "area-id";
      description
        "List of OSPF areas";
      leaf area-id {
        type area-id-type;
        description
          "Area ID.";
      }
      uses multi-topology-area-config;
      uses multi-topology-area-state;
    }
  }
}
}

augment "/rt:routing/rt:control-plane-protocols/"
+ "rt:control-plane-protocol/ospf:ospf/"
+ "ospf:areas/ospf:area/ospf:interfaces/ospf:interface" {
  when "derived-from-or-self(..../..../..../rt:type, "
+ "'ospf:ospfv2')" {
    description
      "This augmentation is only valid for OSPFv2.";
  }
}

```

```

    }
    if-feature ospf:multi-topology;
    description
      "OSPF multi-topology interface configuration state
      augmentation.";
    container topologies {
      description "All topologies for the interface.";
      list topology {
        key "name";
        description "OSPF interface topology.";
        leaf name {
          type leafref {
            path "../../../../../../../../../../../../../"
              + "rt:ribs/rt:rib/rt:name";
          }
          description
            "Single topology enabled on this interface.";
        }

        uses multi-topology-interface-config;
        uses multi-topology-interface-state;
      }
    }
  }
}

augment "/rt:routing/rt:control-plane-protocols/"
  + "rt:control-plane-protocol/ospf:ospf/"
  + "ospf:areas/ospf:area/ospf:interfaces/ospf:interface" {
  when "derived-from-or-self ../../../../../../rt:type, "
    + "'ospf:ospfv3'" {
    description
      "This augmentation is only valid for OSPFv3.";
  }
  description
    "OSPFv3 interface specific configuration state
    augmentation.";
  uses ospfv3-interface-config;
  uses ospfv3-interface-state;
}

grouping route-content {
  description
    "This grouping defines OSPF-specific route attributes.";
  leaf metric {
    type uint32;
    description "OSPF route metric.";
  }
  leaf tag {

```

```
    type uint32;
    default "0";
    description "OSPF route tag.";
  }
  leaf route-type {
    type route-type;
    description "OSPF route type";
  }
}

augment "/rt:routing/rt:ribs/rt:rib/rt:routes/rt:route" {
  when "derived-from(rt:source-protocol, 'ospf:ospf-protocol')" {
    description
      "This augmentation is only valid for routes whose
       source protocol is OSPF.";
  }
  description
    "OSPF-specific route attributes.";
  uses route-content;
}

/*
 * RPCs
 */

rpc clear-neighbor {
  description
    "This RPC request clears a particular set of OSPF neighbors.
     If the operation fails for OSPF internal reason, then
     error-tag and error-app-tag should be set to a meaningful
     value.";
  input {
    leaf routing-protocol-name {
      type leafref {
        path "/rt:routing/rt:control-plane-protocols/"
          + "rt:control-plane-protocol/rt:name";
      }
      mandatory "true";
      description
        "OSPF protocol instance which information for neighbors
         are to be cleared.

         If the referenced OSPF instance doesn't exist, then
         this operation SHALL fail with error-tag 'data-missing'
         and error-app-tag
         'routing-protocol-instance-not-found'.";
    }
  }
}
```

```
leaf interface {
  type if:interface-ref;
  description
    "Name of the OSPF interface for which neighbors are to
    be cleared.

    If the referenced OSPF interface doesn't exist, then
    this operation SHALL fail with error-tag
    'data-missing' and error-app-tag
    'ospf-interface-not-found'.";
}
}
}

rpc clear-database {
  description
    "This RPC request clears a particular OSPF Link State
    Database. If the operation fails for OSPF internal reason,
    then error-tag and error-app-tag should be set to a
    meaningful value.";
  input {
    leaf routing-protocol-name {
      type leafref {
        path "/rt:routing/rt:control-plane-protocols/"
          + "rt:control-plane-protocol/rt:name";
      }
      mandatory "true";
      description
        "OSPF protocol instance whose Link State Database is to
        be cleared.

        If the referenced OSPF instance doesn't exist, then
        this operation SHALL fail with error-tag 'data-missing'
        and error-app-tag
        'routing-protocol-instance-not-found'.";
    }
  }
}

/*
 * Notifications
 */

grouping notification-instance-hdr {
  description
    "This grouping describes common instance specific
    data for OSPF notifications.";
```

```
leaf routing-protocol-name {
  type leafref {
    path "/rt:routing/rt:control-plane-protocols/"
      + "rt:control-plane-protocol/rt:name";
  }
  must "derived-from( "
    + "/rt:routing/rt:control-plane-protocols/"
    + "rt:control-plane-protocol[rt:name=current()]/"
    + "rt:type, 'ospf:ospf-protocol')";
  description
    "OSPF routing protocol instance name.";
}

leaf address-family {
  type leafref {
    path "/rt:routing/"
      + "rt:control-plane-protocols/rt:control-plane-protocol"
      + "[rt:name=current()]/../routing-protocol-name]/"
      + "ospf:ospf/address-family";
  }
  description
    "Address family of the OSPF instance.";
}
}

grouping notification-interface {
  description
    "This grouping provides interface information
    for the OSPF interface specific notification.";

  choice if-link-type-selection {
    description
      "Options for link type.";
    container interface {
      description "Normal interface.";
      leaf interface {
        type if:interface-ref;
        description "Interface.";
      }
    }
    container virtual-link {
      description "virtual-link.";
      leaf transit-area-id {
        type area-id-type;
        description "Area ID.";
      }
      leaf neighbor-router-id {
        type rt-types:router-id;
      }
    }
  }
}
```

```
        description "Neighbor Router ID.";
    }
}
container sham-link {
    description "sham link.";
    leaf area-id {
        type area-id-type;
        description "Area ID.";
    }
    leaf local-ip-addr {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "Sham link local address.";
    }
    leaf remote-ip-addr {
        type inet:ip-address;
        description "Sham link remote address.";
    }
}
}
}

grouping notification-neighbor {
    description
        "This grouping provides the neighbor information
        for neighbor specific notifications.";

    leaf neighbor-router-id {
        type rt-types:router-id;
        description "Neighbor Router ID.";
    }

    leaf neighbor-ip-addr {
        type yang:dotted-quad;
        description "Neighbor address.";
    }
}

notification if-state-change {
    uses notification-instance-hdr;
    uses notification-interface;

    leaf state {
        type if-state-type;
        description "Interface state.";
    }
    description
        "This notification is sent when an interface
        state change is detected.";
```

```
    }

notification if-config-error {
  uses notification-instance-hdr;
  uses notification-interface;

  leaf packet-source {
    type yang:dotted-quad;
    description "Source address.";
  }

  leaf packet-type {
    type packet-type;
    description "OSPF packet type.";
  }

  leaf error {
    type enumeration {
      enum "bad-version" {
        description "Bad version.";
      }
      enum "area-mismatch" {
        description "Area mismatch.";
      }
      enum "unknown-nbma-nbr" {
        description "Unknown NBMA neighbor.";
      }
      enum "unknown-virtual-nbr" {
        description "Unknown virtual link neighbor.";
      }
      enum "auth-type-mismatch" {
        description "Auth type mismatch.";
      }
      enum "auth-failure" {
        description "Auth failure.";
      }
      enum "net-mask-mismatch" {
        description "Network mask mismatch.";
      }
      enum "hello-interval-mismatch" {
        description "Hello interval mismatch.";
      }
      enum "dead-interval-mismatch" {
        description "Dead interval mismatch.";
      }
      enum "option-mismatch" {
        description "Option mismatch.";
      }
    }
  }
}
```

