Schema Mount Proposals

draft-bjorklund-netmod-structural-mount-02

draft-lhotka-netmod-ysdl-00

Martin Björklund

(mbj@tail-f.com)

Ladislav Lhotka

(lhotka@nic.cz)

4 April 2016

Why Schema Mount?

The existing YANG modularity/extensibility mechanisms don't suffice for some common use cases.

```
+--rw interfaces
| +--rw interface* [name]
| ...
| +--rw ip:ipv4
| | ...
| +--rw ip:ipv6
| ...
+--rw logical-device* [name]
| +--rw name string
| ...
+--rw interfaces
| +--rw interface* [name]
| ...
+--rw ip:ipv4
| ...
| +--rw ip:ipv6
```

Neither grouping/uses nor augment represent a suitable solution.

Proposed Solutions

- 1. draft-bjorklund-netmod-structural-mount-02
- 2. draft-lhotka-netmod-ysdl-00

Conclusion of virtual interim meeting on 22 February 2016:

- combine these two proposals into a single consolidated solution,
- adopt an updated revision of #1 as a WG document.

Terminology

schema defined in YANG modules specified by an instance of YANG

library (including features and/or deviations).

schema mount results in a new schema constructed by inserting an existing

schema in a specified location of a parent schema.

mount point a schema node in the parent schema under which the child

schema is inserted.

Common Characteristics

- Both solutions satisfy the definition of schema mount, the server defines the mount point(s) and contents of a child schema(s) as separate read-only data.
- Each schema is isolated and self-contained, references to nodes, groupings, typedefs etc. that are defined outside a given schema aren't permitted.
- The mount point, or the closest ancestor that is a data node, represents the conceptual root of the child schema data, and all absolute schema identifiers and XPath expressions are interpreted relative to it.

RPC Operations and Notifications

structural-mount proposes a generalisation of (module-level) RPC operations and notifications to non-root schemas: they are treated as actions and notifications connected to the corresponding mount point.

Example:

RPC operation system-restart is defined in ietf-system [RFC 7317].

Open Issues

- specification of mount points,
- relationship to YANG library instance(s),
- 9 permitted mount points,

specification of mount points

Options:

- 1. structural-mount: YANG extension mount-point.
 - Possible mount points can/must be specified by the parent module's author.
 - A YANG extension is used for a fundamental construct.
- 2. YSDL: schema node identifier.
 - Similar to target node in an *augment*.
 - Requires no change to YANG modules.

relationship to YANG library

Options:

- 1. *structural-mount*: child schema specification refers to a YANG library instance mounted under the child schema mount point.
 - The client constructs the schema iteratively by looking up corresponding state data.
 - If the mount point is a list, different schemas may be mounted under different entries.
 - There is no concise description of the complete schema, it has to be constructed iteratively based on state data (acceptable for NMS, may be a problem for other tools).

- 2. YSDL, structural-mount: list of modules (+ features and deviations) is a part of child schema specification.
 - The overall schema is still static and the client is able to determine it up front by reading a single instance of YANG library **and** specification of subschema structure.
 - Schema variation for list entries can be achieved in YSDL by using **case** nodes in the parent schema as mount points. Possible extension: using *when*-like expressions as in augments.

6 permitted mount points

Options:

- 1. structural-mount: container, list.
- 2. YSDL: container, list, case, anydata.
- 3. Recent discussion in the mailing list: only **anydata**.
 - This makes the schema weaker: *anydata* as defined in 6020bis is not limited to data defined in the mounted schema.
 - Is it necessary? "Old clients" have to be prepared to handle unknown data in any **container** or **list** anyway that may be added through an augment from a module that the client doesn't support.