Background-I

- Applications get affected badly when there is a link issue between any two points in a network.

- The troubleshooting gets more difficult when the issue is not detected by the router counters.

- In many cases, network operators depend on ping from different points in the network to troubleshoot these issues and attempt to isolate it to a link or a certain path.
Consider an IP point-to-point link between 2 routers over an emulated L2 circuit that is experiencing some drops on the link. Available options to detect these drops are as follows:

- Using CFM or BFD – can be done pro-actively.
- Large sized probes - can be done pro-actively.
- Layer2 loops at various points – has to be done reactively
- Rapid pings – has to be done reactively
- Each of the above has limitations
Proposal

- One possible way to detect these kinds of link ‘brown outs’ is to have an easy to use mechanism of sending multiple probes between the routers on a link without increasing the load on the route processors and without taking the link out of service is described in this draft.
In a simple topology shown above. Say, we had a static route 3.3.3.3/32 on both routers pointing back to the neighbor router’s IP address on the connected link between them. And say we do the following:

- Send packet sourced from R1 SrcIP: 1.1.1.1, DstIP: 3.3.3.3 and say TTL = 10.
- Probe packet goes to R2. TTL is decremented by 1 and becomes 9.
Since, there is static route for destination and a corresponding FIB entry pointing back to R1, the packet is forwarded back to R1.

This keeps happening till the TTL expires on R1.

A TTL expired message will be sent back to the source address (1.1.1.1) of the packet.

So, if a TTL message is received then the test is successful. If not, the test failed.
Prototype Example
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MPLS Test Label

- Instead of a static address the draft proposes MPLS test label that functions very similar to the static route in the link local scope.

- The MPLS local loop label can be either special purpose MPLS label (value 4-6 or 8-12) or Extended special purpose MPLS label (values 16-239).

- This MPLS label is to be auto assigned to FECs by either automated association with numbered IP addresses for the given link or with special loop address defined in draft-turaga-lmap-special-loop-address.
Discussion points

• Use MPLS test label, such that FECs points back to the neighbor’s link address on that link.

• Assure that packets with MPLS test label do not transit the router (or the link).

• Have an offline or inline mechanism that can manipulate the TTL, qos bits, size etc of the original TTL packet.

• Some mechanism that can maintain count of the original packets sent and how many TTL time expired messages have come back.

• Create a single loop using Special Purpose Swap-to-Drop and Drop Labels.
Takeaways

• **Ease of use** - This mechanism can generate a lot of ‘probe’ packets by way of amplification using IP TTL.

• **End to End state** – This methodology gives end to end state of the link. Giving flexibility to the operator to quickly identify issues

• **Light Weight** - The load on the route processor is minimal. The load is there only for generating the original packet and processing the TTL expired messages. The rest of the packet processing is intrinsically handled by the forwarding layer.

• **Extensible** - It can be potentially easily extended to see the impact of various qos values and various IP protocols.

• **Large-scale deployment** - If we use a special address we can scope them to the link and easily scale out a solution for all the interfaces in any network.
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