```
        enum "mtu-mismatch" {
            description "MTU mismatch.";
        }
        enum "duplicate-router-id" {
            description "Duplicate Router ID.";
        }
        enum "no-error" {
            description "No error.";
        }
    }
    description "Error code.";
}
description
    "This notification is sent when an interface
    config error is detected.";
}

notification nbr-state-change {
    uses notification-instance-hdr;
    uses notification-interface;
    uses notification-neighbor;

    leaf state {
        type nbr-state-type;
        description "Neighbor state.";
    }

    description
        "This notification is sent when aa neighbor
        state change is detected.";
}

notification nbr-restart-helper-status-change {
    uses notification-instance-hdr;
    uses notification-interface;
    uses notification-neighbor;

    leaf status {
        type restart-helper-status-type;
        description "Restart helper status.";
    }

    leaf age {
        type rt-types:timer-value-seconds32;
        description
            "Remaining time in current OSPF graceful restart
            interval when the router is acting as a restart
            helper for the neighbor.";
    }
}
```

```
    }

    leaf exit-reason {
      type restart-exit-reason-type;
      description
        "Restart helper exit reason.";
    }
  }
  description
    "This notification is sent when a neighbor restart
    helper status change is detected.";
}

notification if-rx-bad-packet {
  uses notification-instance-hdr;
  uses notification-interface;

  leaf packet-source {
    type yang:dotted-quad;
    description "Source address.";
  }

  leaf packet-type {
    type packet-type;
    description "OSPF packet type.";
  }

  description
    "This notification is sent when an OSPF packet that
    cannot be parsed is received on an OSPF interface.";
}

notification lsdb-approaching-overflow {
  uses notification-instance-hdr;

  leaf ext-lsdb-limit {
    type uint32;
    description
      "The maximum number of non-default AS-external LSAs
      entries that can be stored in the Link State Database.";
  }

  description
    "This notification is sent when the number of LSAs
    in the router's Link State Database has exceeded
    ninety percent of the AS-external limit (ext-lsdb-limit).";
}

notification lsdb-overflow {
```

```
    uses notification-instance-hdr;

    leaf ext-lsdb-limit {
        type uint32;
        description
            "The maximum number of non-default AS-external LSAs
            entries that can be stored in the Link State Database.";
    }

    description
        "This notification is sent when the number of LSAs
        in the router's Link State Database has exceeded the
        AS-external limit (ext-lsdb-limit).";
}

notification nssa-translator-status-change {
    uses notification-instance-hdr;

    leaf area-id {
        type area-id-type;
        description "Area ID.";
    }

    leaf status {
        type nssa-translator-state-type;
        description
            "NSSA translator status.";
    }

    description
        "This notification is sent when there is a change
        in the router's role in translating OSPF NSSA LSAs
        to OSPF AS-External LSAs.";
}

notification restart-status-change {
    uses notification-instance-hdr;

    leaf status {
        type restart-status-type;
        description
            "Restart status.";
    }

    leaf restart-interval {
        type uint16 {
            range 1..1800;
        }
    }
}
```

```
        units seconds;
        default "120";
        description
            "Restart interval.";
    }

    leaf exit-reason {
        type restart-exit-reason-type;
        description
            "Restart exit reason.";
    }

    description
        "This notification is sent when the graceful restart
        state for the router has changed.";
    }
}
<CODE ENDS>
```

4. Security Considerations

The YANG modules specified in this document define a schema for data that is designed to be accessed via network management protocols such as NETCONF [RFC6241] or RESTCONF [RFC8040]. The lowest NETCONF layer is the secure transport layer, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is Secure Shell (SSH) [RFC6242]. The lowest RESTCONF layer is HTTPS, and the mandatory-to-implement secure transport is TLS [RFC8446].

The NETCONF access control model [RFC8341] provides the means to restrict access for particular NETCONF or RESTCONF users to a pre-configured subset of all available NETCONF or RESTCONF protocol operations and content.

There are a number of data nodes defined in `ietf-ospf.yang` module that are writable/creatable/deletable (i.e., `config true`, which is the default). These data nodes may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. Write operations (e.g., `edit-config`) to these data nodes without proper protection can have a negative effect on network operations. For OSPF, the ability to modify OSPF configuration will allow the entire OSPF domain to be compromised including peering with unauthorized routers to misroute traffic or mount a massive Denial-of-Service (DoS) attack. The security considerations of OSPFv2 [RFC2328] and [RFC5340] apply to the `ietf-ospf.yang` module as well.

Some of the readable data nodes in the `ietf-ospf.yang` module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It

is thus important to control read access (e.g., via get, get-config, or notification) to these data nodes. The exposure of the Link State Database (LSDB) will expose the detailed topology of the network. This may be undesirable since both due to the fact that exposure may facilitate other attacks. Additionally, network operators may consider their topologies to be sensitive confidential data.

For OSPF authentication, configuration is supported via the specification of key-chains [RFC8177] or the direct specification of key and authentication algorithm. Hence, authentication configuration using the "auth-table-trailer" case in the "authentication" container inherits the security considerations of [RFC8177]. This includes the considerations with respect to the local storage and handling of authentication keys.

Some of the RPC operations in this YANG module may be considered sensitive or vulnerable in some network environments. It is thus important to control access to these operations. The OSPF YANG module support the "clear-neighbor" and "clear-database" RPCs. If access to either of these is compromised, they can result in temporary network outages be employed to mount DoS attacks.

5. IANA Considerations

This document registers a URI in the IETF XML registry [RFC3688]. Following the format in [RFC3688], the following registration is requested to be made:

```
URI: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-ospf
Registrant Contact: The IESG.
XML: N/A, the requested URI is an XML namespace.
```

This document registers a YANG module in the YANG Module Names registry [RFC6020].

