

SIPCORE

Buenos Aires, Argentina

IETF 95

Note Well

- Any submission to the IETF intended by the Contributor for publication as all or part of an IETF Internet-Draft or RFC and any statement made within the context of an IETF activity is considered an "IETF Contribution". Such statements include oral statements in IETF sessions, as well as written and electronic communications made at any time or place, which are addressed to:
 - the IETF plenary session,
 - any IETF working group or portion thereof,
 - the IESG, or any member thereof on behalf of the IESG,
 - the IAB or any member thereof on behalf of the IAB,
 - any IETF mailing list, including the IETF list itself, any working group or design team list, or any other list functioning under IETF auspices,
 - the RFC Editor or the Internet-Drafts function
- All IETF Contributions are subject to the rules of RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 (updated by RFC 4879).
- Statements made outside of an IETF session, mailing list or other function, that are clearly not intended to be input to an IETF activity, group or function, are not IETF Contributions in the context of this notice. Please consult RFC 5378 and RFC 3979 for details. Please consult RFC 3978 (and RFC 4748) for details.
- A participant in any IETF activity is deemed to accept all IETF rules of process, as documented in Best Current Practices RFCs and IESG Statements.
- A participant in any IETF activity acknowledges that written, audio be made and may be available to the public.

Administrative Tasks

- Blue Sheets
- Note Takers
- Emergency Backup Note Taker
- Jabber Scribe

Agenda

Tuesday, November 15, 2011; 1710 – 1810

Time	Length	Presenter	Topic
1220 - 1230	10 minutes	Chairs	Agenda, Status, and Summary
1230 - 1320	50 minutes	Dale Worley (remote)	Happy Eyeballs for SIP
time permitting	time permitting	Rifaat Shekh-Yusef	SIP OAuth

name-addr/addr-spec Clarification

- RFC 3261 didn't have explicit language requiring all name-addr production (with angle brackets) if any value contains comma, semicolon, or question mark.
 - Because the results would otherwise be ambiguous
- Some documents have been updated piecemeal to specify this constraint
 - But not all: 3515, 5502, 5360 need fixing
- Several errata have been proposed to add such constraints
 - But this is a technical change, which is not generally the purview of errata.
- Discussion happening on-list on best way to resolve the issue.