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Note Well

Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:

– the IETF plenary session,
– any IETF working group or portion thereof,
– the IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
– the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
– any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
– the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function

All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 3978 (updated by RFC 4748) and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879). Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice.

Please consult RFC 3978 (and RFC 4748) for details.

A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.

A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio and video records of meetings may be made and may be available to the public.
Agenda

1. WG Status - Chairs
2. Implementation news - open mike [10 minutes]
3. MPTCP experiences – Christoph Paasch
4. Summary of discussions /progress on RFC6824bis - Alan Ford & Christoph Paasch [30 minutes]
5. NASA use of MPTCP for channel binding of very low-rate links (Iridium Phones) - Matt Sargent and/or Will Ivancic (no draft) [15 minutes]
7. An MPTCP Option for Network-Assisted MPTCP Deployments: Plain Transport Mode - Med Boucadair [15 minutes]
   1. IPR declaration from UCLouvain https://datatracker.ietf.org/ipr/2726/
8. DHCP Options for Network-Assisted Multipath TCP (MPTCP) - Med Boucadair [5 minutes]
WG Item Status & Milestones

• Jan 2015: Use-cases and operational experiences (Informational) to IESG
  – draft-ietf-mptcp-experience: Conclusion has just been updated. Ready to submit to AD / IESG
• Jan 2015: MPTCP standards track protocol to IESG
  – draft-ietf-mptcp-rfc6824bis – works continues
• Apr 2015: MPTCP-enabled middleboxes (Informational) to IESG
  – we’ve had various discussions, but no WG doc

• Chairs:
  – The dates of Milestones should be updated
  – As agreed last time, need to remove paragraph about documenting implementation advice
Implementation Updates

Please let us know if you have news!

FreeBSD – Nigel: I've not got an update for this meeting, I'm still working on the implementation. I've just had to divert the last few months to writing my thesis, so haven't had much time to work on the implementation itself. (thus there's not much new to report). Hopefully I can provide some updates for the next meeting!
draft-ietf-mptcp-rfc6824bis

– The primary goal of the WG is to publish a bis version of core spec on the Standard Track
– We agreed to increase the version number (from 00 to 01)
– This provides an opportunity to re-design MPTCP’s signalling. Changes so far (compared with RFC6824)
  • Making MP-CAPABLE reliable - agreed
  • Less major changes:-
  • Replacing the IPver field in ADD_ADDR with a set of flags
  • MPTCP Experimental option
  • MPTCP Subflow reset option
  • initial data sequence number is now 64bit truncation
  • ADD_ADDR includes HMAC of IP#-A2
– Various other changes have been & continue to be discussed – more on this today
– Check WG views: ref IPR declaration: https://datatracker.ietf.org/ipr/2678/
  • Apple has submitted IPR info related to draft-paash-mptcp-syncookies some time ago. Apple is willing to apply the same IPR statement to the new 6824bis
– Target is to finish this for Berlin (is this realistic?)