```
name: ietf-ospf
namespace: urn:ietf:params:xml:ns:yang:ietf-ospf
prefix: ospf
reference: RFC XXXX
```

6. Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Yi Yang, Alexander Clemm, Gaurav Gupta, Ladislav Lhotka, Stephane Litkowski, Greg Hankins, Manish Gupta and Alan Davey for their thorough reviews and helpful comments.

Thanks to Tom Petch for last call review and improvement of the document organization.

Thanks to Alvaro Retana for AD comments.

This document was produced using Marshall Rose's xml2rfc tool.

Author affiliation with The MITRE Corporation is provided for identification purposes only, and is not intended to convey or imply MITRE's concurrence with, or support for, the positions, opinions or viewpoints expressed. MITRE has approved this document for Public Release, Distribution Unlimited, with Public Release Case Number 18-3194.

7. References

7.1. Normative References

- [I-D.ietf-bfd-yang]
Rahman, R., Zheng, L., Jethanandani, M., Networks, J., and G. Mirsky, "YANG Data Model for Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD)", draft-ietf-bfd-yang-17 (work in progress), August 2018.
- [RFC1793] Moy, J., "Extending OSPF to Support Demand Circuits", RFC 1793, DOI 10.17487/RFC1793, April 1995, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1793>>.
- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC2328] Moy, J., "OSPF Version 2", STD 54, RFC 2328, DOI 10.17487/RFC2328, April 1998, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2328>>.
- [RFC3101] Murphy, P., "The OSPF Not-So-Stubby Area (NSSA) Option", RFC 3101, DOI 10.17487/RFC3101, January 2003, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3101>>.
- [RFC3623] Moy, J., Pillay-Esnault, P., and A. Lindem, "Graceful OSPF Restart", RFC 3623, DOI 10.17487/RFC3623, November 2003, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3623>>.
- [RFC3630] Katz, D., Kompella, K., and D. Yeung, "Traffic Engineering (TE) Extensions to OSPF Version 2", RFC 3630, DOI 10.17487/RFC3630, September 2003, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3630>>.

- [RFC3688] Mealling, M., "The IETF XML Registry", BCP 81, RFC 3688, DOI 10.17487/RFC3688, January 2004, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3688>>.
- [RFC4552] Gupta, M. and N. Melam, "Authentication/Confidentiality for OSPFv3", RFC 4552, DOI 10.17487/RFC4552, June 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4552>>.
- [RFC4576] Rosen, E., Psenak, P., and P. Pillay-Esnault, "Using a Link State Advertisement (LSA) Options Bit to Prevent Looping in BGP/MPLS IP Virtual Private Networks (VPNs)", RFC 4576, DOI 10.17487/RFC4576, June 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4576>>.
- [RFC4577] Rosen, E., Psenak, P., and P. Pillay-Esnault, "OSPF as the Provider/Customer Edge Protocol for BGP/MPLS IP Virtual Private Networks (VPNs)", RFC 4577, DOI 10.17487/RFC4577, June 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4577>>.
- [RFC4750] Joyal, D., Ed., Galecki, P., Ed., Giacalone, S., Ed., Coltun, R., and F. Baker, "OSPF Version 2 Management Information Base", RFC 4750, DOI 10.17487/RFC4750, December 2006, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4750>>.
- [RFC4915] Psenak, P., Mirtorabi, S., Roy, A., Nguyen, L., and P. Pillay-Esnault, "Multi-Topology (MT) Routing in OSPF", RFC 4915, DOI 10.17487/RFC4915, June 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4915>>.
- [RFC5082] Gill, V., Heasley, J., Meyer, D., Savola, P., Ed., and C. Pignataro, "The Generalized TTL Security Mechanism (GTSM)", RFC 5082, DOI 10.17487/RFC5082, October 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5082>>.
- [RFC5185] Mirtorabi, S., Psenak, P., Lindem, A., Ed., and A. Oswal, "OSPF Multi-Area Adjacency", RFC 5185, DOI 10.17487/RFC5185, May 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5185>>.
- [RFC5187] Pillay-Esnault, P. and A. Lindem, "OSPFv3 Graceful Restart", RFC 5187, DOI 10.17487/RFC5187, June 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5187>>.
- [RFC5250] Berger, L., Bryskin, I., Zinin, A., and R. Coltun, "The OSPF Opaque LSA Option", RFC 5250, DOI 10.17487/RFC5250, July 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5250>>.

- [RFC5286] Atlas, A., Ed. and A. Zinin, Ed., "Basic Specification for IP Fast Reroute: Loop-Free Alternates", RFC 5286, DOI 10.17487/RFC5286, September 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5286>>.
- [RFC5329] Ishiguro, K., Manral, V., Davey, A., and A. Lindem, Ed., "Traffic Engineering Extensions to OSPF Version 3", RFC 5329, DOI 10.17487/RFC5329, September 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5329>>.
- [RFC5340] Coltun, R., Ferguson, D., Moy, J., and A. Lindem, "OSPF for IPv6", RFC 5340, DOI 10.17487/RFC5340, July 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5340>>.
- [RFC5613] Zinin, A., Roy, A., Nguyen, L., Friedman, B., and D. Yeung, "OSPF Link-Local Signaling", RFC 5613, DOI 10.17487/RFC5613, August 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5613>>.
- [RFC5642] Venkata, S., Harwani, S., Pignataro, C., and D. McPherson, "Dynamic Hostname Exchange Mechanism for OSPF", RFC 5642, DOI 10.17487/RFC5642, August 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5642>>.
- [RFC5643] Joyal, D., Ed. and V. Manral, Ed., "Management Information Base for OSPFv3", RFC 5643, DOI 10.17487/RFC5643, August 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5643>>.
- [RFC5709] Bhatia, M., Manral, V., Fanto, M., White, R., Barnes, M., Li, T., and R. Atkinson, "OSPFv2 HMAC-SHA Cryptographic Authentication", RFC 5709, DOI 10.17487/RFC5709, October 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5709>>.
- [RFC5838] Lindem, A., Ed., Mirtorabi, S., Roy, A., Barnes, M., and R. Aggarwal, "Support of Address Families in OSPFv3", RFC 5838, DOI 10.17487/RFC5838, April 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5838>>.
- [RFC5880] Katz, D. and D. Ward, "Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD)", RFC 5880, DOI 10.17487/RFC5880, June 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5880>>.
- [RFC5881] Katz, D. and D. Ward, "Bidirectional Forwarding Detection (BFD) for IPv4 and IPv6 (Single Hop)", RFC 5881, DOI 10.17487/RFC5881, June 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5881>>.

- [RFC6020] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "YANG - A Data Modeling Language for the Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6020, DOI 10.17487/RFC6020, October 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6020>>.
- [RFC6241] Enns, R., Ed., Bjorklund, M., Ed., Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., and A. Bierman, Ed., "Network Configuration Protocol (NETCONF)", RFC 6241, DOI 10.17487/RFC6241, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6241>>.
- [RFC6242] Wasserman, M., "Using the NETCONF Protocol over Secure Shell (SSH)", RFC 6242, DOI 10.17487/RFC6242, June 2011, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6242>>.
- [RFC6565] Pillay-Esnault, P., Moyer, P., Doyle, J., Ertekin, E., and M. Lundberg, "OSPFv3 as a Provider Edge to Customer Edge (PE-CE) Routing Protocol", RFC 6565, DOI 10.17487/RFC6565, June 2012, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6565>>.
- [RFC6845] Sheth, N., Wang, L., and J. Zhang, "OSPF Hybrid Broadcast and Point-to-Multipoint Interface Type", RFC 6845, DOI 10.17487/RFC6845, January 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6845>>.
- [RFC6860] Yang, Y., Retana, A., and A. Roy, "Hiding Transit-Only Networks in OSPF", RFC 6860, DOI 10.17487/RFC6860, January 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6860>>.
- [RFC6991] Schoenwaelder, J., Ed., "Common YANG Data Types", RFC 6991, DOI 10.17487/RFC6991, July 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6991>>.
