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Note Well

• Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:
  • The IETF plenary session
  • The IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG
  • Any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices
  • Any IETF working group or portion thereof
  • Any Birds of a Feather (BOF) session
  • The IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB
  • The RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

• All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).

• Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details.

• A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

• A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.
Administrativia

- Documents: https://datatracker.ietf.org/wg/its/documents/
- Mailing List: https://www.ietf.org/mailman/listinfo/its
- Scribe: ?
- Jabber scribe: ?
- Blue sheets
Agenda (1 of 2)

• Introduction:
  – Administrative - BOF Chairs - 5 min
  – ITS Goals and Success Criteria - BOF Chairs - 10 min

• Problem Space:
  – Tutorial of IP in vehicular communications - Alex Petrescu - 20 min
  – ITS use-cases C-ACC and Platooning - Alex Petrescu - 10 min
    draft-petrescu-its-cacc-sdo-04
  – ITS V2I problem statement - Jaehoon Paul Jong - 5 min
    draft-jeong-its-v2i-problem-statement-00
  – ITS V2V problem statement - Dapeng Liu - 5 min
    draft-petrescu-its-problem-00

• Discussion - 10 min
  – What documents are needed to advance this work?
Agenda (2 of 2)

• Requirements:
  – Needs of other SDOs - Alex Petrescu - 10 min
  – Mobility requirements in connected rail - Sri Gundavelli - 5 min

• Closing:
  – Proposed Charter - Alex Petrescu - 10 min
  – Discussion - 25 min
  – Next Steps - BOF Chairs - 5 min
BOF Outcome

https://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2418#section-2.4

Usually the outcome of a BOF will be one of the following:

- There was enough interest and focus in the subject to warrant the formation of a WG;
- While there was a reasonable level of interest expressed in the BOF some other criteria for working group formation was not met (see section 2.1).
- The discussion came to a fruitful conclusion, with results to be written down and published, however there is no need to establish a WG; or
- There was not enough interest in the subject to warrant the formation of a WG.

Or, we may need more discussion on the mail list after the BOF session to reach one of these conclusions.
Thank you!