Update on Reseller Drafts

Linlin Zhou

CNNIC
Clarification in Section 3.1

- Add a clarification sentence "All reseller objects are identified by a server-unique identifier" in section 3.1 of both reseller and reseller mapping drafts.
Remove Reseller Name

• The reseller draft states that a reseller can be referenced (optionally) by a name in version 02
  – <reseller:name> ⇔ <resellerext:name>
• In the XML structure there is no name at the highest level in reseller mapping draft, only <reseller: name> at the postalInfo level
• Remove the optional name element in the reseller draft
XSD Refractor

- Reuse elements defined in RFC5731 and RFC5733
  - contactAttrType --> reuse from domain-1.0.xsd
  - contactType --> reuse from domain-1.0.xsd
  - postalInfoType --> reuse from contact-1.0.xsd
  - addrType --> reuse from contact-1.0.xsd
  - creDataType --> reuse from contact-1.0.xsd
  - chkDataType --> reuse from contact-1.0.xsd
  - checkType --> reuse from contact-1.0.xsd
Status Issue

• Status defined in the draft
  – ok: the normal status value for the reseller object.
  – readonly: transform commands submitted with the reseller identifier in the reseller extension would not be allowed.
  – terminated: query and transform commands submitted with the reseller identifier in the reseller extension would not be allowed.

• Reuse contact status values

• SIDN example
  – linked; Reseller linked to at least one domain
  – ok; Normal (default) status
  – pendingUpdate; Reseller <update> in progress
PostalInfoType

- `<postalInfoType>` is almost the same as the contact one except missing the org field
- A reseller would not be an individual, so simply use `<name>` to represent an organization
Thank you!

Questions or comments?