- [RFC7166] Bhatia, M., Manral, V., and A. Lindem, "Supporting Authentication Trailer for OSPFv3", RFC 7166, DOI 10.17487/RFC7166, March 2014, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7166>>.
- [RFC7474] Bhatia, M., Hartman, S., Zhang, D., and A. Lindem, Ed., "Security Extension for OSPFv2 When Using Manual Key Management", RFC 7474, DOI 10.17487/RFC7474, April 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7474>>.
- [RFC7490] Bryant, S., Filsfils, C., Previdi, S., Shand, M., and N. So, "Remote Loop-Free Alternate (LFA) Fast Reroute (FRR)", RFC 7490, DOI 10.17487/RFC7490, April 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7490>>.

- [RFC7684] Psenak, P., Gredler, H., Shakir, R., Henderickx, W., Tantsura, J., and A. Lindem, "OSPFv2 Prefix/Link Attribute Advertisement", RFC 7684, DOI 10.17487/RFC7684, November 2015, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7684>>.
- [RFC7770] Lindem, A., Ed., Shen, N., Vasseur, JP., Aggarwal, R., and S. Shaffer, "Extensions to OSPF for Advertising Optional Router Capabilities", RFC 7770, DOI 10.17487/RFC7770, February 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7770>>.
- [RFC7777] Hegde, S., Shakir, R., Smirnov, A., Li, Z., and B. Decraene, "Advertising Node Administrative Tags in OSPF", RFC 7777, DOI 10.17487/RFC7777, March 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7777>>.
- [RFC7950] Bjorklund, M., Ed., "The YANG 1.1 Data Modeling Language", RFC 7950, DOI 10.17487/RFC7950, August 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7950>>.
- [RFC8040] Bierman, A., Bjorklund, M., and K. Watsen, "RESTCONF Protocol", RFC 8040, DOI 10.17487/RFC8040, January 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8040>>.
- [RFC8042] Zhang, Z., Wang, L., and A. Lindem, "OSPF Two-Part Metric", RFC 8042, DOI 10.17487/RFC8042, December 2016, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8042>>.
- [RFC8174] Leiba, B., "Ambiguity of Uppercase vs Lowercase in RFC 2119 Key Words", BCP 14, RFC 8174, DOI 10.17487/RFC8174, May 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8174>>.
- [RFC8177] Lindem, A., Ed., Qu, Y., Yeung, D., Chen, I., and J. Zhang, "YANG Data Model for Key Chains", RFC 8177, DOI 10.17487/RFC8177, June 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8177>>.
- [RFC8294] Liu, X., Qu, Y., Lindem, A., Hopps, C., and L. Berger, "Common YANG Data Types for the Routing Area", RFC 8294, DOI 10.17487/RFC8294, December 2017, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8294>>.
- [RFC8340] Bjorklund, M. and L. Berger, Ed., "YANG Tree Diagrams", BCP 215, RFC 8340, DOI 10.17487/RFC8340, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8340>>.

- [RFC8341] Bierman, A. and M. Bjorklund, "Network Configuration Access Control Model", STD 91, RFC 8341, DOI 10.17487/RFC8341, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8341>>.
- [RFC8342] Bjorklund, M., Schoenwaelder, J., Shafer, P., Watsen, K., and R. Wilton, "Network Management Datastore Architecture (NMDA)", RFC 8342, DOI 10.17487/RFC8342, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8342>>.
- [RFC8343] Bjorklund, M., "A YANG Data Model for Interface Management", RFC 8343, DOI 10.17487/RFC8343, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8343>>.
- [RFC8349] Lhotka, L., Lindem, A., and Y. Qu, "A YANG Data Model for Routing Management (NMDA Version)", RFC 8349, DOI 10.17487/RFC8349, March 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8349>>.
- [RFC8405] Decraene, B., Litkowski, S., Gredler, H., Lindem, A., Francois, P., and C. Bowers, "Shortest Path First (SPF) Back-Off Delay Algorithm for Link-State IGP", RFC 8405, DOI 10.17487/RFC8405, June 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8405>>.
- [RFC8446] Rescorla, E., "The Transport Layer Security (TLS) Protocol Version 1.3", RFC 8446, DOI 10.17487/RFC8446, August 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8446>>.
- [RFC8476] Tantsura, J., Chunduri, U., Aldrin, S., and P. Psenak, "Signaling Maximum SID Depth (MSD) Using OSPF", RFC 8476, DOI 10.17487/RFC8476, December 2018, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc8476>>.

7.2. Informative References

- [RFC0905] "ISO Transport Protocol specification ISO DP 8073", RFC 905, DOI 10.17487/RFC0905, April 1984, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc905>>.
- [RFC1765] Moy, J., "OSPF Database Overflow", RFC 1765, DOI 10.17487/RFC1765, March 1995, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc1765>>.
- [RFC4973] Srisuresh, P. and P. Joseph, "OSPF-xTE: Experimental Extension to OSPF for Traffic Engineering", RFC 4973, DOI 10.17487/RFC4973, July 2007, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4973>>.

- [RFC5309] Shen, N., Ed. and A. Zinin, Ed., "Point-to-Point Operation over LAN in Link State Routing Protocols", RFC 5309, DOI 10.17487/RFC5309, October 2008, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5309>>.
- [RFC5443] Jork, M., Atlas, A., and L. Fang, "LDP IGP Synchronization", RFC 5443, DOI 10.17487/RFC5443, March 2009, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5443>>.
- [RFC5714] Shand, M. and S. Bryant, "IP Fast Reroute Framework", RFC 5714, DOI 10.17487/RFC5714, January 2010, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5714>>.
- [RFC6987] Retana, A., Nguyen, L., Zinin, A., White, R., and D. McPherson, "OSPF Stub Router Advertisement", RFC 6987, DOI 10.17487/RFC6987, September 2013, <<https://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc6987>>.

Appendix A. Contributors' Addresses

Dean Bogdanovic
Volta Networks, Inc.

E-Mail: dean@voltanet.io

Kiran Koushik Agrahara Sreenivasa
Verizon
500 W Dove Rd
Southlake, TX 76092
USA

E-Mail: kk@employees.org

Authors' Addresses

Derek Yeung
Arrcus

E-Mail: derek@arrcus.com

Yingzhen Qu
Huawei
2330 Central Expressway
Santa Clara, CA 95050
USA

E-Mail: yingzhen.qu@huawei.com

Jeffrey Zhang
Juniper Networks
10 Technology Park Drive
Westford, MA 01886
USA

E-Mail: zzhang@juniper.net

Ing-Wher Chen
The MITRE Corporation

E-Mail: ingwherchen@mitre.org

Acee Lindem
Cisco Systems
301 Midenhall Way
Cary, NC 27513

EMail: acee@cisco.com

Network Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: December 25, 2017

P. Psenak
A. Lindem
L. Ginsberg
Cisco Systems
W. Henderickx
Nokia
J. Tantsura
Individual
H. Gredler
RtBrick Inc.
June 23, 2017

OSPFv2 Link Traffic Engineering (TE) Attribute Reuse
draft-psenak-ospf-te-link-attr-reuse-05.txt

Abstract

Various link attributes have been defined in OSPFv2 in the context of the MPLS Traffic Engineering (TE) and GMPLS. Many of these link attributes can be used for purposes other than MPLS Traffic Engineering or GMPLS. This document defines how to distribute such attributes in OSPFv2 for applications other than MPLS Traffic Engineering or GMPLS purposes.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on December 25, 2017.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2017 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

This document may contain material from IETF Documents or IETF Contributions published or made publicly available before November 10, 2008. The person(s) controlling the copyright in some of this material may not have granted the IETF Trust the right to allow modifications of such material outside the IETF Standards Process. Without obtaining an adequate license from the person(s) controlling the copyright in such materials, this document may not be modified outside the IETF Standards Process, and derivative works of it may not be created outside the IETF Standards Process, except to format it for publication as an RFC or to translate it into languages other than English.

Table of Contents

| | | |
|-------|--|----|
| 1. | Introduction | 3 |
| 1.1. | Requirements notation | 3 |
| 2. | Link attributes examples | 3 |
| 3. | Advertising Link Attributes | 4 |
| 3.1. | TE Opaque LSA | 4 |
| 3.2. | Extended Link Opaque LSA | 5 |
