

Update on Reseller Drafts

Linlin Zhou

CNNIC

Clarification in Section 3.1

- Add a clarification sentence "All reseller objects are identified by a server-unique identifier" in section 3.1 of both reseller and reseller mapping drafts

Remove Reseller Name

- The reseller draft states that a reseller can be referenced (optionally) by a name in version 02
 - `<reseller:name>` ↔ `<resellerext:name>`
- In the XML structure there is no name at the highest level in reseller mapping draft, only `<reseller: name>` at the `postalInfo` level
- Remove the optional name element in the reseller draft

XSD Refractor

- Reuse elements defined in RFC5731 and RFC5733
 - contactAttrType --> reuse from domain-1.0.xsd
 - contactType --> reuse from domain-1.0.xsd
 - postalInfoType --> reuse from contact-1.0.xsd
 - addrType --> reuse from contact-1.0.xsd
 - creDataType --> reuse from contact-1.0.xsd
 - chkDataType --> reuse from contact-1.0.xsd
 - checkType --> reuse from contact-1.0.xsd

Status Issue

- Status defined in the draft
 - ok: the normal status value for the reseller object.
 - readonly: transform commands submitted with the reseller identifier in the reseller extension would not be allowed.
 - terminated: query and transform commands submitted with the reseller identifier in the reseller extension would not be allowed.
- Reuse contact status values
- SIDN example
 - linked; Reseller linked to at least one domain
 - ok; Normal (default) status
 - pendingUpdate; Reseller <update> in progress

PostalInfoType

- <postalInfoType> is almost the same as the contact one except missing the org field
- A reseller would not be an individual, so simply use <name> to represent an organization

Thank you!

Questions or comments?