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name-addr/addr-spec Clarification

- RFC 3261 didn’t have explicit language requiring all name-addr production (with angle brackets) if any value contains comma, semicolon, or question mark.
  - Because the results would otherwise be ambiguous
- Some documents have been updated piecemeal to specify this constraint
  - But not all: 3515, 5502, 5360 need fixing
- Several errata have been proposed to add such constraints
  - But this is a technical change, which is not generally the purview of errata.
- Discussion happening on-list on best way to resolve the issue.