| 3.3. | Selected Approach | 5 |
| 4. | Reused TE link attributes | 6 |
| 4.1. | Remote interface IP address | 6 |
| 4.2. | Link Local/Remote Identifiers | 6 |
| 4.3. | Shared Risk Link Group (SRLG) | 7 |
| 4.4. | Extended Metrics | 7 |
| 5. | Advertisement of Application Specific Values | 7 |
| 6. | Deployment Considerations | 10 |
| 7. | Attribute Advertisements and Enablement | 10 |
| 8. | Backward Compatibility | 11 |
| 9. | Security Considerations | 11 |
| 10. | IANA Considerations | 12 |
| 11. | Acknowledgments | 12 |
| 12. | References | 12 |
| 12.1. | Normative References | 12 |
| 12.2. | Informative References | 13 |
| | Authors' Addresses | 14 |

1. Introduction

Various link attributes have been defined in OSPFv2 [RFC2328] in the context of the MPLS traffic engineering and GMPLS. All these attributes are distributed by OSPFv2 as sub-TLVs of the Link-TLV advertised in the OSPFv2 TE Opaque LSA [RFC3630].

Many of these link attributes are useful outside of the traditional MPLS Traffic Engineering or GMPLS. This brings its own set of problems, in particular how to distribute these link attributes in OSPFv2 when MPLS TE or GMPLS are not deployed or are deployed in parallel with other applications that use these link attributes.

[RFC7855] discusses use cases/requirements for SR. Included among these use cases is SRTE. If both RSVP-TE and SRTE are deployed in a network, link attribute advertisements can be used by one or both of these applications. As there is no requirement for the link attributes advertised on a given link used by SRTE to be identical to the link attributes advertised on that same link used by RSVP-TE, there is a clear requirement to indicate independently which link attribute advertisements are to be used by each application.

As the number of applications which may wish to utilize link attributes may grow in the future, an additional requirement is that the extensions defined allow the association of additional applications to link attributes without altering the format of the advertisements or introducing new backwards compatibility issues.

Finally, there may still be many cases where a single attribute value can be shared among multiple applications, so the solution should minimize advertising duplicate link/attribute when possible.

1.1. Requirements notation

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in [RFC2119].

2. Link attributes examples

This section lists some of the link attributes originally defined for MPLS Traffic Engineering that can be used for other purposes in OSPFv2. The list doesn't necessarily contain all the required attributes.

1. Remote Interface IP address [RFC3630] - OSPFv2 currently cannot distinguish between parallel links between two OSPFv2 routers. As a result, the two-way connectivity check performed during SPF

may succeed when the two routers disagree on which of the links to use for data traffic.

2. Link Local/Remote Identifiers - [RFC4203] - Used for the two-way connectivity check for parallel unnumbered links. Also used for identifying adjacencies for unnumbered links in Segment Routing traffic engineering.
 3. Shared Risk Link Group (SRLG) [RFC4203] - In IPFRR, the SRLG is used to compute diverse backup paths [RFC5714].
 4. Unidirectional Link Delay/Loss Metrics [RFC7471] - Could be used for the shortest path first (SPF) computation using alternate metrics within an OSPF area.
3. Advertising Link Attributes

This section outlines possible approaches for advertising link attributes originally defined for MPLS Traffic Engineering purposes or GMPLS when they are used for other applications.

3.1. TE Opaque LSA

One approach for advertising link attributes is to continue to use TE Opaque LSA ([RFC3630]). There are several problems with this approach:

1. Whenever the link is advertised in a TE Opaque LSA, the link becomes a part of the TE topology, which may not match IP routed topology. By making the link part of the TE topology, remote nodes may mistakenly believe that the link is available for MPLS TE or GMPLS, when, in fact, MPLS is not enabled on the link.
2. The TE Opaque LSA carries link attributes that are not used or required by MPLS TE or GMPLS. There is no mechanism in a TE Opaque LSA to indicate which of the link attributes are passed to MPLS TE application and which are used by other applications including OSPFv2 itself.
3. Link attributes used for non-TE purposes are partitioned across multiple LSAs - the TE Opaque LSA and the Extended Link Opaque LSA. This partitioning will require implementations to lookup multiple LSAs to extract link attributes for a single link, bringing needless complexity to OSPFv2 implementations.

The advantage of this approach is that there is no additional standardization requirement to advertise the TE/GMPL attributes for other applications. Additionally, link attributes are only

advertised once when both OSPF TE and other applications are deployed on the same link. This is not expected to be a common deployment scenario.

3.2. Extended Link Opaque LSA

An alternative approach for advertising link attributes is to use Extended Link Opaque LSAs as defined in [RFC7684]. This LSA was defined as a generic container for distribution of the extended link attributes. There are several advantages in using Extended Link LSA:

1. Advertisement of the link attributes does not make the link part of the TE topology. It avoids any conflicts and is fully compatible with the [RFC3630].
2. The TE Opaque LSA remains truly opaque to OSPFv2 as originally defined in [RFC3630]. Its content is not inspected by OSPFv2 and OSPFv2 acts as a pure transport.
3. There is clear distinction between link attributes used by TE and link attributes used by other OSPFv2 applications.
4. All link attributes that are used by OSPFv2 applications are advertised in a single LSA, the Extended Link Opaque LSA.

The disadvantage of this approach is that in rare cases, the same link attribute is advertised in both the TE Opaque and Extended Link Attribute LSAs. Additionally, there will be additional standardization effort. However, this could also be viewed as an advantage as the non-TE use cases for the TE link attributes are documented and validated by the OSPF working group.

3.3. Selected Approach

It is RECOMMENDED to use the Extended Link Opaque LSA ([RFC7684] to advertise any link attributes used for non-TE purposes in OSPFv2, including those that have been originally defined for TE purposes. TE link attributes used for TE purposes continue to use TE Opaque LSA ([RFC3630]).

It is also RECOMMENDED to keep the format of the link attribute TLVs that have been defined for TE purposes unchanged even when they are used for non-TE purposes.

Finally, it is RECOMMENDED to allocate unique code points for link attribute TLVs that have been defined for TE purposes for the OSPFv2 Extended Link TLV Sub-TLV Registry as defined in [RFC7684]. For each

reused TLV, the code point will be defined in an IETF document along with the expected usecase(s).

4. Reused TE link attributes

This section defines the use case and code points for the OSPFv2 Extended Link TLV Sub-TLV Registry for some of the link attributes that have been originally defined for TE or GMPLS purposes.

4.1. Remote interface IP address

The OSPFv2 description of an IP numbered point-to-point adjacency does not include the remote IP address. As described in Section 2, this makes the two-way connectivity check ambiguous in the presence of the parallel point-to-point links between two OSPFv2 routers.

The Remote IP address of the link can also be used for Segment Routing traffic engineering to identify the link in a set of parallel links between two OSPFv2 routers [I-D.ietf-ospf-segment-routing-extensions]. Similarly, the remote IP address is useful in identifying individual parallel OSPF links advertised in BGP Link-State as described in [I-D.ietf-idr-ls-distribution].

To advertise the Remote interface IP address in the OSPFv2 Extended Link TLV, the same format of the sub-TLV as defined in section 2.5.4. of [RFC3630] is used and TLV type TBD1 is used.

4.2. Link Local/Remote Identifiers

The OSPFv2 description of an IP unnumbered point-to-point adjacency does not include the remote link identifier. As described in Section 2, this makes the two-way connectivity check ambiguous in the presence of the parallel point-to-point IP unnumbered links between two OSPFv2 routers.

The local and remote link identifiers can also be used for Segment Routing traffic engineering to identify the link in a set of parallel IP unnumbered links between two OSPFv2 routers [I-D.ietf-ospf-segment-routing-extensions]. Similarly, these identifiers are useful in identifying individual parallel OSPF links advertised in BGP Link-State as described in [I-D.ietf-idr-ls-distribution].

To advertise the link Local/Remote identifiers in the OSPFv2 Extended Link TLV, the same format of the sub-TLV as defined in section 1.1. of [RFC4203] is used and TLV type TBD2 is used.

4.3. Shared Risk Link Group (SRLG)

The SRLG of a link can be used in IPFRR to compute a backup path that does not share any SRLG group with the protected link.

To advertise the SRLG of the link in the OSPFv2 Extended Link TLV, the same format of the sub-TLV as defined in section 1.3. of [RFC4203] is used and TLV type TBD3 is used.

4.4. Extended Metrics

[RFC3630] defines several link bandwidth types. [RFC7471] defines extended link metrics that are based on link bandwidth, delay and loss characteristics. All these can be used to compute best paths within an OSPF area to satisfy requirements for bandwidth, delay (nominal or worst case) or loss.

To advertise extended link metrics in the OSPFv2 Extended Link TLV, the same format of the sub-TLVs as defined in [RFC7471] is used with following TLV types:

- TBD4 - Unidirectional Link Delay
- TBD5 - Min/Max Unidirectional Link Delay
- TBD6 - Unidirectional Delay Variation
- TBD7 - Unidirectional Link Loss
- TBD8 - Unidirectional Residual Bandwidth
- TBD9 - Unidirectional Available Bandwidth
- TBD10 - Unidirectional Utilized Bandwidth

5. Advertisement of Application Specific Values


Multiple applications can utilize link attributes that are flooded by OSPFv2. Some examples of applications using the link attributes are Segment Routing Traffic Engineering and LFA [RFC5286].

In some cases the link attribute only has a single value that is applicable to all applications. An example is a Remote interface IP address [Section 4.1] or Link Local/Remote Identifiers [Section 4.2].

In some cases the link attribute MAY have different values for different applications. An example could be SRLG [Section 4.3],

where values used by LFA could be different then the values used by Segment Routing Traffic Engineering.

To allow advertisement of the application specific values of the link attribute, a new Extended Link Attribute sub-TLV of the Extended Link TLV [RFC7471] is defined. The Extended Link Attribute sub-TLV is an optional sub-TLV and can appear multiple times in the Extended Link TLV. It has following format:



where:

Type: TBD11, suggested value 14

Length: variable

SABML: Standard Application Bit-Mask Length. If the Standard Application Bit-Mask is not present, the Standard Application Bit-Mask Length MUST be set to 0.

UDABML: User Defined Application Bit-Mask Length. If the User Defined Application Bit-Mask is not present, the User Defined Application Bit-Mask Length MUST be set to 0.

Standard Application Bit-Mask: Optional set of bits, where each bit represents a single standard application. The following bits are defined by this document:

Bit-0: RSVP Traffic Engineering

Bit-1: Segment Routing Traffic Engineering

Bit-2: Loop Free Alternate (LFA). Includes all LFA types.

User Defined Application Bit-Mask: Optional set of bits, where each bit represents a single user defined application.

Standard Application Bits are defined/sent starting with Bit 0. Additional bit definitions that may be defined in the future SHOULD be assigned in ascending bit order so as to minimize the number of octets that will need to be transmitted.

User Defined Application bits have no relationship to Standard Application bits and are NOT managed by IANA or any other standards body. It is recommended that bits are used starting with Bit 0 so as to minimize the number of octets required to advertise all of them.

Undefined bits in both Bit-Masks MUST be transmitted as 0 and MUST be ignored on receipt. Bits that are NOT transmitted MUST be treated as if they are set to 0 on receipt.

If the link attribute advertisement is limited to be used by a specific set of applications, corresponding Bit-Masks MUST be present and application specific bit(s) MUST be set for all applications that use the link attributes advertised in the Extended Link Attribute sub-TLV.

Application Bit-Masks apply to all link attributes that support application specific values and are advertised in the Extended Link Attribute sub-TLV.

The advantage of not making the Application Bit-Masks part of the attribute advertisement itself is that we can keep the format of the link attributes that have been defined previously and reuse the same format when advertising them in the Extended Link Attribute sub-TLV.

If the link attribute is advertised and there is no Application Bit-Mask present in the Extended Link Attribute Sub-TLV, the link attribute advertisement MAY be used by any application. If, however, another advertisement of the same link attribute includes any Application Bit-Mask in the Extended Link Attribute sub-TLV, applications that are listed in the Application Bit-Masks of such Extended Link Attribute sub-TLV SHOULD use the attribute advertisement which has the application specific bit set in the Application Bit-Masks.

If the same application is listed in the Application Bit-Masks of more than one Extended Link Attribute sub-TLV, the application SHOULD

use the first advertisement and ignore any subsequent advertisements of the same attribute. This situation SHOULD be logged as an error.

This document defines the set of link attributes for which the Application Bit-Masks may be advertised. If any of the Application Bit-Masks is included in the Extended Link Attribute sub-TLV that advertises any link attribute(s) NOT listed below, the Application Bit-Masks MUST NOT be used for such link attribute(s). It MUST be used for those attribute(s) that support application specific values. Documents which define new link attributes MUST state whether the new attributes support application specific values. The link attributes to which the Application Bit-Masks may apply are:

- Shared Risk Link Group
- Unidirectional Link Delay
- Min/Max Unidirectional Link Delay
- Unidirectional Delay Variation
- Unidirectional Link Loss
- Unidirectional Residual Bandwidth
- Unidirectional Available Bandwidth
- Unidirectional Utilized Bandwidth

6. Deployment Considerations

If link attributes are advertised associated with zero length application bit masks for both standard applications and user defined applications, then that set of link attributes MAY be used by any application. If support for a new application is introduced on any node in a network in the presence of such advertisements, these advertisements MAY be used by the new application. If this is not what is intended, then existing advertisements MUST be readvertised with an explicit set of applications specified before a new application is introduced.

7. Attribute Advertisements and Enablement

This document defines extensions to support the advertisement of application specific link attributes. The presence or absence of link attribute advertisements for a given application on a link does NOT indicate the state of enablement of that application on that

link. Enablement of an application on a link is controlled by other means.

For some applications, the concept of enablement is implicit. For example, SRTE implicitly is enabled on all links which are part of the Segment Routing enabled topology. Advertisement of link attributes supports constraints which may be applied when specifying an explicit path through that topology.

For other applications enablement is controlled by local configuration. For example, use of a link as an LFA can be controlled by local enablement/disablement and/or the use of administrative tags.

It is an application specific policy as to whether a given link can be used by that application even in the absence of any application specific link attributes.

8. Backward Compatibility

Link attributes may be concurrently advertised in both the TE Opaque LSA [RFC3630] and the Extended Link Opaque LSA [RFC7684].

In fact, there is at least one OSPF implementation that utilizes the link attributes advertised in TE Opaque LSAs [RFC3630] for Non-RSVP TE applications. For example, this implementation of LFA and remote LFA utilizes links attributes such as Shared Risk Link Groups (SRLG) [RFC4203] and Admin Group [[RFC3630]advertised in TE Opaque LSAs. These applications are described in [RFC5286], [RFC7490], [I-D.ietf-rtgwg-lfa-manageability] and [I-D.psarkar-rtgwg-rlfa-node-protection].

When an OSPF routing domain includes routers using link attributes from TE Opaque LSAs for Non-RSVP TE applications such as LFA, OSPF routers in that domain should continue to advertise such TE Opaque LSAs. If there are also OSPF routers using the link attributes described herein for any application, OSPF routers in the routing domain will also need to advertise these attributes in OSPF Extended Link Attributes LSAs [RFC7684]. In such a deployment, the advertised attributes SHOULD be the same and Non-RSVP application access to link attributes is a matter of local policy.

9. Security Considerations

Implementations must assure that malformed TLV and Sub-TLV permutations do not result in errors that cause hard OSPFv2 failures.

10. IANA Considerations

OSPFv2 Extended Link TLV Sub-TLVs registry [RFC7684] defines sub-TLVs at any level of nesting for OSPFv2 Extended Link TLVs. This specification updates OSPFv2 Extended Link TLV sub-TLVs registry with the following TLV types:

- TBD1 (4 Recommended) - Remote interface IP address
- TBD2 (5 Recommended) - Link Local/Remote Identifiers
- TBD3 (6 Recommended) - Shared Risk Link Group
- TBD4 (7 Recommended) - Unidirectional Link Delay
- TBD5 (8 Recommended) - Min/Max Unidirectional Link Delay
- TBD6 (9 Recommended) - Unidirectional Delay Variation
- TBD7 (10 Recommended) - Unidirectional Link Loss
- TBD8 (11 Recommended) - Unidirectional Residual Bandwidth
- TBD9 (12 Recommended) - Unidirectional Available Bandwidth
- TBD10 (13 Recommended) - Unidirectional Utilized Bandwidth
- TBD11 (14 Recommended) - Extended Link Attribute

This specification defines a new Link-Attribute-Applicability Application Bits registry and defines following bits:

- Bit-0 - Segment Routing Traffic Engineering
- Bit-1 - LFA

11. Acknowledgments

Thanks to Chris Bowers for his review and comments.

12. References

12.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.

- [RFC3630] Katz, D., Kompella, K., and D. Yeung, "Traffic Engineering (TE) Extensions to OSPF Version 2", RFC 3630, DOI 10.17487/RFC3630, September 2003, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc3630>>.
- [RFC5714] Shand, M. and S. Bryant, "IP Fast Reroute Framework", RFC 5714, DOI 10.17487/RFC5714, January 2010, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5714>>.
- [RFC7684] Psenak, P., Gredler, H., Shakir, R., Henderickx, W., Tantsura, J., and A. Lindem, "OSPFv2 Prefix/Link Attribute Advertisement", RFC 7684, DOI 10.17487/RFC7684, November 2015, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7684>>.

12.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-idr-ls-distribution]
Gredler, H., Medved, J., Previdi, S., Farrel, A., and S. Ray, "North-Bound Distribution of Link-State and TE Information using BGP", draft-ietf-idr-ls-distribution-13 (work in progress), October 2015.
- [I-D.ietf-ospf-segment-routing-extensions]
Psenak, P., Previdi, S., Filsfils, C., Gredler, H., Shakir, R., Henderickx, W., and J. Tantsura, "OSPF Extensions for Segment Routing", draft-ietf-ospf-segment-routing-extensions-16 (work in progress), May 2017.
- [I-D.ietf-rtgwg-lfa-manageability]
Litkowski, S., Decraene, B., Filsfils, C., Raza, K., and M. Horneffer, "Operational management of Loop Free Alternates", draft-ietf-rtgwg-lfa-manageability-11 (work in progress), June 2015.
- [I-D.psarkar-rtgwg-rlfa-node-protection]
psarkar@juniper.net, p., Gredler, H., Hegde, S., Bowers, C., Litkowski, S., and H. Raghuv eer, "Remote-LFA Node Protection and Manageability", draft-psarkar-rtgwg-rlfa-node-protection-05 (work in progress), June 2014.
- [RFC2328] Moy, J., "OSPF Version 2", STD 54, RFC 2328, DOI 10.17487/RFC2328, April 1998, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2328>>.
- [RFC4203] Kompella, K., Ed. and Y. Rekhter, Ed., "OSPF Extensions in Support of Generalized Multi-Protocol Label Switching (GMPLS)", RFC 4203, DOI 10.17487/RFC4203, October 2005, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4203>>.

- [RFC5286] Atlas, A., Ed. and A. Zinin, Ed., "Basic Specification for IP Fast Reroute: Loop-Free Alternates", RFC 5286, DOI 10.17487/RFC5286, September 2008, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5286>>.
- [RFC7471] Giacalone, S., Ward, D., Drake, J., Atlas, A., and S. Previdi, "OSPF Traffic Engineering (TE) Metric Extensions", RFC 7471, DOI 10.17487/RFC7471, March 2015, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7471>>.
- [RFC7490] Bryant, S., Filshil, C., Previdi, S., Shand, M., and N. So, "Remote Loop-Free Alternate (LFA) Fast Reroute (FRR)", RFC 7490, DOI 10.17487/RFC7490, April 2015, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7490>>.
- [RFC7855] Previdi, S., Ed., Filshil, C., Ed., Decraene, B., Litkowski, S., Horneffer, M., and R. Shakir, "Source Packet Routing in Networking (SPRING) Problem Statement and Requirements", RFC 7855, DOI 10.17487/RFC7855, May 2016, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7855>>.

Authors' Addresses

Peter Psenak
Cisco Systems
Apollo Business Center
Mlynske nivy 43
Bratislava, 821 09
Slovakia

Email: ppsenak@cisco.com

Acee Lindem
Cisco Systems
301 Midenhall Way
Cary, NC 27513
USA

Email: acee@cisco.com

Les Ginsberg
Cisco Systems
821 Alder Drive
MILPITAS, CA 95035
USA

Email: ginsberg@cisco.com

Wim Henderickx
Nokia
Copernicuslaan 50
Antwerp, 2018 94089
Belgium

Email: wim.henderickx@nokia.com

Jeff Tantsura
Individual
USA

Email: jefftant.ietf@gmail.com

Hannes Gredler
RtBrick Inc.

Email: hannes@rtbrick.com

OSPF Working Group
Internet-Draft
Intended status: Standards Track
Expires: March 30, 2017

J. Tantsura
U. Chunduri
Individual
September 26, 2016

Signaling MSD (Maximum SID Depth) using OSPF
draft-tantsura-ospf-segment-routing-msd-01

Abstract

This document proposes a way to expose Maximum SID Depth (MSD) supported by a node at node and/or link level by an OSPF Router. In a Segment Routing (SR) enabled network a centralized controller that programs SR tunnels at the head-end node needs to know the MSD information at node level and/or link level to push the label stack of an appropriate depth. Here the term OSPF means both OSPFv2 and OSPFv3.

Status of This Memo

This Internet-Draft is submitted in full conformance with the provisions of BCP 78 and BCP 79.

Internet-Drafts are working documents of the Internet Engineering Task Force (IETF). Note that other groups may also distribute working documents as Internet-Drafts. The list of current Internet-Drafts is at <http://datatracker.ietf.org/drafts/current/>.

Internet-Drafts are draft documents valid for a maximum of six months and may be updated, replaced, or obsoleted by other documents at any time. It is inappropriate to use Internet-Drafts as reference material or to cite them other than as "work in progress."

This Internet-Draft will expire on March 30, 2017.

Copyright Notice

Copyright (c) 2016 IETF Trust and the persons identified as the document authors. All rights reserved.

This document is subject to BCP 78 and the IETF Trust's Legal Provisions Relating to IETF Documents (<http://trustee.ietf.org/license-info>) in effect on the date of publication of this document. Please review these documents carefully, as they describe your rights and restrictions with respect to this document. Code Components extracted from this document must include Simplified BSD License text as described in Section 4.e of

the Trust Legal Provisions and are provided without warranty as described in the Simplified BSD License.

Table of Contents

1. Introduction 2
 1.1. Conventions used in this document 3
 1.1.1. Terminology 3
 1.2. Requirements Language 3
 2. Terminology 3
 3. Node MSD TLV 3
 4. LINK MSD sub-TLV 4
 5. Acknowledgements 4
 6. IANA Considerations 4
 7. Security Considerations 4
 8. References 5
 8.1. Normative References 5
 8.2. Informative References 5
 Authors' Addresses 6

1. Introduction

When Segment Routing tunnels are computed by a centralized controller, it is crucial that the controller knows the MSD "Maximum SID Depth" of the node or link SR tunnel exits over, so it doesn't download a path with SID (label stack) of a depth more than the node or link used is capable of imposing. This document describes how to use OSPF to expose the MSD of the node or link to a centralized controller.

PCEP SR extensions [I-D.ietf-pce-segment-routing] has defined MSD, to signal in SR PCE Capability TLV, METRIC Object. However, If PCEP is not supported by a node (head-end of the SR tunnel) and controller does not participate in IGP routing it has no way to learn the MSD of the node or link configured. BGP-LS [RFC7752] defines a way to expose topology and associated different attributes, capabilities of the nodes in that topology to a centralized controller and MSD has been defined in [I-D.tantsura-bgp-ls-segment-routing-msd]. For this information to be advertised by BGP for the all nodes and links of the network, where this is provisioned, OSPF module should have this information in the LSDB.

[I-D.ietf-ospf-mpls-elc] defines, RLSDC which indicates how many labels a node can read to take a decision to insert an Entropy Label (EL) and is different than how many labels a node can push as defined by MSD in this draft.

1.1. Conventions used in this document

1.1.1. Terminology

BGP-LS: Distribution of Link-State and TE Information using Border Gateway Protocol

OSPF: Open Shortest Path First

MSD: Maximum SID Depth

PCC: Path Computation Client

PCE: Path Computation Element

PCEP: Path Computation Element Protocol

SID: Segment Identifier

SR: Segment routing

1.2. Requirements Language

The key words "MUST", "MUST NOT", "REQUIRED", "SHALL", "SHALL NOT", "SHOULD", "SHOULD NOT", "RECOMMENDED", "MAY", and "OPTIONAL" in this document are to be interpreted as described in RFC 2119 [RFC2119].

2. Terminology

This memo makes use of the terms defined in [RFC4970].

3. Node MSD TLV

A new TLV within the body of the OSPF RI Opaque LSA, called Node MSD TLV is defined to carry the provisioned SID depth of the router originating the RI LSA. Node MSD is the lowest MSD supported by the node.

The Type (2 bytes) of this TLV is TBD.

Length is 2 bytes, and

the Value field contains MSD of the router originating the RI LSA. Node MSD is a number in the range of 0-254. 0 represents lack of the ability to push MSD of any depth; any other value represents that of the node. This value SHOULD represent the lowest value supported by node.

This TLV is applicable to OSPFv2 and to OSPFv3 [RFC5838] and is optional. The scope of the advertisement is specific to the deployment.

4. LINK MSD sub-TLV

A new sub-TLV called Link MSD sub-TLV is defined to carry the provisioned SID depth of the interface associated with the link.

The Type (2 bytes) of this TLV is TBD.

Length is 2 bytes, and

the Value field contains Link MSD of the router originating the corresponding LSA as specified for OSPFv2 and OSPFv3. Link MSD is a number in the range of 0-254. 0 represents lack of the ability to push MSD of any depth; any other value represents that of the particular link MSD value.

For OSPFv2, the Link level MSD value is advertised as an optional Sub-TLV of OSPFv2 Extended Link TLV as defined in [RFC7684].

For OSPFv3, the Link level MSD value is advertised as an optional Sub-TLV of the Router-Link TLV as defined in [I-D.ietf-ospf-ospfv3-lsa-extend].

5. Acknowledgements

TBD

6. IANA Considerations

This document includes a request to IANA to allocate TLV type codes for the new TLV proposed in Section 3 of this document from OSPF Router Information (RI) TLVs Registry as defined by [RFC4970]. Also for link MSD, we request IANA to allocate new sub-TLV codes as proposed in Section 4 from OSPFv2 Extended Link Opaque LSAs Extended Link TLV registry and from Router-Link TLV defined in OSPFv3 Extended-LSA Sub-TLV registry.

7. Security Considerations

This document describes a mechanism for advertising Segment Routing SID depth supported at node and link level information through OSPF LSAs and does not introduce any new security issues.

8. References

8.1. Normative References

- [RFC2119] Bradner, S., "Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels", BCP 14, RFC 2119, DOI 10.17487/RFC2119, March 1997, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc2119>>.
- [RFC4970] Lindem, A., Ed., Shen, N., Vasseur, JP., Aggarwal, R., and S. Shaffer, "Extensions to OSPF for Advertising Optional Router Capabilities", RFC 4970, DOI 10.17487/RFC4970, July 2007, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc4970>>.

8.2. Informative References

- [I-D.ietf-ospf-mpls-elc]
Xu, X., Kini, S., Sivabalan, S., Filsfils, C., and S. Litkowski, "Signaling Entropy Label Capability Using OSPF", draft-ietf-ospf-mpls-elc-02 (work in progress), May 2016.
- [I-D.ietf-ospf-ospfv3-lsa-extend]
Lindem, A., Mirtorabi, S., Roy, A., and F. Baker, "OSPFv3 LSA Extendibility", draft-ietf-ospf-ospfv3-lsa-extend-10 (work in progress), May 2016.
- [I-D.ietf-pce-segment-routing]
Sivabalan, S., Medved, J., Filsfils, C., Crabbe, E., Lopez, V., Tantsura, J., Henderickx, W., and J. Hardwick, "PCEP Extensions for Segment Routing", draft-ietf-pce-segment-routing-07 (work in progress), March 2016.
- [I-D.tantsura-bgp-ls-segment-routing-msd]
Tantsura, J., Mirsky, G., Sivabalan, S., and U. Chunduri, "Signaling Maximum SID Depth using Border Gateway Protocol Link-State", draft-tantsura-bgp-ls-segment-routing-msd-02 (work in progress), January 2016.
- [RFC5838] Lindem, A., Ed., Mirtorabi, S., Roy, A., Barnes, M., and R. Aggarwal, "Support of Address Families in OSPFv3", RFC 5838, DOI 10.17487/RFC5838, April 2010, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc5838>>.
- [RFC7684] Psenak, P., Gredler, H., Shakir, R., Henderickx, W., Tantsura, J., and A. Lindem, "OSPFv2 Prefix/Link Attribute Advertisement", RFC 7684, DOI 10.17487/RFC7684, November 2015, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7684>>.

[RFC7752] Gredler, H., Ed., Medved, J., Previdi, S., Farrel, A., and S. Ray, "North-Bound Distribution of Link-State and Traffic Engineering (TE) Information Using BGP", RFC 7752, DOI 10.17487/RFC7752, March 2016, <<http://www.rfc-editor.org/info/rfc7752>>.

Authors' Addresses

Jeff Tantsura
Individual

Email: jefftant.ietf@gmail.com

Uma Chunduri
Individual

Email: uma.chunduri@gmail.com